IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

BRIEF OF RESPONDENT/APPELLANT

APPEAL FROM THE MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT YELLOWSTONE COUNTY, THE HONORABLE DIANE G. BARZ District Court Case No. DR 02-0705

Lee Rindal RINDAL LAW FIRM 926 Main St., Suite 16 Billings, Montana 59105 Telephone (406) 252-2400 Fax (406) 252-0068

Attorney for Respondent/Appellant

Toby Alback
ALBACK & BOSCHERT, P.C.
208 North 29th Street, Suite 227
Billings. Montana 59101
Telephone (406) 252-4221
Fax (406) 294-4284

Attorney for Petitioner/Respondent

STATE LAW LIBRARY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEC 10 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTSi
TABLE OF AUTHORITY ii
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES
STATEMENT OF THE CASE
STATEMENT OF THE FACTS 3-10
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT
ARGUMENT
ISSUE I. District Court erred by not granting Respondent's request for right of first refusal, thereby restricting his contact with his daughter
ISSUE II. District Court erred by not excluding from the marital estate the \$20,000 donative gift Respondent received from his parents
ISSUE III. District Court erred by finding that the remaining \$17,000 balance from a loan from Respondent's father did not exist due to "Statute of Frauds" but then assigned the entire debt to Respondent
CONCLUSION
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE
APPENDIX

TABLE OF AUTHORITY

<u>Cases</u>

<i>In re the Marriage of Eklund</i> , 46 St. Rep. 194, 768 P.2d 340 (1989)
In re the Marriage of Firman, 187 Mont. 465, 610 P.2d 178, 180 (1980)
<i>In re the Marriage of Harper</i> , 297 Mont. 290, 994 P.2d I (1999) 18, I
Kovarik v. Kovarik 287 Mont. 350, 954 P.2d 1147 (1998)
<i>In re the Marriage of Ortiz</i> , 282 Mont. 500, 503, 938 P.2d 1308, 1310 (1997)
Schmieding v. Schmieding, 301 Mont. 336, 9 P.3d 52 (2000)
Siefke v. Siefke, 302 Mont. 167, 13 P.3d 937 (2000) 17, 19
<i>In re Marriage of Stewart,</i> 232 Mont. 40, 75 P.2d 765 (1988)
In re the Marriage of Stufft 276 Mont. 454, 916 P.2d 767 (1996)
In re the Marriage of Wang 271 Mont. 291, 294 (1995)
Statutes:
Montana Code Annotated 8.40.4.212

I. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

Issue 1. The District Court erred by adopting Petitioner's Preliminary Proposed Parenting Plan without granting Respondent's requested right of first refusal provision to Respondent, the noncustodial parent, when the Petitioner, the custodial parent, places child in daycare or alternate care rather than allowing Respondent to provide personal care for his minor child, thus unfairly restricting Respondent's contact with his daughter.

Issue 2. The District Court erred by not excluding from the marital estate the \$20,000 donative gift made expressly for the benefit of Respondent as an advance on his inheritance from Respondent's parents.

Issue 3. The District Court erred by determining that the remaining \$17,000 debt Petitioner and Respondent still owed to Respondent's father for an automobile loan given to Petitioner and Respondent for their joint benefit did not exist but then proceeded to assign the non-existent debt wholly to Respondent.

II. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Plaintiff filed a petition for dissolution, a proposed distribution of assets and liabilities and a proposed parenting plan granting liberal visitation to Respondent. Respondent filed his proposed distribution of assets and liabilities, which requested the District Court to exclude from the marital estate a \$20,000 donative gift Respondent received from his parents as well as include into the marital estate for equitable distribution of an acknowledged outstanding debt of approximately \$17,000 Petitioner and Respondent owed Respondent's father. Respondent also submitted his proposed parenting plan that requested liberal visitation when Petitioner was at work and Respondent was off from work. After trial, the District Court made THE COURT'S FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND FINAL DECREE, dated July 28, 2003, which restricted Respondent's visitation with his daughter and failed to exclude a \$20,000 donative gift to Respondent from his parents or include the outstanding debt for equitable distribution. From this Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and Final Decree ordered by the District Court Respondent appeals.

III. STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

Petitioner Mary Beth Clayton (hereinafter Mary Beth or Petitioner) and Respondent Stephen Lloyd Clayton (hereinafter Steve or Respondent) were married on December 30, 1995 in Greenville, Greenville County, South Carolina. (Mary Beth's PETITION FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE, p. 2). Mary Beth and Steve separated on or around June 10, 2002. (Id.) Mary Beth concurrently filed her PETITIONERS PRELIMINARY PROPOSED PARENTING PLAN that stated their minor child, Grace Marie Clayton (hereinafter Grace), resided with Mary Beth when Mary Beth left the family residence. (PETITIONERS PRELIMINARY PROPOSED PARENTING PLAN, pp. 1-2). Mary Beth proposed that Grace have "liberal visitation with Respondent/Husband." (Id., p. 2, ¶ 4). Steve was concerned that Grace was being improperly cared for and was confused about her paternity. (AFFIDAVIT OF STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON, dated July 25, 2002). As the Court had not adopted any parenting plan and Mary Beth had taken Grace from the marital residence, Steve's "liberal visitation" with Grace stopped after Steve filed his affidavit; Steve then filed a MOTION FOR SHOW CAUSE HEARING AND BRIEF along with his RESPONDENT'S PROPOSED INTERIM PARENTING PLAN on August 19, 2002, requesting that Grace live primarily with Steve in the

family residence. (RESPONDENT'S PROPOSED INTERIM PARENTING PLAN, p. 2).

Grace was telling both of her parents different information, or stories, about the other parent. (AFFIDAVIT OF STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON, dated August 26, 2002) and (AFFIDAVIT OF MARY BETH CLAYTON, dated September 3, 2002). Mary Beth then filed her PETITIONER'S AMENDED PROPOSED PARENTING PLAN that further restricted Steve's claimed "liberal visitation" that Steve was suppose to have with Grace and Mary Beth agreed to. (PETITIONER'S AMENDED PROPOSED PARENTING PLAN, dated September 5, 2002).

Mary Beth objected to Steve's MOTION FOR SHOW CAUSE HEARING AND BRIEF and requested that the District Court adopt her proposed parenting plan, which still allegedly allowed Steve to have "liberal visitation." (PETITIONER'S RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR SHOW CAUSE HEARING, PETITIONER'S MOTION TO ADOPT PRELIMINARY AMENDED PARENTING PLAN AND FOR CHILD SUPPORT ORDER, dated September 4, 2002).

The District Court adopted Mary Beth's PETITIONER'S AMENDED
PROPOSED PARENTING PLAN, effective immediately, without either party

being allowed to present testimony or evidence. (ORDER Re: INTERIM PARENTING PLAN AND SETTING HEARING, dated September 10, 2002).

The parties presented testimony regarding their respective proposed parenting plans on September 24, 2002. (ORDER ADOPTING TEMPORARY PARENTING PLAN, p. 1, dated October 15, 2002). The Court modified Mary Beth's proposal to allow Steve to have "two (2) weeknight visitations with the minor child per week, on days of his choosing during the period from 4:00 p.m. through 8:00 p.m. In addition, Father shall be entitled to visitation/custody of said minor child every other weekend from Friday at 4:00 p.m. through Sunday evening at 6:00 p.m." (*Id.*, p. 2).

Mary Beth works full-time for Allen's Tool Repair. (TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, p. 10, 1. 9-16). Grace goes to a private residence daycare ran by Mary Beth's friend, Alice Mills. (*Id.*, p. 10, 1. 24 – p. 11, 1. 1-10). Steve requested to have his daughter on his days off during the week. (*Id.*, p. 34, 1. 7-9). One reason that Mary Beth refused to allow Steve to have Grace on his days off from work was that Mary Beth claimed that she wanted to insure the financial stability of her daycare provider. (*Id.*, p. 34, 1. 21-23). Mary Beth's friend, Alice Mills, who watches Grace also watches two (2) of Mary Beth's boyfriend's children that live with Mary Beth. (*Id.*, p. 35, 1. 15-19). Mary Beth admitted that

she pays Alice Mills a weekly rate of \$50 (*Id.*, p. 11, l. 23-24; p. 37, l. 22-24). Mary Beth contradicted her contention when she then claimed that it was not financially viable for her to pay Alice Mills when she is not watching Grace. (*Id.*, p. 38, l. 8-12). Mary Beth admitted that she pays Alice Mills whether Grace attends or not. (*Id.*, p. 38, l. 5-7).

Mary Beth stated that she does not want Steve to watch Grace rather than going to daycare at Alice Mills because Grace gets to see people, goes places and has fun when Grace is with Alice. (*Id.*, p. 38, l. 13-23). Mary Beth admits that Steve has the right of first refusal, but only when daycare is closed and she has to work. (*Id.*, p. 41, l. 11-13). Mary Beth refuses to allow Steve to have additional time with Grace other than the specific times the District Court ordered but only because Mary Beth claims that Steve has never asked for more. (*Id.*, p. 41, l. 17-20). Through nine counseling sessions, Mary Beth has never discussed Steve's request for additional parenting time with Grace's counselor, Mary Macki. (*Id.*, p. 60, l. 18-22).

Steve's work schedule is alternating weekends off but the remaining work week is flexible and Steve can request specific days during the week to be off from work. (*Id.*, p. 85, l. 9-25, p. 86, l. 1). Steve would like, at the bare minimum, to have Grace on his days off from work and Mary Beth is working. (*Id.*, p. 87, l. 4-10).

Mary Beth does not let Steve have Grace on his days off from work. (*Id.*, p. 34, l. 10-12). Mary Beth's absolute position is that Steve should not spend anymore time with Grace and that it is better to keep Grace in daycare. (*Id.*, p. 53, l. 7-10).

Mary Macki, Mary Beth's expert witness, admits that Grace is well bonded to Steve and has a very healthy relationship with him. (*Id.*, p. 61, l. 14-21). Grace has told Mary Macki that Steve called Mary Beth's domestic partner negative names. (*Id.*, p. 62, l. 11-18). Mary Macki stated that if negative name calling ceased, the relationship would be healthier. (*Id.*, p. 62, l. 17-18). Mary Macki stated that after she discussed this issue with Steve on June 3, 2003, there were no more reported incidents of negative name calling. (*Id.*, p. 62, l. 20-25 – p. 63, l. 1-2; p. 65, l. 16-20)

Mary Macki states that it would be more beneficial to Grace to spend as much time with both parents than to minimize contact with one parent. (*Id.*, p. 61, l. 22-25 – p. 62, l. 1-7). Although Mary Beth had attended seven (7) counseling sessions in the room with Grace (*Id.*, p. 64, l. 13-15), Steve had also attended two (2) counseling sessions with Grace after Mary Beth allowed Steve to attend the counseling sessions with Mary Macki. (*Id.*, p. 65, l. 15-25).

Second second

Grace would benefit by having increased time with her father. (Id., p. 66, l. 13-

18). Mary Beth never discussed with Mary Macki the fact that Steve was requesting additional time with Grace. (*Id.*, p. 60, l. 18-22). The District Court appeared to have already reached its decision on this matter when it asked the leading question to Mary Beth's expert witness by suggestion whether it would be a more practical solution to require further counseling between Steve, Grace and Mary Macki before Steve was allowed to spend more time with Grace. (*Id.*, p. 69, l. 16-25).

Mary Macki responded that Steve might have one or two more counseling sessions, depending on insurance coverage. (*Id.*, p. 70, l. 17-20). When Steve's counsel asked Mary Macki to clarify what she would request of Steve, the District Court interrupted and answered for Mary Macki that the District Court thought it was a matter of quality time and what Mary Macki can accomplish. (*Id.*, p. 71, l. 6-12).

The District Court determined that the cross-examination of Mary Macki by Steve's counsel regarding her expert opinions had invaded the province of the District Court. (*Id.*, p. 71, l. 14-17). When Mary Macki was cross-examined regarding her willingness to provide a written report as to additional parenting time Steve could spend with Grace, the District Court apparently premised its decision regarding additional parenting time for Steve when it stated that the

bottom line was that Steve had not been paying for Mary Macki's counseling sessions. (*Id.*, p. 74, l. 9-19).

Steve received a donative gift of \$20,000, paid directly to the bank, from his parents as a down payment to purchase a house. (*Id.*, p. 125, l. 8-17; p. 97, l. 19-25 – p. 98, l. 1-3). Steve's parents gave approximately an additional \$5,000 to cover Mary Beth and Steve's escrow costs. (*Id.*, p. 125, l. 18-25 – p. 126, l. 13).

Steve's father, Art Clayton, lent Mary Beth and Steve \$19,543 to purchase a pickup through General Motors direct purchase program. (*Id.*, p. 120, l. 15-25 – p. 121, l. 1-16). Mary Beth and Steve later sold that pickup and lived on the proceeds. (*Id.*, p. 43, l. 9-15). Mary Beth and Steve made eight (8) payments of \$300 each to repay Art Clayton. (*Id.*, p. 126, l. 20-25 – p. 127, l. 1-8). Art Clayton testified that he had no intention of forgiving Mary Beth or Steve from the remaining loan balance. (*Id.*, p. 124, l. 19-21).

Section of the second

Mary Beth acknowledged this debt to Art Clayton by writing the loan payment checks to Art Clayton. (*Id.*, p. 124, l. 22-25 – p. 125, l.-7). Mary Beth acknowledges that the debt to Art Clayton for the pickup exists, however, not for her. although she benefitted from the loan also. (*Id.*, p. 43, l. 16-23). The District Court determined, before it heard all the testimony and reviewed all evidence, that it had decided that Mary Beth would not be held liable for the debt

that Mary Beth had just acknowledged that she benefitted from and made payments to Art Clayton for. (*Id.*, p. 43, l. 25 – p. 44, l. 1-7). Mary Beth and Steve still owe Art Clayton \$17,000. (*Id.*, p. 127, l. 9-12).

Steve's parents gifted \$10,000 each directly to the real estate title company for the specific and sole benefit of Steve. (*Id.*, p. 97, I. 13-25; Respondent's Exhibit E). This \$20,000 gift was never commingled with any joint marital property or accounts. (*Id.*, p. 98, I. 1-3).

IV. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Parenting Plan without granting Respondent's requested right of first refusal provision to Respondent, the noncustodial parent, when the Petitioner, the custodial parent, places child in daycare or alternate care rather than allowing Respondent to provide personal care for his minor child, thus unfairly restricting Respondent's contact with his daughter.

The District Court erred by adopting Mary Beth's Preliminary Proposed Parenting Plan as modified by the Court's Order of October 12, 2002, without including a first right of refusal provision granting Steve, the noncustodial parent, a right of first refusal when the Mary Beth, the custodial parent, places the minor child (hereinafter Grace) in daycare or alternate care rather than allowing Steve to provide personal care for his minor child. Steve's work schedule allows Steve to provide personal care for Grace rather than placing Grace in daycare or other alternate care arrangements. Mary Beth refuses to allow Steve to provide personal care for their daughter at any other time other than the limited times that Mary Beth's parenting plan allows which was adopted by the District Court without consideration of Steve's work schedule and availability to provide personal care for their daughter. This amounts to an unfair restriction on Steve's contact with

Grace.

Issue 2. The District Court erred by not excluding from the marital estate the \$20,000 donative gift made expressly for the benefit of Respondent as an advance on his inheritance from Respondent's parents. Steve was given a \$20,000 gift of a down payment, not commingled with any joint marital assets, from his parents as part of an advance on his inheritance. This gift was evidenced by a "gift" letter, admitted as Respondent's Exhibit E, expressly for Steve's benefit from his parents directly to the title company handling the closing on the residence.

Issue 3. The District Court erred by not equitably dividing the \$17,000 debt still owed to Steve's father for an automobile loan given to Mary Beth and Steve. During their marriage, Mary Beth and Steve purchased a new GM pickup that Steve's father paid for, through a loan to Mary Beth and Steve, in order for Mary Beth and Steve to receive a substantial savings as Steve's father was a retired General Motors employee. Mary Beth and Steve commenced making the loan payments back to Steve's father. Mary Beth and Steve later sold the pickup and used the proceeds for living expenses. Steve's father testified that this loan to Mary Beth and Steve had to be paid back and was not a gift to the parties.

V. ARGUMENT

Issue 1.

The District Court erred by adopting Mary Beth's Preliminary Proposed Parenting Plan. as modified by the Court's Order of October 12, 2002. Mary Beth executed her preliminary proposed parenting plan on June 21, 2002. Mary Beth filed for Dissolution on June 28, 2002. The District Court held a Show Cause Hearing on September 24, 2002, without granting Steve's request for a right of first refusal provision granting Steve, the noncustodial parent, a right of first refusal when Mary Beth, the custodial parent, places Grace in daycare or alternate care rather than allowing Steve, the "other" parent, to provide personal care for his minor child.

In *In re the Marriage of Firman* (1980), 187 Mont. 465, 610 P.2d 178, the Montana Supreme Court stated that a noncustodial parent is entitled to a fair opportunity to share in the child's love or affection.

In this case, the District Court did not include a right of first refusal for Steve, the noncustodial parent, to take care of Grace if Grace is to be placed in daycare.

Steve should be able to take care his child if he is available rather than taking the child to daycare. This can be easily accomplished without <u>any</u> reduction of

parenting time Mary Beth has with Grace as Steve has only requested the additional time with Grace when Mary Beth is working and he is not. Granting the right of first refusal to Steve would only benefit Grace and Steve without taking away any parenting time from Mary Beth. The right of first refusal in this case would provide a win-win situation without any detriment, other than a "loss of control" that Mary Beth may perceive.

Montana Code Annotated § 40-4-212 **Best interest of child** provides that:

- (1) The court shall determine the parenting plan in accordance with the best interest of the child. The court **shall** consider all relevant parenting factors, which may include but are not limited to:
 - (a) the wishes of the child's parent or parents;
- (c) the interaction and interrelationship of the child with the child's parent or parents and siblings and with any other person who significantly affects the child's best interest;
- (l) whether the child has frequent and continuing contact with both parents, which is considered to be in the child's best interests unless the court determines, after a hearing, that contact with a parent would be detrimental to the child's best interests. In making that determination, the court shall consider evidence of physical abuse or threat of physical abuse by one parent against the other parent or the child, including but not limited to whether a parent or other person residing in that

parent's household has been convicted of any of the crimes enumerated in 40-4-219(8)(b).

...

(emphasis added)

This statute clearly requires the District Court to consider ALL denominated subsections of this statute, which, in essence, should relate to nearly all the considerations that address the best interests of the minor child, and thus, are important to Montana legislators as well as all parents. The statue clearly points out that it is in the best interests of a minor child "whether the child has frequent and continuing contact with both parents, which is considered to be in the child's best interests unless the court determines, after a hearing, that contact with a parent would be detrimental to the child's best interests" § 40-4-212(1)(1) MCA. (emphasis added).

In this case, the District Court never found, or even considered, that Grace's contact with Steve would be detrimental to Grace's best interests. Steve requested more time with Grace, time that would not take away time from Mary Beth. The legislators have shown their long-held intention to safeguard family relationships but not at a cost to a minor child's best interests. The District Court has decided through its judgment, as did Mary Beth through her testimony, that it would be more in Grace's best interest to stay at daycare then with Steve. (TRANSCRIPT

OF PROCEEDINGS, p. 53, 1. 7-10).

Section 1

In this case, giving Steve, the noncustodial parent, the right to spend more time with his daughter, especially when it does not take away any time from the custodial parent nor remove Grace from any formal educational attendance, rather than place the child in daycare serves to safeguard family relationships. Steve would be able to build and maintain his father/daughter relationship and improve his communication skills with her, which is beneficial to both Steve and Grace. Mary Beth's expert acknowledged that it would be in Grace's best interests to spend more time with Steve (*Id.*, p. 66, l. 13-18), in light that she determined that Grace was well bonded with Steve and has a very healthy relationship with him. (*Id.*, p. 61, l. 14-21).

In addition, a parent's right to spend additional time with one's child only makes sense. Today, as most parents must work long hours to support their children, allowing the maximum time a child spends with the parent, rather than being placed in daycare or watched by a third-party, or not all, seems to increase risk of negative consequences to the child, especially if the parent and child have a "very healthy relationship" as Steve and Grace do. Allowing a parent to care for the child further strengthens the bond between the parent and the child.

In conclusion, the District Court erred in not allowing Steve a first right of refusal

over Grace's daycare attendance as such a right would be in the best interest of the child. If the District Court was going to restrict a noncustodial parent's time with the minor child, the District Court must make findings "that contact with [Steve] would be detrimental to [Grace's] best interests." § 40-4-212(1)(l) MCA.

The District Court did not, nor could not, do that because Steve has not conducted himself in any of the proscribed manners the statute denominates as a basis for restricting contact between Steve and Grace. The lack of substantial evidence to support judgment is the determining factor for reversible error. *In re the Marriage of Wang* (1995), 271 Mont. 291, 294 quoting *Frazier v. Frazier* (1984), 208 Mont. 150. Although the District Court stated in its findings that "[u]ntil such time as Respondent ceases to make negative, inflammatory and derogatory references about the mother to the child, visitation will be rigid and limited." (THE COURT'S FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND FINAL DECREE, p. 5, l. 1-2).

Issue 2.

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

The District Court erred in not excluding the \$20,000 gift of equity to Steve from Steve's parents from the marital estate.

The Montana Supreme Court reviews a District Court's findings of fact regarding the division of marital property to determine whether they are clearly erroneous. *In re Marriage of Ortiz* (1997), 282 Mont. 500, 503, 938 P.2d 1308, 1310. A finding is clearly erroneous if it is not supported by substantial evidence, if the District Court misapprehended the effect of the evidence, or if the Supreme Court's review of the record convinces them that the District Court made a mistake. *Kovarik v. Kovarik*, 1998 MT 33, ¶¶ 20, 287 Mont. 350, ¶¶ 20,954 P.2d 1147, ¶¶ 20.

If the findings are not clearly erroneous, the Montana Supreme Court will affirm the distribution of property unless the District Court abused its discretion. *In re Marriage of Stuffi* (1996), 276 Mont. 454, 459, 916 P.2d 767, 770. The test for an abuse of discretion is whether the trial judge acted arbitrarily without employment of conscientious judgment or has exceeded the bounds of reason resulting in substantial injustice. *Schmieding v. Schmieding*, 2000 MT 237, ¶¶ 22, 301 Mont. 336, ¶¶ 22, 9 P.3d 52, ¶¶ 22.

In this case, the \$20,000 gift of equity should be excluded from the marital estate by setting this amount aside to Steve. The District Court abused its discretion by either not considering the substantial and clear testimony with the corroborating physical evidence presented through "Respondent's Exhibit E" that the Steve's parents provided the down payment directly to the title company as a gift for Steve or "misapprehended the effects of the evidence or otherwise committed a mistake in making that finding." *In re the Marriage of Harper* (1999), 297 Mont. 290, at ¶ 3, 994 P.2d 1, quoting *Interstate Production Credit Ass'n v. DeSaye*, 250 Mont. 320, 323, 820 P.2d 1285, 1287 (1991).

In *In re Marriage of Eklund*, 236 Mont. 77, 768 P.2d 340 (1989), the Montana Supreme Court upheld the District Court's decision to set aside \$60,000 of the marital estate to the husband as that was a gift from his parents.

September 1

After removing the \$20,000 gift of equity, Mary Beth is entitled to an equitable portion of the remaining equity in the marital residence resulting from the difference between Mary Beth and Steve's adjusted purchase price from the net sale price upon the sale of the marital residence. Mary Beth should not, however, be entitled to the equity in the house that directly resulted from a gift solely to Steve as an advance on his inheritance or as an estate planning tool of his parents. See Siefke v. Siefke, 302 Mont. 167, 13 P.3d 937 (2000).

In this case, the District Court acted arbitrarily without employment of conscientious judgment and exceeded the bounds of reason resulting in substantial injustice. The \$20,000 payment was paid directly to the title company by the Steve's parents as a gift to Steve, as the "Respondent's Exhibit E" clearly supported, which Steve used as the down payment on the home. The District Court erred in not acknowledging the \$20,000 gift to Steve as a gift for an advance on Steve's inheritance.

Therefore, the \$20,000 gift should not be considered part of the marital estate but rather extracted from the equity in the marital residence to be given back to Steve as it was originally, a gift to Steve from his parents. Mary Beth would not have benefitted from such gift had she not been married to Steve.

Issue 3.

The District Court erred by determining that the remaining \$17,000 debt

Petitioner and Respondent still owed to Respondent's father for an automobile

loan given to Petitioner and Respondent for their joint benefit did not exist due to

its "Statute of Frauds" comment (TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING, p. 43, l. 25

– p. 44, l. 1-3) but then proceeded to assign the judicially-determined non-existent

debt wholly to Respondent. This was in contradiction to Mary Beth's testimony

that she had been repaying Steve's father for the loan by making payments but she

did not believe the debt "existed" for her.

In this case, the District Court erred in allocating the debt to Steve as the Mary Beth benefitted from the loan. *See In re Marriage of Stewart* (1988), 232 Mont. 40, 75 P.2d 765. Steve's parent's originally provided a loan to Mary Beth and Steve to purchase a vehicle, which was marital asset. The marital asset was later sold to be used by both Mary Beth and Steve for normal marital living expenses. The debt to Steve Clayton's father remains an outstanding liability to both parties and therefore a part of the marital estate. The District Court erred in not allocating the debt equally among the parties as the debt was a part of the marital estate. Both parties clearly benefitted from the vehicle. Therefore, the debt should be a part of the marital estate and equitably allocated among both parties.

CONCLUSION

The District Court erred in adopting Mary Beth's parenting plan without granting Steve the right of first refusal to provide personal care of his minor daughter rather than allowing Mary Beth to put the daughter in daycare, thus restricting the time Steve is allowed with his daughter, without determining that Steve's contact with Grace would be detrimental to Grace. The record is without substantial evidence to support this necessary determination even if the District Court had made the necessary findings. Mary Beth even acknowledged that Steve has a right of first refusal, but restricted to only the times that daycare is closed and she is at work. This is an unfair restr4iction on Steve's contact with Grace and is against the expert witness' stated belief that Grace and Steve would both benefit from additional contact with Steve. The District Court also erred by not excluding from the marital estate an express and specific donative "gift" of \$20,000 to Steve from his parents. Finally, the District Court erred by determining that the marital debt of \$17,000 owed to Steve's father and acknowledged by Mary Beth through her partial repayments of this debt, was non-existent due to "Statute of Frauds" and apparently a "gift" to Steve, thereby removing this mutual debt from equitable distribution within the marital estate.

DATED this 844 day of December, 2003.

Lee Rindal, Attorney for Petitioner/Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, LEE RINDAL, do hereby certify that on the SM day of December, 2002, I served the foregoing on counsel for Petitioner/Respondent by depositing the same in the U.S. Mails, postage prepaid thereon, addressed as follows:

Toby Alback Alback & Boschert, P.C. 208 North 29th Street, Suite 227 Billings, Montana 59101

LEE RINDAL

Attorney for Respondent/Appellant

RESPONDENT/APPELLENT'S CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

COMES NOW Lee Rindal, attorney for the Plaintiffs/Appellants and advises the Court that the Appellants' Brief is in compliance with Rule 27 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure. The Brief uses mono-spaced typeface with font size of 14. The font is Roman, Non-Script (Times New Roman), and the Brief, by itself, contains 4,617 words, 350 lines, and is 22 pages long per WordPerfect 3.5e for Macintosh.

DATED December \(\frac{\frac{1}{2003}}{2003}. \)

Lee Rindal, RINDAL LAW FIRM Attorney for Respondent/Appellent

	MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIA	AL DISTRICT COURT, YELLOWSTONE COUNTY
***************************************	IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF:) Cause No.: <u>DR-02-0705</u>) Judge: <u>Diane G. Barz</u>
	MARY BETH CLAYTON,) Judge. <u>Diane G. Darz</u>
	Petitioner,))
	and) THE COURT'S FINDINGS OF FACT,
***************************************	STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,) <u>CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND</u>) <u>FINAL DECREE</u>
-	Respondent.))
1		

1.5

This matter came before the Court for hearing on June 18, 2003; the Honorable Diane G. Barz, District Court Judge, presiding in Courtroom #518, Yellowstone County Courthouse, Billings, Montana. Both the Petitioner and the Respondent were present with their attorneys.

The Court, having heard the testimony and evidence presented, and being fully advised in the premises, now makes the following Findings of Fact:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The parties hereto were married on the 30th day of December, 1995, in Greenville, Greenville County, South Carolina; the marriage license having been issued in said County and State.
- 2. Respondent was duly served with the Petition for Dissolution of Marriage herein on July 3, 2002.

- 4. The marriage of the parties is irretrievably broken in that there is serious marital discord which adversely affects the attitude of one or both of the parties towards the marriage and there is no reasonable prospect of reconciliation.
- 5. The Respondent has alleged and the Petitioner has not denied that the marriage of the parties is irretrievably broken.
- The conciliation provisions of the Montana Conciliation Act and Section 20-4-107(2),
 M.C.A., do not apply.
 - 7. The parties were separated as of June 10, 2002.

- 8. The parties have one minor child born as issue of the marriage, namely: Grace Marie Clayton, age 4, born February 18, 1999. Said minor child is the natural child of the parties hereto.
 - 9. The Petitioner/Wife is not now pregnant.
- 10. The parties hereto have accumulated marital assets which should be equitably distributed between them as follows:

ASSET	VALUE	WIFE	HUSBAND
Family Home	\$110,000.00	One-half	One-half
1999 Olds	4,700.00		\$ 4,700.00
1990 Dodge Ram	Premarital	Premarital	
Household Goods	Unknown	Equitable Share	Equitable Share
Kiln	450.00	\$ 450.00	•
Potters Wheel	100.00	100.00	
Hitachi Table Saw	100.00	100.00	
Router Porter Cable	100.00	100.00	
Compressor	90.00		90.00
Glock 357 Pistol	400.00		400.00
Ruger 357 Magnum	350.00	350.00	
Zenith 13" TV	Premarital	Premarital	
Zenith 27" TV	250.00		250.00
RCA 19" TV	150.00		150.00
Magnavox VCR	Premarital		Premarital

Phillip's DVD/VCR Refrigerator Queen Bed Quilt Stand Old Desk Recliner TV Stand Cupboard Hutch AM/FM Receiver Speakers Microwave Cart Handmade Table Globe	200.00 400.00 Premarital 120.00 Premarital 200.00 \$ 12.00 250.00 Premarital Premarital 25.00 50.00 5.00	120.00	200.00 400.00 Premarital Premarital 200.00 \$ 12.00 250.00 Premarital Premarital 25.00 50.00 5.00
Zenith VCR	50.00		50.00
CD Boom Box	20.00	\$ 20.00	
Ruger 10/22 .22	Premarital		Premarital
Sansui Video Camera	400.00	400.00	
SONY Digital Cam	125.00		125.00
Hoover Vacuum	125.00		125.00
Futon Mattress	40.00		40.00
Photo Enlarger	Premarital		Premarital
Ryobi Trimmer	90.00		90.00
Dewalt Drill	30.00		30.00
Dewalt Saw	50.00		50.00
Small Lawn Mower	20.00		20.00
Large Lawn Mower	75.00		75.00
Small Lawn Mower	50.00		50.00
Ruger .223 Rifle	300.00		300.00
Enfield Rifle	Premarital		Premarital
CZ-75 Pistol	Premarital		Premarital
S&W Revolver	120.00		120.00
Ruger 357 Pistol	Premarital		Premarital
T/C Rifle	Premarital		Premarital
.12 Shotgun	Premarital		Premarital
Davis .38	Premarital		Premarital
Oneida Dinnerware	Premarital	Premarital	
Gracie's Bed	100.00	100.00	
Bedroom Set (Purchased			
By Husband's parents)	400.00		400.00
Dresser	100.00		100.00
Queen Ann Table	24.00		24.00
Coffee Table	Premarital		Premarital
Misc. Baby Furniture	100.00		100.00
Crib, Swing	100.00		100.00
Child's Dresser	50.00		50.00
Kitchen Table w/4			

б

TOTALS:		S	2,240.00	\$ 10,616.00
Laptop	100.00			 100.00
Big Mirror	15.00		15.00	
Futon	270.00			270.00
Weight Bench	35.00			35.00
Orbital Sander	60.00		60.00	
Belt Sander	25.00		25.00	
Metal Detector	300.00			300.00
Whirlpool Washer	350.00			350.00
Washer/Dryer	370.00	\$	370.00	
Ruger Mark II Pistol	\$ 230.00			\$ 230.00
Winchester Rifle	300.00			300.00
2 Nightstands	100.00			100.00
Yamaha CD Changer	Gift from Parents			Gift from Parents
Beige Couch	200.00			200.00
Husband's parents)	150.00			150.00
Chairs (Purchased by				

11. The parties have accumulated marital debt which should be equitably distributed as follows:

<u>LIABILITY</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	TO WIFE	TO HUSBAND
Home Mortgage	\$ 72,000.00	One-Half	One-Half
Chase Card #2	1,091.00		\$ 1,091.00
First USA Card			
Loan from Husband's			
Parents	17,600.00		17,600.00
Wife's Student Loan	8,570.00	\$ 8,570.00	
Husband's Student Loans	13,000.00		13,000.00

- 12. The testimony of Respondent father showed that providing ten (10) years of college for Petitioner, as well as substantial advances of money, were all gifts to Respondent and cannot be converted to loans that Petitioner has to repay.
- 13. That it is in the best interest of the parties' minor child that the Court adopt Petitioner's Preliminary Proposed Parenting Plan as modified by the Court's Order of October 12, 2002, as the Permanent Parenting Plan concerning the care, custody, control and parenting of the parties' minor

child. Until such time as Respondent ceases to make negative, inflammatory and derogatory references about the mother to the child, visitation will be rigid and limited.

- 14. That it is in the best interest of the parties' minor child that the Medical Support Order set out in the Petition for Dissolution of Marriage herein be adopted as the permanent medical support order relative to such minor child.
- 15. That it is in the best interest of the parties' minor child that permanent child support be ordered in this case in the amount set out in Petitioner's Final Child Support calculations filed herein.

From the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Court enters the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over all persons, matters, and things involved herein.
- 2. If any of the preceding Findings of Fact constitute Conclusions of Law, they are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein verbatim.
- The distribution of assets and debts set out hereinabove is equitable and should be adopted by this Court.
- 4. That it is in the best interest of the minor child that Petitioner's Preliminary Proposed Parenting Plan, as modified by this Court's Order on October 12, 2002, should be adopted as the Permanent Parenting Plan concerning the care, custody and control of the parties' minor child.
- 5. That it is in the best interest of the parties' minor child that the Medical Support Order set out in the Petition for Dissolution of Marriage herein be adopted as the permanent medical support order relative to such minor child.
- 6. That it is in the best interest of the parties' minor child that permanent child support be ordered in this case in the amount set out in Petitioner's Final Child Support calculations filed herein.

ON THE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O

3

1

2

5 . 6

7 8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

25

THEREFORE, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Court enters the following:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. The marriage of the parties is hereby dissolved.

- 2. The assets and liabilities of the parties shall be apportioned as is specifically set out hereinabove.
- 3. The Court hereby adopts Petitioner's Preliminary Proposed Parenting Plan, as amended by the Court's Order of October 12, 2002, as the Permanent Parenting Plan concerning the care, custody, control and parenting of the parties' minor child.
- 4. The Court hereby orders that Respondent shall pay unto Petitioner child support in the amount of \$129.00 per month commencing on the 1st day of August, 2003, and continuing on the 1st day of each and every month thereafter until said minor child shall reach the age of majority or graduate from high school (continuous enrollment presumed) whichever occurs later, but in no event beyond such minor child's 19th birthday.
- 5. Said child support, as set out hereinabove, shall be payable by virtue of automatic income withholding whenever possible.
- 6. The Court hereby adopted the proposed medical support order set out in the Petition for Dissolution of Marriage filed herein as the permanent medical support order relative to the parties' minor child as follows:
 - A. The parties shall secure and pay for health and medical coverage for the minor child;
 - B. The parties shall pay the entire net premium cost of the existing health insurance coverage for the child, and the parties shall be given credit for

such premium in computing the child support payments required under the Montana Child Support Guidelines now and into the future.

- C. The parties shall divide and pay any co-payments and deductibles required under the coverage, and all medical expenses for the child not covered by insurance in percentages equal to their pro-rata contributions to the support of their child as computed by the Montana Child Support Guidelines.
- D. The parties shall provide for the medical care and health insurance for their minor child until terminated by emancipation of such child or such child's graduation from high school if the child is enrolled in high school, whichever occurs later, but in no event later than such child's 19th birthday.
- 7. All temporary orders issued in this case, including the temporary restraining order issued with the Summons herein are hereby **VACATED** and of no further legal force and effect.

LET JUDGMENT/BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.

DATED this 2 day of July, 2003.

HON. DIANE G. BARZ, DISTRICT JUDGE

Lee Rindal, Esq.
Toby Alback, Esq.

cc:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the foregoing was duly served by mail or by hand upon the parties or their attorneys of record at their last known address on this

day of July, 2003.

Court Asst. to HON. DIANE G. BARZ

1 2 3	Toby Alback ALBACK & BOSCHERT, P.C. 227 Hedden-Empire Building 208 North 29 th Street Billings, MT 59101 Telephone: (406) 252-4221	CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF JUN 28 PM 3 14 FILED				
5 6	Attorney for Petitioner/Wife	DEPUTY				
7 8		JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, TONE COUNTY				
9	IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF MARY BETH CLAYTON,	CAUSE NO.: DR 0 2 - 07 0 5				
10	Petitioner,	JUDGE: DIANE G. BARZ				
12	STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,	PETITION FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE				
13	Respondent.))				
14 15	COMES NOW the Petitioner/Wife, MARY BETH CLAYTON, and respectfully					
16	petitions and shows this Court as follows:					
17		I:				
18	The vital statistics concerning the parties are as follows:					
19	A. PETITIONER/WIFE:					
20	1. Age: 28 2. Occupation: Manager 3. Petitioner/Wife now re	esides at Billings, Montana. Petitioner/Wife has				
21		State of Montana for in excess of ninety days.				
22	B. RESPONDENT/HUSBAND:					
23	1. Age: 35					

2	 Occupation: Unemployed Respondent/Husband now resides at 1654 Brewington, Billings, Montana 59105. Respondent/Husband has been a resident of the State of Montana in excess of ninety days. 	
3	и:	
4	The parties were married on December 30, 1995, in Greenville, Greenville County,	
5	South Carolina, and the marriage license was registered in Greenville County, South Carolina.	
6	III:	
7	The marriage of the parties is irretrievably broken in that there is serious marital discord	
8	which adversely affects the attitude of one or both of the parties towards the marriage and there	
9	is no reasonable prospect of reconciliation.	
10	IV:	
11	The parties were separated as of the 10 th day of June, 2002.	
12	V:	
13	The parties have one minor child born as issue of the marriage, namely, GRACE	
14	MARIE CLAYTON, age 3, born February 18, 1999. Said minor child is the natural child of	
15	the Petitioner/Wife and of the Respondent/Husband.	
16	·	:
17	VI:	
18	The Petitioner/Wife is not now pregnant.	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
19	VII:	The state of the s
20	A proposed parenting plan for the minor child is filed herewith. The proposed parenting	
21	plan is in the best interest of the minor child and should be adopted as the interim parenting	
22	plan during the pendency of these proceedings and as the final parenting plan and incorporated	
23	in the final decree of dissolution of marriage to be entered herein.	
—- <u>1</u>	2 Toby Alback, Alback & Boschert, P.C.	

Respondent/Husband is able to pay and should be ordered to pay Petitioner/Wife temporary monthly child support in an amount determined according to Section 40-4-204 M.C.A. and the Montana Child Support Guidelines, during the pendency of this action and permanent child support until terminated by emancipation of each child or each child's graduation from high school if the child is enrolled in high school, whichever occurs later, but in no event later than each such child's 19th birthday.

Respondent/Husband's obligation to pay child support should be enforced by immediate income withholding under Title 40, chapter 5, Montana Code Annotated.

IX:

The parties are able to pay and should be ordered to pay for and provide health and medical insurance coverage for the minor child during the pendency of this action and thereafter.

The Court should issue its order:

- A. Requiring the parties to secure and pay for health and medical coverage for the minor child during the pendency of this action.
- B. Requiring the parties to provide medical care and health insurance for the minor child in the future.
- C. Requiring the parties to pay the entire net premium cost of the existing health insurance coverage for the child, but giving the parties credit for such premium in computing the child support payments required under the Montana Child Support Guidelines.
- D. Requiring the parties to divide and pay any copayments and deductibles required under the coverage, and all medical expenses for the child not covered by insurance in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

- That the Court direct the Respondent/Husband to pay Petitioner/Wife temporary child support in an amount determined in compliance with the Montana Child Support Guidelines for the minor child of the parties during the pendency of this action and permanent child support thereafter until terminated by emancipation of each such child or each such child's graduation from high school if the child is enrolled in high school, whichever occurs later, but
 - That the Court enter a Medical Support Order:
- Requiring the parties to secure and pay for health and medical coverage during
- Requiring the parties to provide medical care and health insurance for the minor
- Requiring the parties to pay the entire net premium cost of the existing health insurance coverage for the child, but giving the parties credit for such premium in computing the child support payments required under the Montana Child Support Guidelines.
- Requiring the parties to divide and pay any copayments and deductibles required under the coverage, and all medical expenses for the child not covered by insurance in percentages equal to their pro rata contributions to the support of their child as computed by the
- Requiring the parties to provide for the medical care and health insurance for their minor child until terminated by emancipation of such child or such child's graduation from high school if the child is enrolled in high school, whichever occurs later, but in no event later

1	7.	For such other and	l further su	itable relief as to this Court may seem just and
2	proper in the p	oremises.		
3	Dated	this <u>21</u> day of Ju	na 2002	
4	Dated	uns <u>ær</u> day or tu	ne, 2002.	Was Bold Ca. Q
5				MARY BETH CLAYTON 437 Cook Avenue
6				Billings, Montana, 59101
7	1			Petitioner/Wife
8	Lacy	Callera		
9	Toby Alback			
10		BOSCHERT, P.C	*	
11	Attorney for P	etitioner/Wife		
			VER	IFICATION
12	STATE OF M	(ONTANA)		
13	County of Yel		S.	
14	MARY	Y BETH CLAYT(ON, being	first duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says as
15	follows:	·	, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
16				oing action for dissolution of my marriage. I have matters contained therein are true, correct and
17		e best of my know		
18				Wa Roll Of
19				MARY BETH CLAYTON
20	SUBS	CRIBED AND SW	ORN to be	efore me this 21 th day of June, 2002.
21	SS	NDIS KOEV		Landis Loenig
22	(NO	TARIALSE	L)	Notary Public for the State of Montana; Residing at Billings, MT
23	*	SEN A		My Commission expires: 2-15-2006 Printed Name: Kandis Koenig
	Ag	Are are		6
	·	OF MO	Toby Alback,	Alback & Boschert, P.C. Suite 227, Billings, Montana 59101
		TON 14015		06) 252-4221

`	
1	Toby Alback ALBACK & BOSCHERT, P.C. CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT
2	227 Hedden-Empire Building 208 North 29 th Street OZ JUN 28 PM 3 15
3	Billings, MT 59101 Telephone: (406) 252-4221
4	Attorney for Petitioner/Mother BY BEPUTY
5	#CFUII
6	
7	MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT,
8	YELLOWSTONE COUNTY
9	IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF) CAUSE NO.: $DR_{02} = 0.705$
10	MARY BETH CLAYTON,) JUDGE: DIANE G. BARZ
11.	Petitioner,
12) PETITIONERS PRELIMINARY STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,) PROPOSED PARENTING PLAN
13	Respondent.
14	·)
15	COMES NOW, Petitioner/Mother, and hereby makes and proposes the following
16	parenting plan for the parenting plan of the minor child of the parties:
17	1. <u>IDENTIFICATION OF CHILD:</u> The parties have one minor child, namly
18	GRACE MARIE CLAYTON, age 3, born February 18, 1999, which said minor child is the
19	natural child of Petitioner/Mother and Respondent/Father. The minor child currently resides with
20	Petitioner/Mother in Billings, Montana.
21	2. RESIDENCY OF PARENTS: The legal residences of the parties are:
22	MARY BETH CLAYTON Billings, Montana, 59101
23	Diffings, Montana, 57101

1 2	STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON 1654 Brewington Billings, Montana					
3	3,	OBJECTIVES OF PARENTING PLAN: This plan is intended to:				
4		A .	Protect our mi	nor child's best in	nterests;	
5		В.	Provide for the	e physical care of	our child;	
6		C.	Provide for ou	r child's changing	g needs as they	y grow and mature;
7		D.	Set forth our a	uthority and respons	onsibilities wi	th respect to our minor child;
8		E.	Help us avoid	expensive future	court battles o	over our child.
9	4.	RESID	ENTIAL SCI	HEDULE FOR	THE CHILD:	Both parties have important
10	roles to play ir	our chi	ld's developme	nt. Petitioner/Mo	other therefore	proposes the following terms
11	11 for sharing time with our children.					
12	The minor child shall with the Petitioner/Mother allowing liberal visitation with					
13	Respondent/Husband. In addition, the parties shall alternate custody of the minor child on the					
14	following holi	idays as	indicated:	,		
15	Holida			Mother		<u>Father</u>
16		mas Eve mas Day		Odd Years Even Years		Even Years
10		llias Day Zear's Da		Odd Years		Odd Years Even Years
17		rial Day	*5	Even Years		Odd Years
_	Independence Day Odd Years Even Years					
18	Thanksgiving Even Years Odd Years					
	Mother's Birthday Every Year					
19						
	Mother's Day Every Year					
20		ner's Day Every Years				
21	Child's Birthday Even Years Odd Years					
22						
23	23					
•				2		:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

8

11

13

15

17

19

21

- 7. ACCESS TO INFORMATION: Both parties shall have equal access to all information relating to said minor child including, but not limited to, school records, counseling records, and medical records.
- 8. CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATION: Petitioner/Mother proposes that in the event the parties are unable to resolve an important conflict between them regarding our child, we should be required to seek the assistance of a neutral third party acceptable to both of us, and to try to resolve our differences through confidential mediation. Respondent and Petitioner/Mother should share the cost of such mediation equally.
- CHILD SUPPORT: Petitioner/Mother requests that Respondent/Father be 9. required to pay child support in an amount determined by application of the Montana Child Support Guidelines.
- **INSURANCE:** Petitioner/Mother requests that the matter of medical support be 10. required as set out in the Petition for Dissolution of Marriage filed herein.
- FUTURE INSTRUMENTS: Each party should be required to promptly execute 11. and deliver to the other any and all future documents and instruments and to perform all acts which may be necessary to carry into full force and affect the terms of this parenting plan.
- ATTORNEYS FEES: Petitioner/Mother proposes that in the event of future 12. litigation between the parties to enforce, modify, or interpret any provision of this parenting plan, the prevailing party shall be entitled to all his or her court costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee and reimbursement of any costs for unsuccessful mediation.
- **ENFORCEMENT:** Petitioner/Mother proposes that this parenting plan become 13. effective and adopted as the Interim Parenting Plan upon its approval by the District Court having jurisdiction over this proceeding for dissolution of marriage.

14. DECLARATION FOR PROPOSED PARENTING PLAN:

Petitioner/Mother declares under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Montana that this proposed plan has been proposed in good faith and the statements in this proposed plan are true and correct. That Petitioner/Mother and Respondent/Father have discussed the issue of parenting their child from time to time since their separation and Petitioner/Mother has structured this Preliminary Parenting Plan in conformance with the agreements she and Respondent/Father have expressed and agreed to in such conversations.

DATED this 21 st day of June, 2002.

MARY BETH CLAYTON

ς .

DISTRICT Lee Rindal 1 RINDAL LAW FIRM 2 926 Main Street, Suite 16 *02 AUG 1/9 Billings, Montana 59105 3 (406) 252-2400 Attorney for Respondent 4 5 6 7 8 MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT YELLOWSTONE COUNTY 9 IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF: Cause No. DR 02-0705 10 MARY BETH CLAYTON. Judge DIANE G. BARZ 11 Petitioner. 12 and AFFIDAVIT OF STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON 13 STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON. 14 Respondent. 15 16 STATE OF MONTANA SS. 17 County of Yellowstone 18 19 STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON, having been duly sworn, deposes and says: 20 21 Within a week of our separation, my 3 year-old daughter Grace, commented 1. 22 on my not being her father and that she called "Ronnie" her daddy and that 23 Ronnie's children have began to call Mary Beth "mommy." 24 25 It seems fairly clear that "Ronnie" and Mary Beth had been carrying on a 2. 26 relationship before our separation. 27 When asked who "Ronnie" was, Mary Beth told me that he was a minor child, 28 3.

AFFIDAVIT OF STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON

p/1

a friend of Grace. Later I learned that he was Mary Beth's lover.

- 4. This is an unhealthy environment to raise a child at such a crucial time of development. The child is confused who her father is.
- 5. A more serious instance occurred during the week of the 15th of July when Grace grabbed my hand and tried to get me to touch her genitals. This sort of thing never happened before her mother, Mary Beth, took her from our house and out of my care.
- 6. The area where Mary Beth moved my daughter is one of the higher crimeridden areas of the city. Mary Beth has already stated that she could have afforded to stay at our house, but now she is paying only rent, which is half of our mortgage, and she believes that she no longer has to pay credit card payments.
- 7. I called Mary Beth's mother to find out why our old church was asked to discipline Mary Beth. Mary Beth's mother said it was because of the affair with Ronnie.
- 8. Mary Beth lived with her sister after our separation but the ongoing affair between Ronnie and Mary Beth led her sister to become concerned and that led to Mary Beth moving out to continue on with her affair unabated.
- 9. Certainly, Mary Beth has lied openly to me about the existence of the affair in order to conceal this unfit environment.



STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this 25th day of 2002. Notary Public for the State of MONTANA Residing at Billings, Montana My Commission Expires: UNIS **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** I, LEE RINDAL, do hereby certify that on the _____, 2002, I served the foregoing on counsel for the petitioner in person, by facsimile or by depositing the same in the U.S. Mails, postage prepaid thereon, addressed as follows: Tony Alback Alback & Boschert, P.C. 208 North 29th Street, Suite 227 Billings, MT 59101

Lee Rindal RINDAL LAW FIRM 926 Main Street, Suite 16 Billings, Montana 59105 (406) 252-2400 Attorney for Respondent

12.

CLEAR OF THE DISTRICT COURT EAN A. THOMESON

*02 AUG 19 PM 4 00

FILED

BY

DEPUTY

MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT YELLOWSTONE COUNTY

	·
IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF:	Cause No. DR 02-0705
MARY BETH CLAYTON,	Judge DIANE G. BARZ
Petitioner,	
eander (j.k. engliss)	MOTION FOR SHOW CAUSE HEARING and BRIEF
STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,	
Respondent.	

COMES NOW Respondent, STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON, by and through his attorney, and in accordance with §40-4-213, M.C.A., moves the Court to set a Show Cause Hearing to hear the matter to establish an interim parenting plan during the pendency of this case. At this time, there is no parenting plan established. It would be in the best interests of the minor child if a parenting plan were established setting forth the specific parenting time to be enjoyed by the parties.

Respondent has submitted RESPONDENT'S PROPOSED INTERIM PARENTING PLAN which is in the child's best interests and should be adopted as the interim parenting plan.

This motion is further supported by the AFFIDAVIT OF STEPHEN LLOYD

MOTION FOR SHOW CAUSE HEARING

1	CLAYTON which has been filed concurrently.
2	DATED this 19th day of AUBUST 2002.
4	The Humph
5	LÆE RINDAL/ Attorney for Respondent
6 7	
8	
9	CEDEUTICA EE OF MALLING
10	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
11	I, LEE RINDAL, do hereby certify that on the day of
12	August, 2002, I served the foregoing on counsel for the petitioner in
13	person, by facsimile or by depositing the same in the U.S. Mails, postage prepaid
14	thereon, addressed as follows:
15	
16	Tony Alback Alback & Boschert, P.C.
17	208 North 29th Street, Suite 227 Billings, MT 59101
18 19	His March !
20	LEE RINDAL
21	Attorney for Respondent
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

p/2

MOTION FOR SHOW CAUSE HEARING

Lee Rindal RINDAL LAW FIRM 926 Main Street. Suite 16 Billings, Montana 59105 (406) 252-2400 Attorney for Respondent MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT YELLOWSTONE COUNTY IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF: Cause No. DR 02-0705 MARY BETH CLAYTON. Judge DIANE G. BARZ Petitioner. RESPONDENT'S PROPOSED and INTERIM PARENTING PLAN STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON, Respondent. COMES NOW Respondent, STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON, hereinafter "FATHER" or "RESPONDENT," and proposes the following interim parenting plan to Petitioner, MARY BETH CLAYTON, hereinafter "MOTHER" or "PETITIONER." **IDENTIFICATION** OF CHILD. The parties have one (1) child born of the 1. marriage, namely: Grace Marie Clayton, born February 18, 1999, currently age 3. 2. **RESIDENCY OF PARENTS.** The legal residences of the parties are: PETITIONER RESPONDENT Stephen Clayton Mary Beth Clayton

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1
	2
The state of the s	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

- A. Protect the child's best interests;
- B. Provide for the physical care of the child;
- C. Provide for the child's changing needs as she grows and matures;
- D. Set forth the authority and responsibilities of the parties with respect to the child;
- E. Help to avoid expensive future Court battles over the child.

4. RESIDENTIAL SCHEDULE FOR THE CHILD.

- A. The parents shall be designated joint caregivers of the child, with FATHER being primary residential parent and MOTHER being granted reasonable residential caregiving rights as follows:
 - 1. Every other weekend beginning Friday at 4 p.m., or when she gets off work and ending Sunday at 4 p.m.
 - 2. Tuesday evening and Wednesday evening from 4 p.m. until 8 p.m.
- B. Each parent shall promote a healthy, beneficial relationship between the child and the other parent and will not demean or speak or act out negatively in any manner that would damage the natural flow of love and caring between either parent and the child.
- C. Each parent shall share important information about the child's health, education, discipline and all aspects of the child's upbringing with each other.

D. All times set forth below in the holiday schedule herein shall take precedence over the regularly scheduled residential periods set forth above. Unless otherwise mutually agreed to, the holiday schedule shall be as follows:

HOLIDAY	STEPHEN	MARY BETH
Memorial Day (to include 3 day weekend if school is not in session, to end no later than 8:00 p.m. on the last day)	Odd	Even
Father's Day	None	Every
4th of July	Even	Odd
Labor Day (to include 3 day weekend if school is not in session, to end no later than 8:00 p.m. on the last day)	Odd	Even
Halloween	Even	Odd
Thanksgiving (Thursday and Friday, commencing at 8:00 a.m. on Thursday at concluding at 8:00 p.m. on Friday)	Odd	Even
Christmas holiday break first half (commencing the afternoon school gets out until 8:00 a.m. December 25)	Even	Odd
Christmas holiday break second half (December 25, commencing at 8:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m. on the evening before school recommences)	Odd	Even
New Year's Eve	Even	Odd
Father's Birthday	None	Every
Mother's Birthday	Every	None

5. HEALTH INSURANCE. MOTHER should provide and maintain health insurance for the parties' minor child. The parties shall share on a pro rata basis, as set forth in the child support guidelines any deductible or non-covered health or dental costs.

	2
	3
	4
Section residence control	5
To the second se	6
***************************************	7
	8
erena	9
	10
	11
	12
Management	13
Malada	14
SHOP CONTRACTOR OF SHIP	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
Serving .	21
	22
	23
	24
designation	25
	26
Period Agricultural India	27
i de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell	28
postación de la construcción de	

2

- 6. **EXCHANGING INFORMATION.** Both parties shall update each other and the Court with written notice of changes to the following information:
 - A. Changes in residential and mailing addresses;
 - В. Changes in telephone numbers at home and at work;
 - C. Changes in names and addresses of employers;
 - D. Changes in health insurance coverage for the child; and
 - E. Changes in health insurance available through either parties' employer which could cover the parties' child.
- 7. RESIDENTIAL CHANGES SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTING THE CHILD. If either parent's change of residence will significantly affect the child's contact with the other parent, the parties shall follow the following procedure:
 - A. The moving parent will:
 - 1. Prepare with written notice of their intention to change residences;
 - 2. Prepare a proposed revised residential schedule;
 - 3. Serve the non-moving parent personally or by certified mail not less than 30 days before the proposed change of residence with the written notice of intention to change residences and with the proposed revised residential schedule; and
 - 4. File proof of service upon the non-moving parent with the Court named above.
 - В. If the non-moving parent fails to respond to the written notice of intention to change residences and the proposed revised residential

schedule, then the non-moving parent will be deemed to have accepted the proposed revised residential schedule.

- D. If the non-moving parent objects to the proposed revised residential schedule, the non-moving parent shall:
 - 1. Prepare a response and serve the moving parent personally or by certified mail within 30 days of receipt of the notice from the moving parent;
 - 2. The response may include an alternative proposed revised residential schedule or state why the existing residential schedule should continue; and
 - 3. File proof of service upon the moving parent with the Court named above.
- D. If the parties cannot agree upon a revised residential schedule for the child, they shall promptly make arrangements to mediate their differences as provided below.
- E. If the parties cannot agree upon a revised residential schedule for the child after mediation, they may file appropriate petitions and motions with the Court.
- 8. ACCESS TO INFORMATION. Both parties shall have equal access to all information relating to their child including, but not limited to, school records, counseling records and medical records.
- 9. CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATION. In the event the parties are unable to resolve an important conflict between them regarding the child, they shall seek the assistance of a neutral third party acceptable to both, and to try to resolve the differences through confidential mediation. Petitioner shall pay 50% and Respondent shall pay 50% of the cost of such mediation.

	j
	2
	3
W. 3	4
The second second	5
September 100	6
Month	7
Annual Manager	8
een een	9
	10
ougi	11
	12
Special Property	13
Walter St.	14
discharge services	15
500	16
	17
	18
	19
Scanning and an incidence of the control of the con	20
WW.	21
Second Andreas y Automore	22
	23
Western Washington	24
in endaged	25
Secretarial descriptions	26
and the second	27

- 10. CHILD SUPPORT. MOTHER shall pay FATHER monthly child support in the amount of \$317.00as set forth in the attached Montana Child Support Guidelines, with support recalculated annually on or before June 1 each year.
- 11. INCOME TAX DEDUCTIONS. FATHER shall claim the child as a dependency deduction on his income tax returns in EVEN numbered years.
 MOTHER shall claim the child as a dependency deduction on her income tax returns in ODD numbered years.
- 12. RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL. Both parents have the right of first refusal to provide care for their child if the other parent is unable to provide care. In the event that either parent utilizes alternate care of their child, that parent shall notify the other parent of the child needing to be cared for and the other parent shall have the option of providing said care for the child during that period. Both parents MUST notify the other parent when the child are being watched by someone other than the parent. Both parents MUST provide the careprovider's full name, address and telephone number to the other parent prior to allowing the careprovider to care for the child.
- 13. EFFECTIVE DATE. It is the intent of FATHER that this Proposed Interim

 Parenting Plan become effective as the final parenting plan upon its approval

 by the District Court having jurisdiction over this proceeding.
- 14. ENFORCEMENT. This parenting plan shall be enforceable by any method provided by law or equity. This parenting plan shall be binding upon the parties, their personal representatives, heirs and assigns.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Respondent has executed this Proposed Interim Parenting Plan. STEPHEN CLAYTON, Respondent STATE OF MONTANA SS. County of Yellowstone On this 2540 day of , 2002, before the undersigned, a Notary Public, personally appeared STEPHEN CLAYTON, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing Proposed Parenting Plan and acknowledged to me that the foregoing was executed freely and voluntarily. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my Notarial Seal on the date in this certificate first above written. Notary Public for the State of MONTANA Residing at Billings, Montana My Commission Expires: _

MONTANA CHILD SUPPORT GUIDELINES

Worksheet A

	Cause/Case number DR 02-0705	Worksheets included in this calculation: A X B			
	Mother's name Mary Beth Clayton	Address of the Control of the Contro	Father's name	Stephen Claytor	1
	Children for whom this determination is a	made:			
		Date of			Date of
	Name	Birth	Name		Birth
	Grace Marie Clayton	2/18/99	AMALA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA		
AL 1.	L AMOUNTS ARE ANNUAL			MOTHER	FATHER
·	a. wages, salaries, commissions			\$ 23,000	•
	b. self-employment net earnings			4 23,000	\$ 400
	c. pensions, social security			-	
	d. unearned income	t det ta	17 10		
	e. imputed income			**************************************	***************************************
	f. earned income credit				136
	g. other taxable income				
	h. other non-taxable income		•		
	i. TOTAL INCOME (add lines 1a through	ıh 1h)		23,000	536
2.	Allowable deductions				
	a. court-ordered child support (for other	children)			***************************************
	b. allowance for other children (from Tab	le 2)			
	c. court-ordered alimony/spousal suppor				
	d. court-ordered health insurance premiu	ım (for other children)			
	e. federal income taxes			2,618	***************************************
	f. state income taxes			841	
	g. social security (FICA plus Medicare)			1,760	31
	h. mandatory retirement contributions				
	i, required employment expense				
	j. dependent care expense for persons o				
	in this calculation, less dependent can k. other				
	k. other I. TOTAL ALLOWABLE DEDUCTIONS		2k)	5,219	31
			,		
3.	INCOME AFTER DEDUCTIONS (line 1i	minus line 2l)		\$ 17,781	\$ 505

	MOTHER		FATHER
3a. Enter amount from line 3 for each parent	\$ 17,781	\$	505
4. Personal allowance for each parent (from Table 1)	11,167		11,167
5. Income available for child support			
(line 3a minus line 4; if less than zero, enter zero)	6,614		0
6. If line 5 is zero, enter the minimum contribution (from WS-C). If line 5			
is greater than zero, multiply line 3 by .12 (12%) and enter the result her	e <u>2,134</u>		0
7. For each parent, compare line 5 to line 6 and enter the greater amount	6,614		<u> </u>
8. Combined income available (add both columns, line 7)	-	6,614	
Parental share of combined income available			
(divide each column of line 7 by line 8)	100%		0%
10. Number of children due support in this calculation		1	
11. Primary child support allowance for number of children			
in line 10 (from table 2)		3,350	
12. Supplement to primary allowance (combine annual expenses of mother party custodian, if applicable)	father and third	i W	
a. Child daycare costs less dependent care tax credit		0	
b. Child health insurance premium		0	
c. Child extraordinary medical expenses d. Other		0	
e. Total supplement (add 12a, 12b, 12c and 12d)		0	
13. Total primary allowance and supplement (add lines 11 and 12e)		3,350	
14. For each parent: if line 6 is greater than line 5, skip to line 21 and ell line 6 is less than line 5, go to line 15.	nter the amount f	rom line 6.	
15. Parent's share of total (for each column, multiply line 13 by line 9)	3,350		0
16. Compare line 15 to line 5. Enter the smaller amount here.	3,350		0
17. Income available for SOLA (line 5 minus line 16;			
if zero, enter zero and skip to line 21)	3,264		0
18. Adjustments to income available for SOLA:			٥
a. Long distance parenting adjustment (from Worksheet D) b. Other (specify)	0		0
19. Adjusted income available for SOLA (line 17 minus			
line 18a and 18b)	3,264		
20. SOLA amount (from Worksheet E)	457		0
			CS-404.1A

a. Grace Marie 110.0 + 255.0 = 365 3,807 b.				MOTHER	FATHER
enter the larger amount) 23. Credit for payment of expenses (enter amount of line 12 expenses that each parent pays) 24. Net annual obligation (line 22 minus line 23; if less than zero, enter zero) 25. Enter the number of days each child spends with each parent annually in columns A and B. Determine if all of the children spend 110 days or less with the same parent. (Do all the children reside primarily with the same parent an spend 110 days or less with the other parent?) if YES, divide the line 24 obligation for the parent who cares for the children 110 days or less by the number of children on line 10. Enter the result in column C or D (depending on wh parent's obligation is being divided) for each child. Leave the other parent's column blank. If NO, complete workshe workshe workshe Mother Father Mother Father A B C D Child's Name Mother Father Mother Father A B B C D D Child's Name Mother Father B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B					\$
23. Credit for payment of expenses (enter amount of line 12 expenses that each parent pays) 24. Net annual obligation (line 22 minus line 23; if less than zero, enter zero) 25. Enter the number of days each child spends with each parent annually in columns A and B. Determine if all of the children spend 110 days or less with the same parent. (Do all the children reside primarily with the same parent an spend 110 days or less with the other parent?) If YES, divide the line 24 obligation for the parent who cares for the children 110 days or less by the number of children on line 10. Enter the result in column C or D (depending on wh parent's obligation is being divided) for each child. Leave the other parent's column blank. If NO, complete workshe A B C D Child's Name Mother Father Mother Father Amother Father Amother Father a Grace Marie 110.0 + 255.0 = 365 b. + = 365 c. + = 365 d. + = 365 d. + = 365 f. = 365 f. = 365 g. + = 365 g. + = 365 g. + = 365 l. Total Obligation (add lines 25a through 25h) 3,807 26. Determine the difference between line 25i column C and line 25i column D. Enter the difference in the column of the parent having the higher obligation. 27. Total monthly transfer payment (line 26 divided by 12) 317 THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS.		-	ach parent compare line 21 to lir		
24. Net annual obligation (line 22 minus line 23; if less than zero, enter zero) 25. Enter the number of days cach child spends with each parent annually in columns A and B. Determine if all of the children spend 110 days or less with the same parent. (Do all the children reside primarily with the same parent an spend 110 days or less with the other parent?) If YES, divide the line 24 obligation for the parent who cares for the children 110 days or less by the number of children on line 10. Enter the result in column C or D (depending on wh parent's obligation is being divided) for each child. Leave the other parent's column blank. If NO, complete workshe A B C D Child's Name Mother Father Mother Father A B C D Child's Name Mother Father Mother Fathe 3. Grace Marie 110.0 + 255.0 = 365 3.897 5. c. + = 365 6. + = 365 7. d. + = 365 7. d. + = 365 7. d. + = 365 8. Total Obligation (add lines 25a through 25h) 3.807 26. Determine the difference between line 25i column C and line 25i column D. Enter the difference in the column of the parent having the higher obligation. 27. Total monthly transfer payment (line 26 divided by 12) 317 THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS.				<u> </u>	THE COLUMN AND ADDRESS OF THE STATE OF THE S
24. Net annual obligation (line 22 minus line 23; if less than zero, enter zero) 25. Enter the number of days each child spends with each parent annually in columns A and B. Determine if all of the children spend 110 days or less with the same parent. (Do all the children reside primarily with the same parent?) if YES, divide the line 24 obligation for the parent who cares for the children 110 days or less with the other parent?) if YES, divide the line 24 obligation for the parent who cares for the children 110 days or less with the other parent?) if YES, divide the line 24 obligation for the parent who cares for the children 110 days or less by the number of children on line 10. Enter the result in column C or D (depending on which parent's obligation is being divided) for each child. Leave the other parent's column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the parent's column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of the column blank. If NO, complete workshe was a series of				0	
25. Enter the number of days each child spends with each parent annually in columns A and B. Determine if all of the children spend 110 days or less with the same parent. (Do all the children reside primarily with the same parent an spend 110 days or less with the other parent?) If YES, divide the line 24 obligation for the parent who cares for the children 110 days or less by the number of children on line 10. Enter the result in column C or D (depending on wh parent's obligation is being divided) for each child. Leave the other parent's column blank. If NO, complete workshe A B C D Child's Name Mother Father Mother Father A B C D Child's Name Mother Father Mother Father B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	24. Net annual oblic	ation (line 22 min	is line 23.		The second secon
25. Enter the number of days each child spends with each parent annually in columns A and B. Determine if all of the children spend 110 days or less with the same parent. (Do all the children reside primarily with the same parent an spend 110 days or less with the other parent?) If YES, divide the line 24 obligation for the parent who cares for the children 110 days or less by the number of children on line 10. Enter the result in column C or D (depending on which parent's obligation is being divided) for each child. Leave the other parent's column blank. If NO, complete worksher and B. C. D. Child's Name Mother Father Mother Father Mother Father Mother Father A. Grace Marie 110.0 + 255.0 = 365 3.807 b. C. + = 365 3.807 b. D. Total Obligation (add lines 25s through 25h) 3.807 b. C. Determine the difference between line 25i column C and line 25i column D. Enter the difference in the column of the parent having the higher obligation. 27. Total monthly transfer payment (line 26 divided by 12) 317 THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS.	_		35 IIIIC 20,	3,807	
children 110 days or less by the number of children on line 10. Enter the result in column C or D (depending on wh parent's obligation is being divided) for each child. Leave the other parent's column blank. If NO, complete workshed A B C D Child's Name Mother Father Mother Father Father Mother Father a. Grace Marie 110.0 + 255.0 = 365 3,807 b. + = 365 c. + = 365 d. + = 365 d. + = 365 f. + = 365 g. + = 365 j. Total Obligation (add lines 25a through 25h) 3,807 26. Determine the difference between line 25i column C and line 25i column D. Enter the difference in the column of the parent having the higher obligation. 3.807 THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS.	children spend 1	10 days or less w	ith the same parent. (Do all the	children reside primarily with t	he same parent and
Child's Name Mother Father Mother Father a. Grace Marie 110.0 + 255.0 = 365 3,807 b. + = 365 c. + = 365 d. + = 365 d. + = 365 e. + = 365 g. + = 365 g. + = 365 h. + = 365 i. Total Obligation (add lines 25a through 25h) 3,807 26. Determine the difference between line 25i column C and line 25i column D. Enter the difference in the column of the parent having the higher obligation. 27. Total monthly transfer payment (line 26 divided by 12) 317 THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS.	children 110 day	s or less by the nu	umber of children on line 10. En	ter the result in column C or D	(depending on which
Child's Name Mother Father Mother Father a. Grace Marie 110.0 + 255.0 = 365 3,807 b. + = 365 c. + = 365 d. + = 365 d. + = 365 f. + = 365 g. + = 365 h. + = 365 i. Total Obligation (add lines 25a through 25h) 3,807 26. Determine the difference between line 25i column C and line 25i column D. Enter the difference in the column of the parent having the higher obligation. 27. Total monthly transfer payment (line 26 divided by 12) 317 THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS.		,		C	r)
b.	Child's Name				Father
b.	a. Grace Marie	110.0 +	255.0 = 365	3,807	
d.	***************************************	+	Mahahahanan mananan man	**************************************	**************************************
e.	C.	+	= 365		***************************************
# = 365 g.	d.		= 365		·
g.	e.	+	= 365	Water to the state of the state	Account of the Control of the Contro
h. + = 365 i. Total Obligation (add lines 25a through 25h) 3,807 26. Determine the difference between line 25i column C and line 25i column D. Enter the difference in the column of the parent having the higher obligation. 3,807 27. Total monthly transfer payment (line 26 divided by 12) 317 THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS. COMMENTS: 7/25/02	f.	+	= 365		
Total Obligation (add lines 25a through 25h) 3,807 6. Determine the difference between line 25i column C and line 25i column D. Enter the difference in the column of the parent having the higher obligation. 27. Total monthly transfer payment (line 26 divided by 12) THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS. COMMENTS: Rindal Law Firm 7/25/02	g.	+	= 365		
26. Determine the difference between line 25i column C and line 25i column D. Enter the difference in the column of the parent having the higher obligation. 27. Total monthly transfer payment (line 26 divided by 12) THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS. COMMENTS: Rindal Law Firm 7/25/02	h		= 365	***************************************	
line 25i column D. Enter the difference in the column of the parent having the higher obligation. 27. Total monthly transfer payment (line 26 divided by 12) 317 THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS. COMMENTS: Rindal Law Firm 7/25/02	i Total Obligatio	n (add lines 25a tl	3,807		
parent having the higher obligation. 27. Total monthly transfer payment (line 26 divided by 12) THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS. COMMENTS: Rindal Law Firm 7/25/02	26. Determine the dif	ference between	line 25i column C and		
27. Total monthly transfer payment (line 26 divided by 12) THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS. COMMENTS: Rindal Law Firm 7/25/02					
THIS IS A STANDARD CALCULATION. ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE OBLIGATION IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS. COMMENTS: Rindal Law Firm 7/25/02	parent having the	higher obligation.		3,807	NOTION AND THE PROPERTY OF THE
IS SUPPORTED BY WRITTEN FINDINGS. COMMENTS: Rindal Law Firm 7/25/02	27. Total monthly t	ransfer payment	(line 26 divided by 12)	317	
Rindal Law Firm 7/25/02	ТІ	HIS IS A STANDA			TON
	COMMENTS:				
W (W)	Rindal Law Firm				7/25/02
TREPARED DI	Anna Law Film	DATE			

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Cause/Case number DR 02-0705	User Reference	Clayto	n		
Mother's name Mary Beth Clayton	Report Date	7/25/02			
Father's name Stephen Clayton			*		
Daycare Health Insurance	Other Medical	Oth	ier Ob	onthly ligation	
Child's Name Mother Father Mother Father	Mother Father	Mother	Father Mothe	r Father	
Grace Marie			31	7	

	The second secon	10-00 A0000 00000 0000000 10000000 100000000	**************************************	· ·	

		***************************************	***************************************		

			Mother	Enthan	
			Mother	Father	
For dep care tax credit the annual amount of child care	***************************************				
2. For dep care tax credit the number of children of this c					
3. For dep care tax credit the annual amount of child care			The second secon		
4. Number of children not of this computation that will be				2	
5. Number of personal exemptions each individual will claim in the next reporting period 1					
6. Marital status of each parent Single					
7. For the determination of Earned Income Credit the am			23,000	400	
8. For the determination of Earned Income Credit, the number of Fernand Income Credit Income Credit, the number of Fernand Income Credit Incom				1	
9. For the determination of Earned Income Credit the number of Object Transcription of Child Transcription of Chil		ng chilaren			
10. For the determination of Child Tax Credit the number of		-l	and the second s	1	
11. For the determination of Child Tax Credit the number of	or otner qualitying chii	aren		No	
12. Railroad Tier II tax computation No 13. Mandatory satirement contribution (after tax contribution)					
13. Mandatory retirement contribution (after tax contribution				***************************************	
14. Mandatory deferred compensation (before tax contribu		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
15. Annual mileage driven to exercise long-distance paren					
16. Annual cost of transportation by means other than auto	emobile	ere to announcement of the control o			
17. Number of OTHER children for allowance CSW01.2				***************************************	

28

Lee Rindal RINDAL LAW FIRM 926 Main Street, Suite 16 Billings, Montana 59105 (406) 252-2400 (406) 252-0068 fax

Attorney for Respondent

OLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT JEAN A. THOUSENN 02 AUG 29 APR 9 30

MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT YELLOWSTONE COUNTY

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF:

MARY BETH CLAYTON,

Petitioner,

and

Cause No. DR 02-0705

Judge DIANE G. BARZ

AFFIDAVIT OF STEP

and AFFIDAVIT OF STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON

STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,

Respondent.

STATE OF MONTANA) : ss.
County of Yellowstone)

STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON, having been duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. I am attending Montana State University-Billings as a full-time student. I am a graduate student majoring in Public Relations. Even though I have only recently chosen this line of study, I had been planning to attend graduate school for some time since a history degree is generally considered a first step in higher learning. I have attached a copy of my schedule.
- 2. The last full-time semester, Spring 2002, I was an undergraduate student majoring in History. I obtained a BA in History after completing a summer history workshop.

- 3. On my first day of this final class, my wife took my child and went to live with her sister across town and into the arms of her lover, Ronnie. It seemed to me that she wished to sabotage my obtaining my degree. I earned an A despite her attempt.
- 4. I decided on a Public Relations degree since I will be able to obtain it as an online student in order to spend more time with my daughter over the period it takes to complete my degree. The degree will also make it much easier to get a good paying career.
- My wife has put my daughter behind my wife's lover in the order of priorities. While my daughter lived with me and my wife, she would only be up until 8 p.m. My wife recently told me that she is now "allowed" to stay up until 10 p.m. even though she is only 3 years old. My wife is constantly over at her lover's home and wishes to spend as much time with her lover and keeps my daughter up to do so.
- 6. My wife has been living with my daughter in three different residences in the last three months. All this moving around must be very unsettling to my daughter. My daughter spent her entire life in the house I live in. Here, she has her own room, her dog and her cat. Unlike her mother, I offer stability.
- 7. My wife's lover has two small children and my daughter has to share her mother's love between the lover and his two children. I will be giving her all the attention that she so desperately needs at this difficult time.
- 8. I have a stable family life. My wife has been estranged from her family for

the last two years. She also knowingly allowed her father, a known child incest sexual abuser, to be with my daughter at least three times since all of his incest sexual abuse of my wife and her sisters came out in the open. One of my wife's sister has left the family and changed her first and last name just to separate herself from her abusive family. This sister has also hired an attorney to keep her entire family away from her.

- 9. During the Spring semester of 2002, I carried 19 credit hours and worked 15 hours per week as an archive intern at MSU-B. I was also Vice-President of Phi Alpha Theta, the History Honor Society. I also traveled to Spokane, Washington, to present historical research that I had compiled over the course of the semester. My wife requested, on top of all my school work and part-time employment through the college, that I should also work full-time at night.
- 10. On May 5, 2002, my wife told me that she wanted me dead. While traveling to present my paper in Spokane, Washington, she hoped that I would die in a car wreck. She told me she felt bad about that wish for me to die in a car accident not because of my dying, but because there would be others in the car.
- 11. Days after our separation, she told me that she had talked to the police about her wanting me dead to cover herself in case I happened to die.
- 12. After she told me about wanting me dead, I changed my beneficiary status on my newly purchased life insurance policies. If I died, she would have received \$225,000 in compensation.



SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this 21 Hb day of 111010th, 2002.



Notary Public for the State of MONTANA
Residing at Billings, Montana
My Commission Expires: 45, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, LEE RINDAL, do hereby certify that on the _______ day of ________, 2002, I served the foregoing on counsel for the petitioner in person, by facsimile or by depositing the same in the U.S. Mails, postage prepaid thereon, addressed as follows:

Tony Alback Alback & Boschert, P.C. 208 North 29th Street, Suite 227 Billings, MT 59101

ŁEE'RINDAL, J.D

27-AUG-2002 08:09:32 200270

Clayton, Stephen Lloyd 1654 Brewington Drive Billings, MT 59105

P/T	CRN	SUBJ	CRSE	SECT	CMP	TITLE
1	71601 71606 71905 72082	COMT	320 425			Interpersonal Comm Media and Society Media Effects Media Advertising

Montana State University Student Schedule Fall 2002

PAGE 1 SFRSCHD

-00057296

CREDS	<u>LV</u>	ST	DAYS	TIME	BUILD	ROOM	INSTRUCTOR
3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00	UG UG	RE RE	TBA TBA	0340-0510pm TBA TBA TBA	LA ONLINE ONLINE ONLINE	WEB	STAFF Pugh R Gross D Pugh R

CREDITS 12.00 CEUS .00



1	Toby Alback ALBACK & BOSCHERT, P.C.	-0x			
2	208 North 29th Street, Suite 227				
3	Billings, MT 59101 Telephone: (406) 252-4221	·			
4	Attorney for Petitioner/Wife	,			
5					
6	· -	I JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT,			
7	IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF	STONE COUNTY)			
) CAUSE NO.: DR02-0705			
8	MARY BETH CLAYTON,) JUDGE: DIANE G. BARZ			
9	Petitioner,) JUIME: DIANE G. BARZ			
	v.)			
10	STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,) AFFIDAVIT OF) MARY BETH CLAYTON			
11					
	Respondent.)			
12)			
13	STATE OF MONTANA)				
14	County of Yellowstone)				
15	MARY BETH CLAYTON, being fir	st duly sworn under oath states and avers as			
16	follows:				
17	1 That I am of legal age and a resident of Billings, Yellowstone County, Montana				
18	2. That I am the Petitioner in the above-entitled action.				
19	3. That, on the 3 rd day of June, 2002, my husband and I separated. At the time of				
20	our separation, my daughter and I moved in with my sister, Jozy Kline and resided with her				
21	until July 7, 2002 at which time I moved into	o my residence at 617 North 25 St., Apt. #2,			
22	Billings, Montana 59101. My daughter and I have resided in said residence from that date				

forward and continue to reside there as of the date of this affidavit. No other persons reside in said residence, save for my daughter and me.

- 4. That, my residence is a two-bedroom apartment and, as such, my daughter,
 Grace, has her own bedroom and her own bed and is surrounded by her toys, clothes and other
 personal property items consistent with a girl of her age.
- That, since my daughter's birth on February 18, 1999, I have been the primary caretaker and care provider to my said daughter. When my daughter was approximately six (6) months of age, I started taking her to day care provided by Alice Mills. At the time Grace began attending day care, my husband was employed. However, his employment ceased within approximately 4 to 6 weeks after she began attending day care and, despite such fact, she continued in day care because he refused to care for her during the day.
 - 6. My daughter continues to attend day care provided by Alice Mills to this day.
- 7. That, at the time I filed for a dissolution of marriage herein, I instructed my attorney to prepare a Proposed Parenting Plan which provided for "liberal and reasonable visitation" of our daughter by her father, Respondent herein. That my proposal for such visitation was based upon my belief that it would be in our child's best interest for her to have regular and frequent contact with her father.
- 8. That, subsequently, I have become concerned about such visitation based upon comments made to me by my daughter. For example, on more that one occasion, she has expressed to me that "Mommy, you hurt Daddy's heart". On other occasions, she has told me that "Papa [meaning Respondent's father] doesn't like you" and "Grandma hates you". I can only conclude that these comments are echoes of statements my daughter has been told by her father, Respondent herein.

- 9. On another occasion, my daughter told me that "Daddy cut you out of the picture". On another occasion as I was returning to my home with my daughter after picking her up from her father's home, Grace told me that "He cut your head off. He poked out your eyes". When I asked her what that meant, she told me "Daddy cut you out of the picture. Subsequently, when I picked my daughter up from her father's home, I saw a family picture from which my head had been cut out and removed.
- That, on various when my daughter and I have been driving toward

 Respondent's home, my daughter has become upset and begun crying and has stated to me that

 "she doesn't want to live with Daddy Stephen" and "I want to go home with you to live in our

 new house".
- That, at various times in the past, Respondent has experienced and suffered from severe depression and has been treated by counseling and medication.
- That, at various times in the past, Respondent has told me that he has been suicidal and has contemplated having an "accident to end it all".
- That, based upon Respondent's background and history of depression and his comments indicating that he has been suicidal, I am concerned that Respondent's current mental and emotional condition is such that it will prove detrimental to my daughter if she is placed in his care, custody and control for extended periods of time.
 - 14. That, filed herewith is a Proposed Amended Parenting Plan which I believe is in the best interest of our minor child, Grace Marie Clayton.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

DATED this 3rd day of September, 2002.

MARYBETH CLAYTON

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this 3 day of September, 2002.



Notary Public for the State of MT; Residing at Billings, Montana; My Commission expires: 2-15-2006 Name Printed: Kandis Kacaig

1	Toby Alback ALBACK & BOSCHERT, P.C.	
2	227 Hedden-Empire Building	
3	208 North 29 th Street Billings, MT 59101	
4	Telephone: (406) 252-4221	
anguaya e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Attorney for Petitioner/Mother	
5		
6		
7	MONTANA THIDTEENT	H JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT,
8		STONE COUNTY
9	IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF)
10	MARY BETH KLINE CLAYTON,) CAUSE NO.: DR02-0705
) JUDGE: <u>DIANE G. BARZ</u>
11	Petitioner, v.	
12	STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,) PETITIONERS AMENDED) PROPOSED PARENTING PLAN
13	Respondent.	
14		ک
15	COMES NOW, Petitioner/Mother, an	nd hereby makes and proposes the following amended
16	parenting plan for the parenting plan of the m	inor child of the parties:
17	1. <u>IDENTIFICATION OF CH</u>	ILD: The parties have one minor child, namely
18	GRACE MARIE CLAYTON, age 3, born	February 18, 1999, which said minor child is the
19	natural child of Petitioner/Mother and Respon	ndent/Father. The minor child currently resides with
20	Petitioner/Mother in Billings, Montana.	
21	2. RESIDENCY OF PARENT	S: The legal residences of the parties are:
22	MARY BETH KLINE CLA Billings, Montana, 59101	YTON
23	Diffings, Wortana, 57101	
	Toby Alback] Alback & Boschert P.C

2	1654 Brewington		
3	3. <u>OBJE</u> 0	CTIVES OF PARENTING PL	AN: This plan is intended to:
4	A.	Protect our minor child's best in	terests;
5	В.	Provide for the physical care of o	our child;
6	C.	Provide for our child's changing	needs as she grows and matures;
7	D.	Set forth our authority and respo	nsibilities with respect to our minor child;
8	E.	Help us avoid expensive future of	court battles over our child.
9	4. <u>RESII</u>	ENTIAL SCHEDULE FOR T	HE CHILD: Both parties have important
10	roles to play in our chi	ld's development. Petitioner/Mot	her therefore proposes the following terms
11	for sharing time with o	ur child.	
12	The minor chil	d shall with the Petitioner/Mother	r allowing liberal visitation with
13	Respondent/Husband. Said liberal visitation shall consists of the following specific visitation		
14	schedule:		
15	Father shall be	entitled to one weeknight visita	tion per week, on a day of his choosing
16	during the period from	4:00 p.m. through 8:00 p.m. In a	addition, Father shall be entitled to
17	visitation/custody of sa	id minor child every other weeks	end from Friday at 4:00 p.m. through
18	Sunday evening at 6:00) p.m.	
19	In addition, the	e parties shall alternate custody of	of the minor child on the following
20	holidays as indicated:		
21	<u>Holidav</u> Christmas Eve	Mother Odd Years	<u>Father</u> Even Years
22	Christmas Day	Even Years	Odd Years Even Years
23	New Year's Da Memorial Day	Odd Years Even Years	Odd Years
		2 Toby Alback Alback & Re	sechart P.C

STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON

- 12. <u>ATTORNEYS FEES:</u> Petitioner/Mother proposes that in the event of future litigation between the parties to enforce, modify, or interpret any provision of this parenting plan, the prevailing party shall be entitled to all his or her court costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee and reimbursement of any costs for unsuccessful mediation.
- 13. **ENFORCEMENT:** Petitioner/Mother proposes that this parenting plan become effective and adopted as the Interim Parenting Plan upon its approval by the District Court having jurisdiction over this proceeding for dissolution of marriage.

14. DECLARATION FOR PROPOSED PARENTING PLAN:

Petitioner/Mother declares under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Montana that this proposed plan has been proposed in good faith and the statements in this proposed plan are true and correct. That Petitioner/Mother and Respondent/Father had previously discussed the issue of parenting their child from time to time since their separation and Petitioner/Mother had structured her original Proposed Preliminary Parenting Plan filed herein in conformance with the agreements she and Respondent/Father had expressed and agreed to in such conversations. However, by virtue of the pleadings filed herein by Respondent/Father, it is apparent that Respondent does not intend to abide by the agreements previously reached and, therefore, Petitioner is left with no alternative other than to ask the Court to adopt the parenting plan proposed herein.

DATED this 5 day of September, 2002.

MARY BETH CLAYTON

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was mailed, postage prepaid, to the following opposing counsel of record at the address listed below:

Lee Rindal RINDAL LAW FIRM 926 Main Street Billings, Montana 59105

DATED this 5th day of September, 2002.

By: Susau A. Warling

Toby Alback
ALBACK & BOSCHERT, P.C.
208 North 29th Street, Suite 227

Billings, MT 59101

Telephone: (406) 252-4221

Attorney for Petitioner/Wife



MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, YELLOWSTONE COUNTY

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF)
) CAUSE NO.: DR02-0705
MARY BETH CLAYTON,)
) JUDGE: DIANE G. BARZ
Petitioner,)
v.)
)
STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,)
)
Respondent.)
	`

PETITIONER'S RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR SHOW CAUSE HEARING, PETITIONER'S MOTION TO ADOPT PRELIMINARY AMENDED PARENTING PLAN AND FOR CHILD SUPPORT ORDER

COMES NOW, Petitioner, by and through her counsel of record and hereby enters her response and objection to Respondent's Motion For Show Cause Hearing in which Respondent asks the Court to adopt Respondent's Proposed Interim Parenting Plan as the interim parenting plan during the pendency of this action.

In addition, Petitioner moves this Court for its Order adopting Petitioner's Preliminary Amended Parenting Plan filed herewith as the interim parenting plan during the pendency of this action and, further, moves the Court for its Order establishing temporary child support pursuant to Petitioner's child support calculations filed herewith.

1	This Response and Motion is supported by the Affidavit of Petitioner and Petitioner's
2	Brief filed herewith.
3	DATED this day of September, 2002.
4	
5	TOBY ALBACK
6	Attorney for Petitioner
7	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
8	I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was mailed, postage pre-
9	paid, to the following opposing counsel of record at the address listed below:
10	Lee Rindal RINDAL LAW FIRM
11	926 Main Street Billings, Montana 59105
12	DATED this day of September, 2002.
13	Say Carl
14	By:
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

RECEIVED SEP 1 1 2002

MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDIC	TAL DISTRICT COURT, YELLOWSTONE COUNTY
IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF:) Cause No.: <u>DR-02-0</u> 705
MARY BETH CLAYTON,) Judge: <u>Diane G. Barz</u>
Petitioner,))
and	ORDER Re: INTERIM PARENTING PLAN
STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,	AND SETTING HEARING
Respondent.))
Both parties having responded to	o the Court's Order of August 23, 2002, through their
attomeys, THE COURT ORDERS AS FO	
1. The Court will adopt the	Petitioner's Amended Proposed Parenting Plan effective
mmediately, until the hearing.	The state of the s
2 A hearing on the Interim	Parenting Plan is SET for September 24, 2002, at 9:00
	ne County Courthouse, Billings, Montana. Each party shall
	mination. No other witnesses except the parties can testify.
The parties need to have their Child Support	
DATED this day of September	
c: Lee Rindal, Esq. Toby Alback, Esq.	HON. DIANE G. BARZ, DISTRICT JUDGE CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	This is we certify that the foregoing was duly served by mail or by hand upon the parties or their atterneys of record at their last known address on this day of September, 2002

j. <u>J</u>.

3.8

MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, YELLOWSTONE COUNTY

Carried Annual Control

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF)
) CAUSE NO.: DR02-0705
MARY BETH CLAYTON,	
) JUDGE: DIANE G. BARZ
Petitioner,)
v.)
)
STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,)
)
Respondent.)
)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ORDER ADOPTING TEMPORARY PARENTING PLAN

THIS MATTER came on for Show Cause Hearing before the Court on the 27 day of September 2002. Petitioner was present in person along with Toby Alback, her counsel of record. Respondent appeared in person along with his attorney, Lee Rindal. Testimony of Petitioner and Respondent was presented to the Court and, after consideration of such testimony and evidence presented at hearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

The Court hereby orders that the Petitioner's Proposed Amended Parenting Plan 1. filed herein is hereby adopted as the Interim Parenting Plan as to the care, custody and control

1	of the parties' minor child during the pendency of this action, provided, however, that		
2	Petitioner's Amended Proposed Parenting Time shall be amended to allow Respondent/Father		
3	the following visitation schedule with the minor child:		
4	Father shall be entitled to two (2) weeknight visitations with the minor		
5	said minor child every other weekend from Friday at 4:00 p.m. through Sunday		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	DATED this _/ day of October, 2002.		
8	DATED this _/ day of October, 2002.		
9	DIANE G. BARZ		
10	DIANE G. BARZ District Court Judge		
11			
12	cc: Lee Rindal, Esq. Toby Alback, Esq.		
13			
14	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE This is to certify that the foregoing		
15	was duly served by mail upon the parties or their attorneys of record		
	at their last known address this		
16	Honorable Diane G. Barz		
17	By Mardelle J. Considine		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT YELLOWSTONE COUNTY

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF:

1

1.0

11

1.2

13

14

15

1.6

17

1.8

19

20

21

No. DR 02-0705

Petitioner/Respondingt,

MARY BETH CLAYTON,

and

STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,

Respondent/Appellant.



TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Courtroom - Dept. No. 1 Yellowstone County Courthouse Billings, Montana June 18, 2003 1:30 p.m.

HONORABLE DIANE G. BARZ, PRESIDING JUDGE

APPEARANCES

For the Petitioner:

ALPACK & BOSCHERT

by TONY ALBACK

208 North 29th Street, Ste. 227

Billings, MT 59101

For the Respondent:

KINDAL LAW OFFICE

by LEE RINDAL

926 Main Street, Ste. 16

Billings, MT 59105

22 CONDENSED

TRANSCRIPT

STEPHANIE MICHELS, RPR, RMR
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
F.O. HOX 20577
BILLINGS, MT 59101
Courthouse 406-25w-2722
Office 406-656-3355

1	<u>I N D E X</u>	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3 4 5	MARY BETH CLAYTON DIRECT EXAMINATIONBY MR. ALBACK CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL	4 30 47 51
6	MARY MACKI DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL	53 59
8	STEVE CLAYTON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL	103
10	ARTHUR W. CLAYTON	
1.1	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL	129
13		2.02
14	EXHIBITS EXHIBITS	PAGE
15	Respondent's Exhibit A Respondent's Exhibit B	82 91
16 17	Respondent's Exhibit C Respondent's Exhibit D Respondent's Exhibit E	93 94 98
1.8	Respondent's Exhibit G Respondent's Exhibit F	103 122 128
19	Respondent's Exhibit H	1. 2. 0
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		

-design specific			
1	1 N D E X		4
2	WITNESS PAGE	1 1	MARY BETH CLAYTON,
3	MARY BETH CLAYTON	2	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn, was
4	DIRECT EXAMINATIONBY MR. ALBACK 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 30	3	examined and testified as follows:
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK 47 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 51	4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	MARY MACKI	5	BY MR. ALBACK:
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK 53 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 59	6	Q. All right. Would you state your name, please?
6	STEVE CLAYTON	7	A. Mary Elizabeth Clayton.
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 75 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK 103	8	Q. Ms. Clayton, where do you reside?
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 117	9	A. 617 North 25th Street, Apartment 2, in Billings.
11	ARTHUR W. CLAYTON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 119	10	Q. And you are the petitioner in this action; is that
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK 129 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 131	11	right?
13		12	A. Yes.
14	EXHIBITS PAGE	13	Q. Okay. You read the petition that I filed on your
15	Respondent's Exhibit A 82	14	behalf in this matter prior to my filing it, right?
16	Respondent's Exhibit B 91 Respondent's Exhibit C 93	15	A. Yes.
17	Respondent's Exhibit D 94 Respondent's Exhibit E 98	16	Q. And were the matters contained in that petition
18	Respondent's Exhibit G 103 Respondent's Exhibit F 122	17	true and accurate?
19	Respondent's Exhibit H 128	18	A. Yes.
20		19	Q. Okay. You resided in the State of Montana for 90
21		20	days immediately prior to the filing of the petition; is that
22		21	right?
23		22	A. Yes.
24		23	Q. Okay. Is the marriage in your opinion, is your
25		24	marriage to Mr. Clayton irretrievably broken?
		25	A. Yes.
	3] [] [5
1	PROCEEDINGS		Q. And is there any prospect of reconciliation?
2	June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m.	2	A. No.
2 3	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session.	3	Q. You and Mr. Clayton have you been separated since
4	You may be seated.		June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right?
5	This is the time the Court has set aside for	5	A. Of 2002.
6	hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that	1 6	Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002.
7	both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the	7	Okay. And the two of you have one child?
8	courtroom with their respective attorneys.	8	A. Yes.
9	Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and	9	Q. And who is that?
10	you may proceed.	10	A. Grace Marie.
11	MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor,	11	O. How old is Grace?
12	the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand.	12	A. She is four.
13	(Witness was sworn.)	13	Q. Okay. You are not now pregnant; is that right?
14	MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like	14	A. No.
15	to represent to the Court that the parties have agreed to a	15	Q. Okay. Is it your desire that after hearing that
16	distribution of the personal assets, personal property items.	16	your name be changed to your former maiden name?
17	And in that regard, the assets — those assets will	17	A. No.
18	be distributed between the parties on as per the proposed	18	Q. All right. And is it your desire that after the
19	asset distribution that Mr. Rindal has presented to the	19	hearing of this matter that the Court enter a decree of
20	Court.	20	dissolution of your marriage to Mr. Clayton?
21	And so I just wanted to let you know that my client	21	A. Yes.
2 / 1	WHY ON I HOSE MURECULES FOR VEIGH HERE HER SECUL	1161	10. (E).

24

is in agreement with that, so we won't be addressing any of

THE COURT: Thank you.

23 those issues at this hearing.

24

25 ///

Q. I'd like to talk to you now about the parenting 23 plan issues before the Court. You recall, do you not, that

regard to an interim parenting plan matter?

back in September of 2002 this matter was before the Court in

A. Yes.

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- Q. And you recall that after that hearing that Judge Barz entered an order that established an interim parenting plan?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that interim parenting plan provided that Mr. Clayton would have every other weekend with your daughter and two evenings per week; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And has that parenting plan been followed since the time of the entry of it by the Court?
 - A Yes
- Q. And has that parenting plan worked sufficiently in your opinion since that time?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You also recall -- I assume you recall that Judge Barz also strongly recommended in court that you and Mr. Clayton attend parenting classes. Have you done so?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Where did you attend those classes?
 - A. Through Yellowstone Rehabilitation at MSU.
- 22 Q. And when did that occur?
- 23 A. In November or December.
 - Q. Okay. And could you tell the Court briefly what
 - type of things were addressed by the transparenting classes?

7

- A. How to minimize the effects of a conflict, such as divorce on a child, and how to deal with the issues of divorce with the other parent without it negatively affecting the child.
 - Q. Did you learn some things through those classes --
 - A. I did
- Q. -- that have been of assistance to you in dealing with Grace?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And how about in assistance to you in dealing with Mr. Clayton?
- 12 A. I believe so.
 - Q. Okay. Have you tried to utilize any of those things in your dealings with Mr. Clayton?
 - A. I have.
 - O. For example?
 - A. I have done correspondence via e-mail instead of trying to discuss things in front of Grace that could be disruptive to her mental well-being.
 - Q. How has Mr. Clayton responded to those type of entrees from you?
 - A. He was rather unhappy about it, he seemed to think that I wasn't willing to talk to him in person. So from then on he pretty well shut the down in my face or walk away during drop off times.

Q. To your knowledge, has Mr. Clayton taken the transparenting classes?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Have you sought any other parenting or counseling assistance in regard to your daughter and this divorce proceeding?
- A. Based on the recommendations of the people at Yellowstone Rehabilitation, I sought counseling with Mary Macki with Custody Consultants for Grace as well as myself.
- Q. Okay. And how long have you been seeing Mary Macki?
- A. We have gone for nine or ten sessions. It started back in February, I believe.
- Q. And have those sessions been with you and Grace jointly with Mary Macki, or Grace alone or you alone or --
 - A. It has been with both of us with her.
- Q. Do you perceive that those sessions have been a benefit to your daughter?
 - A. Yes, they have been.
- O. How so?
- A. It has helped her learn how to verbalize her feelings and what has been going on in her life, and she has learned how to talk about what is troubling her and why she can't sleep at night, the things that keep her awake and give her a tummy ache. She has learned to verbalize that.

Ć

- Q. And has Mary Macki given you some pointers in regards on how to deal with Grace and her situation?
 - A. She has.
 - Q. All right.
- A. Organizational skills and stuff like that that helps Grace better understand where she is at.
- Q. Did you advise Mr. Clayton of the fact that you were going to go to Mary Macki with your daughter?
 - A. I did after about the fifth or sixth visits.
 - Q. Okay. What was his reaction to that?
- A. He thought it was hypocritical of me to seek counseling for her since he thought I was against it.
- Q. Has he taken part in any counseling with your daughter and Mary Macki?
- A. Dr. Macki did request that he meet these last two sessions so that she could get a chance to see him interact with Grace.
- Q. Okay. Have you noticed any behavioral problems that exist in regard to your daughter since the time of the separation and institution of the parenting plan in this case?
- A. She's very angry and aggressive as far as her play routines. Usually the day or two after she has gone back and forth between households. And we have tried hard to minimize the conflict and the stress when she does come

O. Okay. I'd like to ask you some guestions now in regard to the child support in this case. Judge Barz entered an order of child support of \$115 a month back in late '92.

10

Mr. Clayton is current with his child support payments, is he not?

A. Yes. 8

3

4

11

13

16

19

22

23

2

9 10

11

14

25

- O. Okay. Where are you presently employed?
- A. Allen's Tool Repair. 10
 - O. And how much do you earn there?
- A. \$23,192 a year. 12
 - Q. Okay. Does that break down to an hourly base?
- A. Yes, it is \$11.15 an hour. 14
- O. And you work a full 40-hour week? 15
 - A. Ido.
- O. Okay. Do you anticipate any dramatic changes in 17 vour income in the near future? 18
 - A. I don't.
- 20 Q. Okay. Is your income affected by anything like profit sharing or performance bonuses, anything like that? 21
 - A. No. I do get a bonus at Christmastime, usually about \$100.
- Q. Okay. Your daughter is presently enrolled in 24
- daycare; isn't that right?

of cost for daycare?

2

3

4

6

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

19

21

22

24

25

1

4

5

8

9

13

17

20

- A. It is.
- O. The Court and probably the rest of my divorce clients would like to know how you get that. Could you explain to the Court why it is that it is such a reasonable amount?

- 7 A. Because Alice is a friend of mine and she's doing 8 this to help me.
 - O. Okay.
 - A. And we help each other out, back and forth.
 - O. Is she doing it because of her affection for Grace
- 12 as well?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. All right. Do you currently have your daughter
- 15 enrolled in a health insurance plan?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what health insurance plan is that?
- A. She is with Blue Chip through the State of Montana. 18
 - O. And is there any cost to you for that health
- 20 insurance?
 - A. Not other than co-pays.
 - O. All right. Are there any significant co-pays that
- 23 you have had to pay for treatment for your daughter?

 - O. You're aware, are you not, that there may come a

- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And where does she go to daycare?
 - A. Alice Mills' house. It's a private residence.
- O. And who is Alice Mills?
- 5 A. She is a friend of mine that I met through friends 6
- and through church and --
- O. Okay. How long has your daughter been going to 7 8 Alice Mills for daycare?
 - A. A little over three and a half years, since she was six months old.
 - O. All right. Is she acclimated in that daycare setting?
- 12 13
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Does she seem to be happy there?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Are there other children that are -- that go to
- daycare there as well? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- O. Do you know how many? 19
- 20 A. About four.
- O. And has she made friends with those children? 21
- 22
- O. How much does your daycare cost you? 23
- A. \$50 a week. 24
 - O. It strikes me that that is a very reasonable amount

- time that the Chips program might not exist, or also that you
- 2 might not no longer qualify for it; is that right? 3
 - A. Yes.
 - O. You are aware, are you not, that in the petition
 - for dissolution we have asked the Court to enter a medical support order whereby you and Mr. Clayton will be responsible
- 7 for medical care for your daughter?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And are you in agreement with that?
- 10
- 11 Q. And would you like the Court to implement the plan
- 12 that is suggested in the petition?
- 14 Q. Okay. You're aware, are you not, that I prepared
- 15 child support calculations that I filed with the Court in
- 16 this matter?
 - A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And you have reviewed those calculations?
- 19 A. Mm-hmm, yes.
 - Q. And the matters that are in those calculations as
- 21 to your income and appropriate expenses are accurate and
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. All right. Before I get into the matters of
 - property, I would like to just ask you a couple more

questions about the parenting plan this year.

In regard to the parenting plan, you're aware that Mr. Clayton has filed a parenting plan request that essentially your daughter be -- her time be divided equally one week with you, followed by one week with Mr. Clayton, and vice-versa, back and forth.

Do you believe that that type of an arrangement is in your daughter's best interest?

- A. No, I don't.
- Q. Why not?

- A. It provides too much of an unstable environment. She would have two homes, but not actually have a home.
- Q. Okay. Have you discussed the issues of the parenting plan with Mrs. Macki?
- A. I have.
- Q. Okay. And has she offered opinion to you as to the current arrangement as to whether it is appropriate or not?
- A. She feels it's appropriate, from what she has told me.
- Q. Now, from your earlier testimony, I gather, that your daughter is well adjusted to her environment at daycare. Can you tell the Court briefly about your home situation? Does Grace have her own bedroom?
- 25 A. Yes.

attention that she might have those issues?

- A. Her baby-sitter, Alice mentioned it to me, and a couple of other friends who have watched her, have mentioned that she tends to exhibit some of the symptoms of ADD. It is hard to know for sure because she is not in school.
 - Q. Have you discussed those issues with Dr. Macki?
- A. I have. And she thinks that Grace quite possibly is --
 - Q. Okay.
- A. -- ADD.

- Q. Has she given you any guidance in regard to things that you can do to minimize the impact of that potential problem?
 - A. She has.
 - Q. And what are those?
 - A. Dietary issues, as well as environmental issues, keeping her routine as set as possible and minimizing the stress and back and forth in her life.
- Q. And do you believe that the current parenting plan arrangement minimizes the stress in her life as much as it could be minimized in the midst of a divorce?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If the parenting plan was to be amended so that Grace spent more time with Mr. Clayton, do you believe that the results would be more or less stress to your daughter?

- Q. And in that bedroom does she have her own bed?
- 2 A. Yes
 - Q. Okay. Do you have her toys and things at your location?
 - A Yes
 - Q. And does she seem to be well adjusted to that environment?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Are there any physical issues or mental
 issues that Grace has that are of concern to you in regard to
 the parenting plan?
 A. She does have severe eczema, as well as possibly
 - A. She does have severe eczema, as well as possibly ADD or ADHD. We're not sure on that yet, but we are keeping an eye on that. And with the eczema she takes antihistamines regularly, as well as a special cream to control her outbreaks and help her sleep through the night, otherwise she has nightmares all night.
 - Q. Is it important that she have a set routine in regard to the eczema in order to minimize the effect of it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you keep her on that routine, as best you can?
- 23 A. I do.
- Q. In regard to the ADD that you mentioned, you say that she may have those issues. How has it come to your

- A. I think it would be more stress.
- Q. And why is that?
- A. Because she would be going back and forth even more than she is now, and she would have one more situation she has to adjust to.
- Q. Okay. All right. Does your daughter seem to be well adjusted to the current parenting plan arrangement?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. All right. I'd like to speak to you a little bit about property now. You have heard me represent to the Court that the personal property issues have been resolved. And you are in agreement with that; is that right?
 - A. Yes
- Q. Okay. There is also a marital home that you own with Mr. Clayton; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And it was purchased during the course of the marriage in roughly May of 1998?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And your in agreement, are you not, that the best thing to happen is for that house to be sold and that the proceeds remaining, after the debt obligation is paid and costs of sale, etcetera, be divided between you and Mr. Clayton; is that right?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. You are also aware, are you not, that Mr. Clayton contends that the two of you are jointly responsible for an obligation of \$20,000 to his parents, or to his father at least, are you aware of that?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

- Q. Okay. Mr. Clayton, as I understand it, will testify that that was a loan that was given to you folks to get that house. I'd like for you to tell the Court what you know about that matter in terms of the \$20,000 that was used to purchase the house?
- A. I was told that his father was giving us \$20,000 for a down --
 - O. Who -- I'm sorry,
- A. Steve told me that his father was giving us a \$20,000 down payment so we could buy a house that was at least \$100,000.
- Q. Okay. When did he tell you that?
- 18 A. Shortly before we started looking for a house, probably in March of '98. 19
- 20 Q. Did he ever -- did you ever have any conversations with his father about that?
 - A. I didn't, no.
- 23 O. Did anyone ever tell you that that arrangement was 24 a loan?
- 25 A. No.

purchased in May of 1998. And at the time that it was

- 2 purchased, both you and Mr. Clayton were employed; is that 3 right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Shortly after Mr. Clayton lost his job; isn't that
 - right?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

19

- O. How soon after?
- A. About three months after.
- O. And where was he working at that time?
 - A. Express Trailer Sales.
- Q. And do you know what he was -- what his job was? 12
 - A. He was a salesman.
 - O. Okay. Did he replace that job right away?
- 15
 - Q. Do you know what his income was at that job that he
- 17 lost?
- 18 MR. RINDAL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure about the relevance, about what they made four, five, six 19 20 years ago.
- 21 THE COURT: It's very relevant. Objection
- 22 overruled.
- 23 BY MR. ALBACK:
 - Q. Do you know how much he was making?
 - A. He was on salary at \$22,000 a year plus commission.

- Q. Did anyone ever ask you to execute a promissory 1 2 note?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did anyone ever present you with a repayment schedule in regard to the \$20,000?
 - A. No.
 - Q. To your knowledge, has any -- have any payments ever been made on that obligation by anybody?
 - A. No.
- 10 Q. Have you ever made any?
 - A. No.
- 12 Q. When did you first learn that there was an
- allegation that you owed his father a portion of \$20,000? 13 14
 - A. A few months ago when I got a brief, or whatever -the paperwork from you.
 - O. Okay. I'll ask you straight out, what is your -what is your position in regard to the 20,000? Do you think you should pay it?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Or a portion of it?
- 21 A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
- 23 A. Because it was given as a gift, and I didn't have a
- 24 say in the matter anyway.
 - O. Okay. In regard to the home loan, the house was

- Q. Okay. Since the time that -- the house was 2 purchased in 1998, up until the taxes -- I'm sorry, up until the time that you folks separated in 2002, how much did you 4
 - average in income per year for '98, '99, 2000, 2001?
 - A. From about 18,000 a year to about \$22,000 a year. Q. Okay. All right. And during that same period of time, how much money did Mr. Clayton earn on average?
 - A. A couple thousand --
 - O. Okay.
 - A. -- for the year -- or for those three years.
- 11 O. Were there any times during that period of time
- 12 that Mr. Clayton didn't work at all?
- 13 A. Most of that period of time he was unemployed. I 14 think he worked a total of maybe seven months.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. In that three-year period of time.
 - Q. What did he do to occupy his time?
- 18 A. He went to school.
 - Q. And where did he go to school?
 - A. MSU-B.
- 21 Q. And are you aware of what resulted from those
- 22 academic pursuits? 23
 - A. He earned a history degree.
- 24 Q. Okay. And do you know when that was obtained?
- 25 A. In May of 2002.

- Q. Okay. There is also a contention that you and Mr. Clayton are obligated to Mr. Clayton's father for a loan apparently for a pickup truck or -- and I believe the allegation is that it is roughly \$17,600. Tell the Court what you know about that transaction?
- A. Steve put in an order for a full size pickup truck, and we started saving money for it and had about four or \$5,000 in savings when the truck finally made it on the lot. And he went down to get financing for the other portion of it, and GMAC would only give him 21.9 percent.

So he called his dad and his dad overnighted the cashier's check to him for the price of the truck.

- O. First of all, you said that we saved money, four or \$5,000, who saved that money?
- A. It was primarily taken from one of Steve's paychecks a month.
- Q. Okay. In regard to the money that he got from his dad, did you have anything to do with that?
 - A. No.

1

2

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

17

25

- 20 Q. Did you have anything to do with negotiating the purchase of the vehicle? 21
 - A. No.
- 23 Q. Did you have anything to do with trying to get a 24 loan with GMAC?
 - A. No.

- O. Who made that decision?
- 2 A. Steve did.

3

4

8

9

10

1

15

16

17

19

3

5

6

7

8

11

13

14

21

22

25

- Q. Okay, You said that the pickup was sold,
- Mr. Clayton obtained an Achieva automobile. That is the Achieva automobile that is awarded to him by the stipulation
- that you folks have entered into and that we represented to 7 the Court?
 - A. Yes,
 - Q. And so, in fact, the fruits of that loan are still in Mr. Clayton's possession?
 - A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Are there other personal items that are on that property distribution that Mr. Clayton purchased after the 13 4 sale of the pickup?
 - A. I believe there is a computer on there and a few firearms, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And when was the pickup sold?
- A. August of '99. 18
 - Q. Okay.
- 20 A. No, August of '98, excuse me.
- Q. All right. And by that time Mr. Clayton had lost 21
- 22 his job; isn't that right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And so by your testimony he was averaging an annual 24
- income of roughly \$2,000 by that point in time?

23

- Q. How did you come to know that this transaction was taking place?
 - A. He called me and told me.
 - Q. Okay. At that time did you say, we're going to borrow \$21,000 from dad?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. He did? And what did you say?
 - A. Okay, really?
- Q. All right. Was it -- were you ever given a note from his father in regard to that obligation?
 - A. No.
- 12 Q. Were you ever on the title to that truck?
- A. No. 13
 - Q. At any point in time?
- A. (Shook head negatively.) 15
- O. Is the pickup still owned by you folks? 16
 - A. No.
- Q. Or by Mr. Clayton? 18
- A. No. 19
- 20 Q. What happened to it?
- 21 A. It was sold about a year after purchase, and we
- traded it for a '97 Achieva and some cash. 22
- 23 Q. All right. And at that point in time was
- Mr. Clayton repaid for the loan that he had apparently made? 24
 - A. No.

- A. Yes.
- Q. And you were averaging between eighteen and 22,000? 2

- 4 Q. Who was paying the bills?
 - A. I was.
 - Q. What do you have for a vehicle?
 - A. My personal vehicle is a '90 Dodge Ram.
 - Q. And that '90 Dodge Ram is a vehicle that you had
- prior to the marriage to Mr. Clayton; isn't that right?
- 10
 - Q. And so, as a matter of fact, you have not ended up
 - with a vehicle out of this at all?
 - - Q. Out of the marriage?
- 15
- 16 Q. Isn't it also true that in regard to the
- 17 distribution of assets that we have agreed to with the
- 18 Court, that the values to those assets are values that were
- apportioned by Mr. Clayton in his discovery -- or I'm sorry,
- in his disclosure documents?
 - - A. Yes.
 - O. And isn't it true that those assets that he
- allocated to you and that he -- are worth roughly \$2200 and
- 24 those that he allocated to himself are worth roughly \$10,600?
 - A. Yes.

Q. All right. I would now just like to talk to you briefly about the obligations of the marriage. Okay? You agree that there is a home mortgage?

26

A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

2

3

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

25

Q. And you agree that the house should be sold and that mortgage paid for out of the sale?

Q. Okay. At the time that you and Mr. Clayton separated in June of 2002, what other debt did he have?

A. Three credit cards.

Q. And --

A. And personal student loans.

Q. All right. And the student loans -- pardon me, you had a student loan, right?

A. I have three.

Q. Okay. And is it your position that you should end up with those student loans?

A. Yes.

19 O. And through discovery we learned that Mr. Clayton

has some student loans as well. Is it your request that he be allocated with that obligation?

A. Yes. 22

23 Q. Okay. So apart from those and apart from the home

mortgage, the only other debt was three credit cards, right?

25 A. Yes. A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

16

19

21

22

24

1

4

5

9

11

13

16

20

21

22

23

25

Q. Did there come a time that you learned that the credit card payments weren't being made?

A. Yes.

Q. And when did that occur?

A. Shortly after Christmas when I started receiving

late notices in the mail.

Q. What did you do at that time?

A. I confronted him with it and he said he was

10 handling it. And two weeks later I got more late notices and a phone call, so I started making payments on the credit

12 cards.

Q. Okay. Let's talk about the specific credit cards.

The first one is a Wal-Mart Mastercard; is that right? 14

15

Q. And you have paid that one off entirely; is that

17 right?

18 A. Yes.

O. How much did it take to pay that off?

20 A. It was about \$450.

Q. Okay. Then there is another Mastercard, which is a

Chase Mastercard; is that right?

23 A. A Chase Visa.

Q. Chase Visa, okay. And what is the current balance

on that one?

27

Q. Okay. Those three credit cards were what at the time you got the -- or separated, I'm sorry?

A. About three or 4,000 on one of them, 2,000 on one, and a thousand on the other.

Q. Okay. And initially when you folks separated, was an agreement reached as to who would take -- be responsible for those debts?

A. Steve said he'd pay for them.

Q. Okay. In fact, Steve presented you with a document that said that he would pay for them, did he not?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. In his document he makes reference to the Genus bill. Can you, just for clarification, tell us what 13 that is?

A. Genus was a debt consolidation program that I had enrolled us in before we bought our house, or about the time we bought the house.

Q. Okay.

A. So that we could consolidate all the credit cards into one low monthly payment.

Q. Okay. Did you, subsequent to the time of your 21 22 separation -- first of all, who were the credit cards --

whose name were the credit cards in? 23

24 A. Mine.

O. Not Mr. Clayton's at ali?

29

A. That one is about \$890.

2 Q. And how much have you paid to bring it down to that 3 balance?

A. About 300.

Q. Okay. And then the last one, you tell me because I'll mess it up.

7 A. Is a Bank One Mastercard, it used to be a First 8 Card Mastercard.

Q. All right. And what is the balance of that?

10 A. It is at \$2,491.

Q. Okay. How much have you paid towards that

12 obligation?

A. About \$250.

14 Q. Okay. And that was after you became aware that the 15 payments weren't being made; is that right?

A. Yes.

17 Q. Okay. Is it your position -- what is your position 18 in regard to those credit cards? Who do you think should be 19 responsible for them?

A. I think he should be responsible for the balance on them.

Q. Why?

A. Because he's -- he's keeping most of the property 24 that was bought with the credit cards.

Q. And what property by and large was purchased with

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

A. Yes.

loan and you should take your student loan, correct?

O. And you testified that the home mortgage should be

Q. Okay. And is that -- all that cash that you got

A. It was gone before February of '99.

from the pickup, is that gone?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. I want to talk a few moments about the parenting plan. You're aware that Mr. Clayton has requested additional time with his daughter; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Has Mr. Clayton asked you to have his daughter on his days off during the week?

A. About a year ago he did.

Q. Okay. And are you letting him have Grace on his days off from work?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Why?

A. Because it's an unpredictable day, and when I have to pay a baby-sitter a set rate a week, I can't take her living away from her.

Q. So you -- is it your belief that it is better to keep Grace in daycare than allow her father to have her on his days off?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And one of those reasons is to insure the financial stability of your daycare provider?

A. One of the reasons.

Q. Okay. Do you have other reasons?

A. Well, because she went to that daycare provider for

MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, if I may. I'm going to instruct my client not to answer. Whether they are two people from Mars, it makes no difference, unless -- the relevance of the fact that these children may be children of someone that my client may have a relationship with is improper.

MR. RINDAL: If I may --

THE COURT: The objection is overruled since it has already been mentioned, but I agree with you on overall relevance as far as this matter is concerned.

MR. RINDAL: And I apologize, Your Honor, I'm not going into her relationship with her boyfriend. I'm talking about the necessity of keeping Grace in the daycare.
BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Right now I believe that you allow Mr. Clayton to have Grace on every other weekend; is that correct?

A. Yes.

1 2

Q. And from what time does -- that Mr. Clayton picks Grace up until when does he return her?

A. Usually about four o'clock on Friday and he picks her up and he brings her home, or I pick her up at six o'clock on Sunday.

Q. So approximately two full days?

A. Mm-hmm.

Q. And that's -- are you aware that he had to switch

three years while he sat at home unemployed.

Q. Okay. Well, I'm not sure how the unemployed has relevance. But regarding the daycare, she goes what days?

A. Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 5:00.

Q. And I believe you said that her name, Alice Mills, she watches four other kids?

A. Let me count.

O. Okav.

A. (Pause.) Yes.

Q. Okay.

A. Sometimes a fifth, but very rarely.

Q. Okay. So Grace and then four other kids that you're aware of?

A. Yes.

Q. Are two of those kids your domestic partner's children?

A. They are my boyfriend's children.

O. Okay. Do you live with your boyfriend?

A. His children live with me.

MR. ALBACK: Objection as to relevance, Your Honor.

MR. RINDAL: I'm just -- it's a choice of terms,

Your Honor. I wasn't trying to say — I said domestic partner, boyfriend, they live together.

THE WITNESS: His children live with me, he has his

own residence.

his schedule around so he could have those weekends off with her?

A. No.

Q. Okay. I would offer to you that testimony he will testify that that is -- that is correct.

On the days that he has -- doesn't have the weekend off, he gets days off during the week, Monday through Friday, are you aware of that?

A. No.

Q. Okay. He'll provide testimony to that. Well, I'm confused then, because you said you are not aware that he gets days off during the week?

A. I don't know when his days off are because he doesn't converse with me.

Q. Okay. If he converses with you and sends e-mails his days off, say a week or two in advance, because he works in a place that has different days off, then if you know in advance, are you willing to have Grace during his days off?

A. No, because I'd have to find a different baby-sitter. I can't ask my baby-sitter to hold open a spot for a child who is only there half a week.

Q. What -- you say -- I believe you testified you pay \$50 a week?

A. Yes.

Q. Is that like -- I'm terrible at math, but is it

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- A. \$10 a day.
- O. Excuse me, \$10 a day. So is it a daily rate or weekly rate?
 - A. It is a weekly rate.
 - Q. So you pay whether she goes or not, correct?

38

- A. Pretty much.
- Q. Okay. Well, then, if Ms. Mills is getting paid whether she is there or not, I don't understand why Mr. Clayton can't have Grace on his days off?
- A. Because financially it is not viable for me to be paying a baby-sitter when she is not watching my child.
- Q. Would it matter to you then -- I'm trying to get this understanding, does it matter to you whether Alice Mills, who is just the baby-sitter, watch Grace during those hours, or Mr. Clayton, the father of Grace gets to watch Grace during those hours? Does it matter to you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Why?
- 20 A. Because when Grace is with Alice she gets to see people, she goes places, she has fun. When she is with her 22 dad she tells me she watches TV, maybe plays in the yard or 23 goes to Wal-Mart.
 - Q. Okay. How old is your daughter?
- 25 A. Four.

39

- O. Okay. Is she very expressive? Does she tell you everyday everything she does?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. So she told about the park, recently going to the park and playing?
 - A. She told me they went for a walk.
 - Q. Do you remember what day it was?
- A. Just sometime this last week.
- 9 O. What about on the 17th?
- 10 A. That was yesterday.
 - Q. Right. Did she tell you that?
- 12 A. Yeah.
 - O. Okay. What did she do?
 - A. She eight pizza at daddy Steven's house with papa and grandma, and grandma had a fever.
 - O. Okay. Now, just yesterday, is it my understanding that Steve's parents -- or the father came up, and he got to see his granddaughter, which he is in California, correct?
 - A. Mm-hmm.
 - Q. So he doesn't see his granddaughter very often?
- 21
 - O. How many hours did you allow Steve to have Grace vesterday?
- 24 A. I don't know. Steve picked Grace up at 8:30 at my work, and I'm not sure what time he dropped her off at the

- the T that Judge Barz said, every other weekend?
 - A. He has never asked for more.
- 21 Q. And Grace has never asked you to spend more time 22 with him?
- 23 A. No.

19

20

- 24 Q. Okay.
 - A. In fact, when she asks if she can call her dad, I

- give her the phone and she punches the button and she calls
 - Q. I believe you said that it is important for Grace to have her own space, her own bedroom and own bed, she has that at your place?
 - A. She does.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

25

- Q. How big is the house?
- A. It is a small two bedroom. I'm not intending to stay there forever.
 - Q. Okay. How many people live in that house? How many children? I don't need names.
 - A. Grace and I live there consistently, I have two other children that stay there occasionally.
 - Q. Okay. And how old are those children?
 - A. Three and five.
 - Q. Do those children stay with Grace in her bedroom then?
 - A. Yes, in their own beds.
- 19 Q. You have three beds in there?
- A. I do. I built them bunk beds. 20
- 21 Q. Okay. So if -- do you believe that if Steve would
- 22 help you with that \$10 a day, it would -- do you believe that
- that \$10 a day payment from Mr. Clayton to Alice Mills would 23
- relieve that problem and having -- losing that slot? 24
- 25 A. No, because it still affects her routine.

- Frauds, Mr. Rindal? There is nothing in writing showing
- there is any obligation whatsoever. That might give you a
- clue how that is going to turn out.
- BY MR. RINDAL:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

19

23

24

1

3

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 5 Q. Ms. Clayton, have you ever paid -- made payments to Mr. Clayton for that loan?
 - A. I have.
 - O. Why?
 - A. Because Steve's dad was so generous giving us money every time he lost his job, that when he said pay me \$300 a month and that is fine. I sent him \$300 a month as long as I could afford to pull it out of our finances.
 - Q. But that -- so you admit that there was a loan and you made payments?
- 15 A. I did.
 - O. Okav.
- 17 A. I made payments.
- Q. On that loan. Thank you. 18
 - I don't recall if you answered this question, I'll
- say it and if you have already said it, I apologize. When 20
- 21 you separated with Steve, you had three credit cards or four
- 22 credit cards?
 - A. Three.
 - Q. Okay. And you and Steve made payments on those?
- 25 A. Steve made payments until about Christmas.

- Q. Okay. I want to talk for just a few moments about that loan from Steve's father of 19,000 and some change. I believe you said that you had nothing to do with that?
 - A. Which, are you talking about the truck or the --
- O. Yes, the pickup truck which was \$19,000 and some specific change.
- A. I didn't have a say in the decision making of it. He called his father and asked for money.
- O. Okay. Did you -- but I believe you testified that you also enjoyed the benefit of that pickup?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. And then when you guys sold it a year later, or whatever it was, that you used that money to help living expenses?
- Q. And so do you believe that that debt exists or does not exist?
 - A. I don't believe it exists for me. I mean --
- Q. Well, I want to clarify that then. What do you mean that it doesn't exist for you?
- A. It was money that Steve borrowed from his dad. 21
- 22 Q. Okay. And it went to benefit you also?
- A. For a short period of time, yes. 23
- 24 Q. For how long?
 - THE COURT: Have you heard of the Statute of

- Q. Okay.
- 2 A. When he quit making payments.
 - Q. And then you started making payments on those?
- 4 A. I started because he quit making payments. I was 5 getting the late notices.
 - Q. Was there other family obligations, other family debts that Steve continued to pay that you didn't pay?
 - A. He was paying on the house payment, as far as I know.
 - Q. Okay. How much was that house payment?
 - A. When I left it was \$685.31.
- 12 Q. So round it to, say, 685, would be fair?
 - A. (Nodded head.)
 - Q. Okay. And Steve made -- has been making those payments as far as you know?
 - A. As far as I know.
 - Q. How much have you been paying each month on those credit cards?
- 19 A. Whatever the minimum balance is and whatever else I 20 can scrape out of my account. Usually around hundred bucks a 21 card.
- 22 Q. Okay. And there was three cards you said?
- 23
- 24 0 So that is about \$300 a month?
- 25 A. Yes.

- O. Do you think that's fair that you are paying the credit cards payments and Steve was paying the house mortgage?
 - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

22 23

1

2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

23

- Q. You went at some great length about how much Steve did or did not make in the last five, six years. Are you contending that you are the only bread winner or the main bread winner until you split up?
 - A. Primarily.
- O. Okay. And so it was your income only, pretty much, that paid all the bills?
 - A. For the most part, yes.
 - Q. Do you and Steve have gambling problems?
- A. I don't.
 - Q. Do you think Steve does?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 17 Q. Is there any addiction problems, alcohol, drugs, nothing like that? 18
- 19 A. No.
 - Q. Okay. Is it -- from your memory, is it your testimony -- or let me ask it a different way. Did
 - Mr. Clayton, Steve's father, also supplement your income for a long time period of time?
- 24 A. Steve's dad sent anywhere from 750 a month to \$1500 a month for every month that Steve wasn't in -- Steve 25

- Q. And isn't it true that you followed that to the letter?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- O. And that you have never denied him visitation of that nature at all?
 - A. No.
- Q. Isn't it also true that at some point in time you allowed Mr. Clayton to take your daughter to an extended trip to visit his parents in California?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever balk at that when it was suggested that he wanted to do that?
- A. I asked him to wait until after the custody hearing.
- Q. All right. And she went and you're glad about that: isn't that correct?
- A. Yes, she enjoyed herself.
- Q. Okay. He asked you about the concerns you have, I'm sorry, you testified that you had concerns about what
- 20 happens at Mr. Clayton's home. One of the criteria in regard 21 to the best interests of the children are mental health
- 22 issues. Does Mr. Clayton have some mental health issues that
- 23 cause you concern in regard to your daughter? 24
 - A. He was treated for depression a few years ago, but that was about it.

- wasn't working.
- Q. Okay. Well, you made a big -- like I said, you made a big issue about how much you made compared to he, and that is five, six years ago. How do you think that that's relevant to --

THE COURT: I will tell what is relevant and what isn't. You are invading my province.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I don't intend to do that. I'm trying to -- she made a point of bringing it out, I was curious --

THE COURT: She didn't make a point out of it, you did.

MR. RINDAL: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

MR. ALBACK: Just a couple of questions, if I may, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ALBACK:

- O. Mary Beth, Mr. Rindal asked you -- the way he asked you was that you have only allowed Mr. Clayton certain visitation. Isn't it true that what you -- the visitation that he has gotten has been the visitation that was established by this Court after the hearing in September of
- 24 2002? 25 A. Yes.

- O. Have you evidence -- do you know, has he -- has he been under medication for depression?
 - A. He was trying Paxil for awhile.
- Q. All right. Do you have concerns about how his depression exhibited itself in his daily life?
- A. He keeps pretty much to himself and Grace is a very social person.
- Q. How about in terms of at his home, what type of activities -- when the two of you were together, what type of things would he do to occupy his time?
 - A. Watch TV and play on the computer.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Rindal asked you about payments you had made to Mr. Clayton's father in the amount of \$300 each apparently. Those payments that were made to him, what were the circumstances -- I'll ask it another way. I apologize.

Who took care of paying the bills, writing the checks to pay the bills when you and Mr. Clayton were together?

- A. I did.
- Q. Okay. And so the checks that -- did the two of you pool your money together at that time as well?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And so was it your intention that payments that you were making were evidencing your belief that you owed Mr. Clayton personally for that debt?

A. No, it was just a check to his dad.

Q. Okay. Student loan payments, did they come out of that check too -- or out of that account too, yours and his?

A. I don't believe he had any at the time, but mine came out of our joint account.

O. Okay. All right. And that is an obligation that you are taking as your sole and separate in this matter,

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21 22

24

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

1

12

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

200

Q. One final thing, Mr. Clayton -- or Mr. Rindal asked you about, do you think it is fair that he made the house payment and you paid so much towards the credit cards. Who was living in the house?

A. He was.

Q. All right. And isn't it true that during that period of time that he was living in the house, at least for a portion of the time, he had a tenant?

A. Yes.

Q. Who was paying him rent?

20 A. Supposed to be paying him rent.

> Q. Did he ever tell you how much rent he was getting for that?

23

Q. Did he ever share any rental income from that property with you?

A. Yes.

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

Q. Okay. You said during your marriage when you were still together with Mr. Clayton that he spent most of his time watching TV or playing on the computer, correct?

A. Yes.

O. Wasn't in fact that Mr. Clayton was in college during that period of time and he was on the computer working?

A. Some of the time.

O. Okay. So is it your -- is it your belief now, I mean apparently it seems like you're saying that when he has Grace that he doesn't do anything with her?

A. Grace tells me that they go to Wal-Mart and they play with her dog, and occasionally they will take a walk. But that is usually the extent of what she has done.

Q. Mm-hmm, Okay. I believe you testified also that you never restricted any contact with between Mr. Clayton and his daughter, no extra time; is that correct?

A. As far as what the Court ordered, yes, that's correct.

21 Q. No, I believe I asked you specifically if you ever -- if he ever -- if Mr. Clayton ever asked you to spend more time with her, and I asked if you ever said no. And I 24 think you said, I don't refuse; is that correct? 25

A. I'm trying to figure out what you are asking, but

51

A. No.

MR. ALBACK: Nothing further, Judge. RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. RINDAL:

O. Ms. Clayton, you said that he never shared with you how much he got. Do you recall the October, I think 9th hearing, when it was on testimony here in front of this judge that he was making -- or he was getting \$300 a month; do you recall that?

A. No. We had a hearing in September.

Q. I'm sorry. I could be wrong on the date.

A. And when I asked him personally how much he was getting for rent, he walked, turned around and walked in and shut the door.

Q. We had a hearing and he told you then?

A. Not that I am aware of.

Q. It is in the court record, that is what he used to set the child support. This -- Judge Barz took that into account and set it on the child support.

A. I don't recall.

Q. Okay. And do you recall then also your income, Mr. Clayton's income went into this joint account that you say now, they -- your were commingling your funds, you put your check in, he put a check in, but you are the one that did most of the actual check writing?

53

just a second.

Q. You testified that you followed the Judge's parenting plan to an T?

A. Yeah. And when she has been at daycare, she has been at daycare. When it has been his days, she has been with him.

Q. And it's still your absolute position that he shouldn't be allowed to spend any more time, rather that it is better to keep her in daycare?

A. Yes.

MR. RINDAL: Okay. Nothing further, Your Honor.

12 MR. ALBACK: I have nothing further, Judge. 13

THE COURT: You may step down.

MR. ALBACK: The petitioner calls Mary Macki, Your

15 Honor. 16

MARY MACKI,

called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. ALBACK;

Q. Would you state your name, please?

A. Mary Macki.

Q. And where do you reside?

24 A. In Billings, Montana.

Q. All right. And what do you do for a living?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. I'm a licensed clinical professional counselor. 1

Q. How long have you been such a licensed clinical professional counselor?

A. For seven years.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24 25

2

3

5

8

10

14

15

16

17

Q. All right. What is your educational background?

54

A. And I have master's in education -- a master's in counseling, a bachelor's in education, sociology and psychology.

Q. All right. And in your present employment situation, what do you do?

A. I work primarily with children, but in it I also do mediation. I do a lot with parenting plans, custody investigations, evaluations, supervised visitation, mediation with divorced couples.

Q. Okay. Have you had experience in that line of work? Other than your counseling business, do you have a past history of -- that is appropriate to what you do now? Court services or anything like that?

A. No, sir.

20 Q. All right. Okay. Have you testified as an expert

witness in divorce proceedings before?

A. Yes, sir. 22

23 Q. Okay. How many times? Ballpark.

A. More than 50, closer to 100 probably.

Q. Okay. And how many families would you estimate you

1 anxiety issues. 2

She has some manic complaints as far as tummy aches, headaches.

Q. All right.

A. Pretty much adjustment issues to the whole situation at hand.

Q. And in your experience is that somewhat typical in these types of situations?

A. Yes.

Q. Has it been your experience or your observation regarding Grace that her symptoms that she is exhibiting are any worse than the average, or is there anything that really stands out that causes you great concern?

A. The biggest thing that stands out that causes me great concern is her boundary issues, is it -- it isn't as directly related to the divorce so much as it is to learning appropriate ways for her to identify strangers, not to be so familiar with people, safety needs in that area.

O. Okay. All right. And in the course of your contact with Grace, have those sessions been with Mary Beth as well?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. Have you had sessions with Grace and

24 Mr. Clayton?

A. Yes.

have dealt with in your career as a counseling or in these types of issues?

A. In regards to custody?

Q. In regards to custody matters, yes.

A. Once again, easily 50 to 100.

MR, ALBACK: Your Honor, I'd ask that this client be accepted by the Court as an expert witness in this matter.

THE COURT: She is designated as an expert witness by this Court.

MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 BY MR. ALBACK:

12 Q. You are familiar with the parties to this matter; 13 isn't that right?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. You know Mrs. Clayton and Mr. Clayton?

Q. And their daughter Grace?

18 A. Yes, I do.

Q. Okay. Could you tell the Court briefly how it is 19 that you have become involved in this matter?

A. I was contacted by Mary Beth Clayton in regards to 21

visiting with her daughter Grace. There were concerns about 22 23 some anxiety, there is also some -- the fact that she's just

turned four. There is also concerns about -- what I have 24

seen as concerns, there's boundary issues. There are some

Q. Okay. How many with Mr. Clayton, do you remember?

Q. All right. Are you aware of the current parenting arrangement that is in place in this case?

A. Yes, I am, sir.

Q. And you're aware that this was a -- it's every other weekend plus two evenings a week?

A. Yes.

Q. In your profession opinion, do you believe that 10 that is a proper parenting arrangement for these people and that little girl in this situation?

A. Yes, sir.

O. Mr. Clayton has filed documents with the Court where he has requested that the visitation be amended to be essentially alternating weeks; that he would have Grace for one week, then she would be with her mother for the next week and so on, so forth down the line.

Do you have an opinion as to whether or not that would be a favorable arrangement?

A. This is the first I had heard about this suggestion. I will say looking at both of these parents and watching this child interact, she is very, very much bonded to both of her parents. She has a very healthy relationship with both parties. I will say that she -- what I have seen is she identifies her primary home base, her primary

residence as with Mary Beth. But she certainly has the utmost -- you know, a very healthy relationship with her father.

O. Good.

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

A. On a personal level I find, and on a professional level, those children at this early of an age that already begin the alternating weeks, it is fairly disruptive in their life because of trying to establish some type of stability.

O. I take it, then, that it would be your opinion that Grace's identification with her mother's residence as being the primary residence is probably healthier -- I was going to say necessary, but that is probably a little too far. That it is appropriate, do you think?

A. Taking into consideration her age and developmental level, yes.

O. Okay. In the course of your time with Grace and the Claytons, have you become concerned about perhaps some ADD -- potential ADD problems with Grace?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And what is your opinion in that regard? How has it come up? What is it about her that has caused you to notice that or be concerned about?

A. Her lack of boundaries, her busyness, her impulsivity. They usually do not test for ADHD until once the child is in school because then you can chart how many 1 A. Good afternoon.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

17

19

21

22

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

21

Q. I hope you -- I apologize for not calling you

Dr. Macki, but they are misidentifying you, you are not Dr. Macki --

A. No, I am not.

Q. Your a master's, just to clarify the court record and Mr. Alback's information.

We have worked before together, haven't we?

A. Yes, sir.

O. And I respect your opinion and I'm glad you are here. When did Ms. Clayton contact you?

A. Our initial visit was February 11th. 12

O. Of this year?

A. Yes, sir.

15 O. Okay. Did she share -- did Ms. Clayton share with you at that time what the parenting plan was? 16

A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Have you discussed with Ms. Clayton that

Mr. Clayton was requesting additional time with his daughter?

20 A. No. I have not.

O. Okay. Has that ever come up, until today?

A. Correct.

23 O. Okay. You are not privy to the court documents,

24 but we're not requesting primary residence be changed, and 25

certainly your testimony sounds like Grace has identified the

out-of-seat behaviors and that type of thing.

But her attention span, she is a bright little girl, but she's very easily distracted. She's very -- her lack of concentration because of the distractibility. Her high risk behavior, no fears as far as boundaries. Comes bounding into my office, snoops in everybody else's -- or snooped in my partner's office prior to our appointment. Those type of things.

Q. All right. Is stability and continuity of care, is that an important factor in dealing with ADD, whether it is diagnosed or potential?

A. Yes.

O. Okay. And I don't want to put words in your mouth, but is it safe to say that the greater the continuity, the greater stability, the greater -- or the less problem that the ADD might evidence; is that correct?

A. Correct.

Q. All right.

MR. ALBACK: I have nothing further of this

witness, Your Honor. 20

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Doctor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Macki.

primary residence, at least her focus of location, is with

2 Mary Beth; is that correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Do you believe it's important for children, especially young children, to spend as much time with both parents as possible?

A. If it is in a healthy relationship, yes.

Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you then, I believe you testified that you have observed -- and how many times have vou seen Grace?

A. I'll have to count.

12 Q. Ten, 11 times, I think you said.

A. Nine.

Q. Nine times. Okay. I believe you testified that 14

15 you have observed that Grace is much bonded with both 16 parents?

17

A. Correct.

18 Q. Okay. And I believe you also testified -- and this is almost a quote, she has a very healthy relationship with 19 20 both parents?

A. Correct.

22 Q. Okay. So keeping that in mind, that she has bonded 23 with both parents quite well, and she has a very healthy 24 relationship with both parents, is it then beneficial to a child to spend as much time with both parents, in a difficult

situation such as a divorce, or to minimize contact with one parent?

A. I would say spend as much time -- and once again I want to qualify that, if it is healthy, good quality time.

- Q. Okay. But you said that they have a very healthy relationship?
 - A. That is what was demonstrated to me, yes.
- Q. Do you have any other indication or observation that would make you believe that they don't have a healthy relationship?

A. Grace had said some things to me, and I addressed this with both parents. Some of the concerns that she had said is that her daddy Steven has called her step — I don't know what to call him, but has called mother's significant other, trash, garbage, trash bags, that type of thing, which does make this child — I think is difficult for this child.

So if that were to cease, then I think it is much health -- would be much healthier.

- Q. And you've discussed that with Mr. Clayton?
- A. I brought it up to Mr. Clayton that she had said that. At that point he seemed very surprised that she would say that. And it was my indication that he wasn't sure where she would have gotten that information.
- Q. Okay. Have you -- since you discussed that with him, and I don't know, I think you saw him twice, has there

In front of her mother she feels much more comfortable talking about both parties. And right there, it led me to feel that this child feels real torn. When with mom it is okay to feel this; with dad, she can't feel this; with mom, she says negative things about dad; but with dad, she doesn't want to address anything.

I would bring the things out, and the first time Steven came, everything we tried to do she says, I don't like this, I don't like this game.

- Q. Now, I believe you have seen Grace nine times total, you said?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Two times with Mr. Clayton, so that, I'm guessing, seven times then with Mrs. Clayton?
 - A. In the room, yes.
- Q. Sure. Would it be beneficial to continue the
 counseling with Mr. Clayton in there so that possibly Grace
 would start to feel more comfortable communicating?
 - A. And I'm comfortable with that, yes.
- Q. Sure. And you think that would be beneficial then?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I believe you said, you testified that you have counseled 50 to 100 clients, and I know you said, you testified you thought as than expert over 50, but possibly as high as 100.

been any other reports of allegedly improper communication?

A. No, because I just saw Grace one other time, and that once again was with her father. And she didn't say -- when her father is there, she doesn't state anything about this Ronnie.

When her father is not there, one time we were playing the Barbies, she took the men -- we have two men dolls, and we named one as Ronnie, one as Steven. She took the Steven doll, went over, slammed the Steven doll against the Ronnie doll and said, her daddy doesn't like Ronnie, and she didn't want Ronnie there, so that the Steven doll was very aggressive towards the Ronnie doll.

- Q. And I believe you said -- you indicated that the counseling that you have been doing with Grace, is not related so much to divorce, but more about anxiety, boundary issues and manic complaints; is that correct?
- A. That is what she came to see me as, those were the initial issues. As we have worked, I have worked with her as far as the loyalty, the fact that she feels -- just -- that was one of the first sessions, just to get an idea of where this child was at. We did the dolls, trying to help this child relieve some of the anxiety.

I feel that she feels torn between her loyalties. I know that she -- when her father, in front of her father she did not, as I said before, talk about this Ronnie at all.

A. Yes.

Q. In those -- let's just round it to 100, just in case. In those hundred cases, is this the first case of a four-year-old girl in a divorce case where she expresses anxiety and misunderstanding and communication problems like she is doing now, like Grace is specifically?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Is it a very normal thing?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So nothing really this is not like a huge warning sign, but it is definitely something the parents need to work on, their communications?
- 13 A. Correct, and the fact that she is having some manic 14 complaints.
- Q. Sure. Sure. When you had Mr. Clayton in the counseling sessions those two times, when did they first start?
 - A. Just recently.
 - O. Mm-hmm.
 - A. The first one was June 3rd.
- 21 O. Okav. And whose scheduled those?
- A. Steven called, I asked Mary Beth if I would be able
- 23 to see and meet with Steven and Grace as well.
- 24 O. Mm-hmm.
- 25 A. And she notified Steven and he called me and

scheduled.

- Q. Okay. Is it -- is it common in your -- the counseling profession to counsel with one -- during a divorce case, shall we say, to counsel with just the child and one parent only, or do you try to incorporate both parents in?
 - A. I try to incorporate both parents.
- Q. Okay. Is it your -- using your many years of experience with client counseling, do you believe that if you have continued counseling sessions between -- with Grace and Mr. Clayton, that she will become less anxiety-ridden and lose maybe some of the manic complaints that she has now?
 - A. That is our goal.
- Q. Okay. All right. And do you believe, then, that if -- if Mr. Clayton is allowed to spend more time with her and be it in your office and outside your office, that that might help facilitate better communication skills between them, the daughter and the father?
 - A. Yes, if it is appropriate.
- Q. Okay. Well, it is a very nebulous term, appropriate. Do you have some guidelines or suggestions that what you would consider or feel safe suggesting that would allow Mr. Clayton to spend more time with his daughter, that you would consider safe or appropriate?
- A. And I have talked to Mr. Clayton about this, we talked about Grace's developmental level, that at her age she

of sentences or communications and extrapolate them into some concrete, this is happening?

A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. So is it possible, then, that what Grace said about -- that her daddy hates Ronnie or whatever, she could have picked up just saying that they are going through a divorce, that he is upset that, you know, he is no longer in her life everyday; is that possible?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Regarding the moving and the moving to California, are you aware that the parties have to sell their house?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So that is what he means by moving, and his parents did come from California. So, I mean, do you have a grasp -- are you able to discuss that with her now?
 - A. We talked about it on Tuesday, yes.
- Q. Great. Great. Is there any other suggestions or guidelines that can be provided today from you to the Court and Mr. Clayton that you would be comfortable with that would allow Mr. Clayton to spend more time, that would be beneficial for Mr. Clayton to spend more time with his daughter?
- A. One other time she had said that -- let me find, she said, just out of the blue, we were playing a game and she says her mommy breaks her daddy Steven's heart because

is not able to abstract. Some of the things that he just says in passing like, he is going to move, and then he talked about his parents coming. Somehow Grace surmised that her dad was moving to California to live with his parents.

So we just kind of talked about developmental type of things. My suggestion would be not to even address her mother's living situation, to just make the relationship that he has with Grace as positive as can be. She does have a good relationship with him, she enjoys going to movies with him.

We talked about -- she mentioned she was afraid in her bedroom at his house and he decided it was probably because of real dark curtains. He has got night lights, just simple little things like that, to make it less of an adjustment for her.

- Q. So is it your belief that Mr. Clayton is responding appropriately to some of her fears or problem areas in communication?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And with this idea of her developmental -- and I understand that, you know, she is four years old and they grow quickly, but she has a lower level of abstract thinking, or actually she hasn't even attained that level, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So isn't it true that she may be picking up pieces

she won't live with her daddy Steven. And she said that her daddy told her that her mommy has an owy in her head and it it's inside so that she can't see it, but it breaks daddy's heart.

And I can understand him trying to explain, and regardless of him -- his explanation, her comprehension. 1 think many times children begin -- they see parents display feelings of sadness, some depression, and children feel that they are responsible for that.

- Q. Certainly.
- A. So I'm concerned that Grace may feel that she is responsible for her daddy's emotional being. So rather than -- whether you said it or not, I don't know, but the fact that this is what she shared with me, it might be easier just to not even discuss.

THE COURT: Dr. Macki, I have a question having heard you and read your reports on many occasions, wouldn't it be a more practical solution in this particular case to require further counseling between Steve and this child and yourself, more counseling before we go into spending more time with this child.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: That would be your bottom line recommendation, wouldn't it?

THE WITNESS: You're right, Your Honor.

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Do you have a suggestion, then, to the Court and to myself and Mr. Clayton what you would think would be appropriate? What type of counseling, how much counseling between Mr. Clayton and Grace and yourself before he is allowed to spend more time?

I'd like to kind of pin this down so when we leave today, we can -- that is a goal that we need to start working towards.

A. Mm-hmm. And, truthfully, I haven't talked with either party to know what their future plans are. I don't know if she has extended time according to the interim parenting plan to have any vacation time with her father. I'm not aware of the current, other than the alternating weekends and twice during the week. I'm not aware of the rest of the interim parenting plan.

To answer your question, I would say to meet one, two more times, maybe more often than alternating weeks. And some of that we'll have to discuss as far as the logistics with insurance, etcetera.

And then I would like to meet with Grace without either parent.

Q. Certainly.

A. Just to see where — I know that she and I have a good enough relationship, she certainly is very free-flowing

more hours might -- is that going to cause a problem?

MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, I'm not objecting so much as clarifying. The witness, if she is going to answer a hypothetical question, it should be based upon the facts. And the facts are that Mr. Clayton is entitled to two four-hour periods per week — during the week days of every week, not the two hours that Mr. Rindal is talking about.

And if he is talking about two hours and would two or three more hours be helpful when he is already getting four hours, it makes a big difference as to what we're really talking about here.

So the record speaks for itself in terms of what the order is of this Court and what is in force at this point in time, and that is that that child spends four hours two nights per week with Mr. Clayton as it is.

Thank you.

MR. RINDAL: And that is correct. I didn't have the document in front of me, and I apologize, it wasn't -- it was a misspoken statement. I just knew he got two times, and I apologize. It is four hours. And Mr. Clayton just confirmed that.

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. And my question still remains: If we get one or two more times of counseling, then would you be willing to submit to the Court posttrial suggestions of giving --

7'

on what she has to say, that I could surmise if in fact it's -- she has less anxiety, it will also show in her lack of tummy aches, her lack of scary dreams, running to mom and dad in the middle of the night because of her night -- her scary dreams.

Q. Sure. And I apologize, I was listening to the whole long sentence, and I missed the outlying thing. Did you say you thought possibly one or two more times of counseling before any more extended time or --

A. When you are saying --

THE COURT: I think it is a matter of quality time and what she can accomplish.

MR. RINDAL: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you are once again invading my province, too.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, she is an expert witness. I want to get suggestions from her so we can organize this. BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Ms. Macki, you had mentioned that Steve was allowed to see his daughter for a couple times a week, I believe. I don't recall the days, maybe Wednesday, something like that, for a couple hours. If that happens to coincide with one of his days off, would it be okay if he spent a few more hours, instead of two hours, he is allowed two hours, I believe, on his nonweekend days, weekend cycles, perhaps two or three

allowing Mr. Clayton additional time rather than us having to wait for a report and then do it -- request a show cause to amend the parenting plan again. My goal and Mr. Clayton's goal is to see his daughter as much as possible, and -- because of the Court's calendar, it is very full, they are very -- they are definitely not underworked.

MR. ALBACK: I don't see a question here, Your Honor. I see a --

THE COURT: Just please rephrase your question. MR. RINDAL: Certainly.

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Ms. Macki --

THE COURT: What is her recommendation. Bottom line, what is your recommendation.

THE WITNESS: Do I feel that it would be difficult -- or be difficult for Grace to spend more time with her father on his days off other than four hours. My recommendation would be at this point that it would not be, but I think that he needs -- my suggestion would be that he contact the mother, making sure that it will not interfere with any other plans that Grace already has.

Children at this age, if she has a birthday party, that is a major thing for a child. Any of the — to accommodate any of her plans, plans that the family may already have.

If it all coincides, then I think that it would benefit her to spend time with her father.

MR. RINDAL: Great. Okay. Does that clarify, Mr. Alback the question?

MR. ALBACK: There was a question in there, I appreciate that very much. Thank you.

MR. RINDAL: Thank you.

BY MR. RINDAL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

g

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

O. And my other question that I'd asked you is, upon counseling, additional counseling between yourself, Grace and Mr. Clayton, would you be willing to provide an additional report to both attorneys so that we can attempt to modify, if you so deem it acceptable, to add additional time rather than forcing -- making us have to go back in front of the Court?

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Rindal, I believe that the bottom line is that your client hasn't been paying for this kind of counseling in the past. And you'll have to have some plan in effect before your question can be answered, I believe.

MR. RINDAL: You are right, Your Honor, I don't think either party pays. I don't believe either party pays

I have nothing further. Thank you, Ms. Macki.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ALBACK: I have no further questions, Your

O. Okay. Is there any chance of reconciliation?

A. I wouldn't think so, no.

O. Okav.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RINDAL: Getting right into this, Your Honor -- oh, Your Honor, for the matter, Mr. Alback and [both agree that Mr. Frigaletti can -- Dr. Frigaletti (phonetic) can come, sit and listen. I've taken him off the witness list, he'd just like to sit in and listen for awhile.

May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Here is a bench copy, Your Honor. BY MR. RINDAL:

O. Steve. I have just handed you a document that has been marked Respondent's Exhibit A. Do you recognize that document?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. Okay. What is that exhibit?

A. This is our proposed division of assets and debts.

O. Okay. I'm going to skip over the assets because prior to the trial -- commencement of the trial, we have stipulated with opposing counsel that we're going to use our asset distribution. If there is any clerical error,

23 Mr. Alback and I will correct it, but this is the same

24 document that Mr. Alback and I presented with the pretrial 25

order.

75

Honor.

THE COURT: You are excused.

MR. ALBACK Petitioner rests as well.

THE COURT: We will take a ten-minute break. We'll be in recess for ten minutes.

(Recess.)

THE COURT: Court is in session. You may be seated. Mr. Rindal, you may proceed.

MR, RINDAL: Thank you, Your Honor. I would like to call Mr. Steve Clayton to the stand. Steve, would you please go up and be sworn in by Carol.

STEVE CLAYTON,

called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Steve, would you please state your name for the record?

A. Steven Lloyd Clayton.

Q. And you are the respondent in this matter?

Q. You heard the jurisdictional testimony from your wife, do you agree that this marriage is irretrievably broken?

A. Yes.

77

The last page is page 3. Would you please turn to that page.

A. Yes, I am there.

Q. Okay. I want to go down those one by one. Is it your belief that the home mortgage owed against the house is approximately \$72,000?

A. Yeah, approximately.

Q. Okay. And it is you and Mary Beth's intention to -- excuse me, Ms. Clayton's intention to sell the house?

A. Yes.

O. Okay. And I have listed two Chase credit cards. one for approximately 255 and one approximately 1,091. You have proposed that that debt be split between you and your wife: is that correct?

A. Yes.

O. Okay. And why do you believe that that splitting the debt is equitable, 50/50?

A. Well, we both used the couch, we both ate the food. Her revolver that she carries now is -- was bought with that. I mean, we both, you know, accumulated this debt. I mean, I couldn't go dollar for dollar what she spent and what I spent, but...

Q. Could you please speak up.

A. Oh, sorry. It was -- I mean, it was close to 50/50 as I can -- I mean, we both ate the food and, I mean, that is what we lived on for some of the time.

- Q. Okay. She testified that she thought only approximately 20 percent of the credit card debt was due to food; is that your recollection?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

6

7

10 11

12

13

15

16

18

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

4

- Q. What is your recollection?
- A. I would say about 50 percent.
- O. Okay. And some of -- some of the items -- she testified that the items from this debt, these two credit cards, the Chase ones, are property that you are retaining; is that accurate?
- A. Well, there is some property that I'm retaining, and I had mentioned the revolver, she is keeping that. And also I had been paying on the credit card most of the time that she had been separated from me, until around December.
 - Q. Okay. How much was the revolver, as an example?
- A. I believe it was 327. 17
 - Q. Okay. We listed a First U.S. card, but I think
- Ms. Clayton said that is called something else now, Bank One. 19
- 20 We didn't know the dollar amount, do you have any idea how 21 much that is?
 - A. I have no idea. The bank card companies won't let me access any information. The only information I could get was through Genus Credit, where I had changed the account over to my name in June, late June after she had left. And

- O. Okay. We have a vehicle loan to Steve and Mary Beth from your parents. It's listed at approximately \$17,000. You heard Ms. Clayton's testimony that that is your loan; is that your recollection?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

7

13

17

21

- O. Why do you have this separated, half and half?
- A. Well, the reason is because we both benefited from this.
 - Q. And how did you benefit from that loan?
- A. Well, we -- after I had lost my position with Express Trailer, I had to, you know, find another position, wasn't finding one because of summer employment problems. And then we lived off it until, I think she said, around February or so, which we paid for the mortgage, food, you know, gas, you know, just all living expenses. Purchased a

Because I was going to be attending school, I needed a computer that was capable of connecting to the Internet. And we purchased that, She used it for her grad school work when she was going to educational grad school. So...

- Q. So she used some of the proceeds also --
- 23 A. Certainly.
 - Q. -- when she went to college?
 - A. Certainly.

computer with that.

- they couldn't -- they wouldn't release the information to Genus.
 - Q. Ms. Clayton testified that she thought it was something approximately \$2,491 on that credit card, First U.S. card that we have listed. Do you have any reason to believe that that is not correct?
- A. No.
 - Q. So you believe that it is probably approximate?
- A. Probably.
- 10 Q. Okay. And that is her credit card?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Do you remember what the balance was on that credit 12
- card while you were still together? 13
 - A. Probably about 3900, I think.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. Close to 4,000.
 - Q. And you've paid down an amount before?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 O. Until December?
- Right, \$213 a month. 20
- Q. You paid \$213 a month since -- until December? 21
- 22 A. Right.
- Q. And that is -- I think it was your wife's testimony 23
- that she thought she was paying \$100 a month since December. 24
- A. Right. 25

- O. Okay. Does she have a degree?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. What is her degree?
- 4 A. A degree in fine arts, bachelor's.
- 5 O. Bachelor's degree. And you have a degree in
- 6 history?
 - A. History.
- 8 Q. Okay. Is that a bachelor's of science or bachelor 9
 - of arts?
- 10
- 11 Q. Okay. So you both have the same educational degree 12 right now?
 - A. Right.
- 14 Q. And she -- you heard her testify she'll take her student loan and you'll take your student loan; do you have 15 any objection to that? 16
 - A. No.
- 18 Q. Okay. She testified that she never made any payments to your father on that -- what was originally a 19 20 \$19,000 loan; is that accurate?
- 22 Q. Do you recall how many -- how much money that she 23 paid?
- 24 A. It was a total of \$2100.
- 25 Q. Okay. Have you still been making the payments?

A. No, I haven't been able to.

Q. Okay. How much do you make right now?

A. I make \$7.54 an hour. I work on an average of 32 hours a week. Best Buy, as a full-time employee, is 32 hours minimum. Since we are having kind of a company wide budgetary problem, that is all I'm limited to right now.

Q. Okay. Do you believe that the Court should adopt your proposed assets and debt distribution?

A. Yes.

O. Do you think it is fair and equitable?

A. Yes.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'd move for admission of Respondent's Exhibit A.

MR. ALBACK: I have no objection to this. I thought it had already been filed with the Court. I have no objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Respondent's A is admitted. (Respondent's Exhibit A was admitted.)

MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness, Your

20 Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Here is a bench copy, Your Honor.

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Steve, I have just handed you a document. Do you 25 recognize that?

you'll probably be allowed to spend more time with your daughter. It appears that the Judge is not inclined and -- to grant half and half, and maybe at four years old that might be too young.

In the alternative, would you at least like the Judge to allow you the right of first refusal and to allow you to have your days off in the middle of the week with your daughter?

A. I mean, that's -- I see no problem with my daughter spending time with me. But -- and I will -- I will accept it. I mean, certainly I want more, but I will accept it. And I'll go to counseling or whatever I have to do to make sure my daughter is, you know, mentally fit.

Q. Right now is it -- is it approximately four full days that you get to spend with your daughter per month?

A. Yes.

1 2

Q. Okay. And then to clarify, because I misspoke earlier, you see her two times a week during the middle of the week sometimes?

A. Yes.

Q. And what is that from?

A. While I was going to school, I had to change the nights from Monday and Wednesday to Monday and Thursday because I had a night course. So it's pretty much stuck

Monday and Wednesday. So I pick her up, I generally pick her

A. Yes.

Q. It is marked Respondent's Exhibit B. What is that document?

A. This is the proposed parenting plan of mine.

Q. Okay. In that parenting plan you have requested additional time, specifically you would like to have a week on, a week off, correct?

A. Right.

Q. Do you believe that Grace is substantially mature enough to benefit from having a week on, a week off with you?

A. Certainly.

Q. Okay. And you have proposed that you alternate the tax exemption between you and your wife?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you think that is fair?

A. Certainly.

Q. Okay. Are you and your wife now currently handling transportation? I mean, is there any transportation issues that have arisen?

A. I think only once my car broke down and she had to come and pick up my daughter.

O. But other than that?

A. Other than that, no.

Q. Okay. Now, you heard Ms. Macki testify that if

you apparently have one or two more counseling sessions,

up about 3:15 on Mondays and also Thursdays. I get off of work at about 2:30, so I go home and shower and go and pick up my daughter.

Q. Okay. Now, you heard your wife testify that she believes that you shouldn't spend extra time with your daughter because it might be disruptive, and she did discuss about your -- your work schedule. How far in advance does your employer schedule your work?

A. The alternating weekends that is already set in, they have a computer program that sets up the days off I need. Also we have the ability to say, I need Tuesdays off for the rest of my work career with you.

I can -- I am -- I am to the point where I am so valuable on the company that they'd pretty much do anything for me. The pay, you know, that is a different matter. But with scheduling, they know what I'm going through, and you know, they are worried about my daughter, so...

Q. Well, would -- I guess the question I was asking you, how far in advance do they make the schedule?

A. My supervisor looks at it, it's usually about five or six days.

Q. Okay. So nearly a week, five to six days?

A. Right.

Q. Do you believe you'll have the ability to request a specific day such as a Tuesday or a Wednesday or Thursday?

- A. I have never had a problem.
- Q. Okay. And if that would assist in giving stabilized time with your daughter, and at the request of both your wife and Ms. Macki, are you willing to do that?
 - A Yes

- Q. Okay. So if you are allowed to spend additional time with your daughter, you're testifying here today you wil make a specific date schedule and that will stay in stone, absent some emergency?
 - A. Oh, yeah, that wouldn't be a problem.
- Q. Okay. Ms. Macki has indicated that you and your wife both have a very healthy relationship with your daughter, do you believe that that is correct?
 - A. For the most part.
- Q. And she said -- Ms. Macki said that she believed that you and your wife both have bonded -- or excuse me, your daughter has bonded with both you and your wife; do you believe that?
 - A. Certainly.
- Q. Okay. Do you believe that it is in your daughter's best interest to spend more time with you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You have four full days a month. What do you think -- I believe you said you want half time, one week on and one week off?

to know the difference between an igneous and a sedimentary rock, but, you know, I try to take her and have her get actually interested in science and everything around her.

- Q. Okay. Do you believe those are -- those are important in educational --
 - A. Yes.

- Q. visits with her please wait for me to finish the question.
 - A. Sorry.
- Q. I guess I have a question about a discussion with your daughter. You heard Ms. Macki talk about she is a very ab -- or excuse me, concrete thinker right now. Did you tell your daughter you had to move?
 - A. No. Well, I told her -- she wanted to know what all the boxes were for. And I said, well, I have to get a new house here in town. And she goes, where Montana? I said, yeah, Montana. And she thinks that my wife lives in Montana and I live somewhere else. It's kind of -- and we're only two miles apart.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. So her whole ability to judge geographical location, is skewed.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I had mentioned that my parents were going to be coming up from California and she, I guess, somehow tied in

- A. Right.
- Q. If that is not able to be done, what would you request?
- A. I mean, the bear minimum would be -- you know, if I am -- if I have my day off and she is at work, there is no reason that I wouldn't be able to see my daughter. I mean, Alice seems to be a great person, you know, her sitter. But I'm sorry to say, but the value of her relationship with Alice and the relationship with me, I think I'm quite a bit a head in the -- in the pecking order. I mean --
- Q. And I believe your wife testified that it is her belief that you only watch TV with your daughter, maybe occasionally go for a walk or go to Wal-Mart; is that accurate?
 - A. No.
- Q. What do you do with your daughter when you spend time with her?
- A. Well, we -- it's kind of dangerous, but -- dangerous in the fact that it is white colored carpet, I usually throw down a drop cloth in the family room and she can finger paint, and I have given her a brush so she can brush, we go picking flowers, we go for walks with the dog. We will go to my work and visit my friends there.
- I drive around, I'll go up north and I'll show her different -- you know -- you know, obviously she is not going

- my parents and moving.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's talk about your parents coming to visit. You heard your wife testify that she has never, and does not, restrict your visits with your daughter. Is that accurate?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Can you give a very recent example?
- A. Well, my daughter had a really high fever in March, it was to the point where she had -- I mean, when I picked her up from her sitter's, Alice Mills, she was ill, and I took her to the hospital right away and they gave her Tylenol and reduced the fever.

The next night was supposed to be my night that I was supposed to have her. That night also was going to be my scheduled -- scheduled night, and she said, well, because of Gracie's health, I'm only allowing you one day this week.

- Q. Have you taken care of her -- Grace when she is sick?
- A. Yes. Actually I exposed myself to chicken pox to take care of her.
- Q. Can you think of any good reason why your wife only allowed you one weekend because of her being ill?
- A. You know, I all I can surmise is spite.
- Q. Okay. Was there something recent, such as on the 17th? Were you allowed to see your daughter on the 17th of

June?

lunch time. Beth told me that I was to take her back to Alice's before her to Dr. -- or Mary Macki. And she had requested -- Mary The 17th of June was when I was scheduled to take

~ G G A Q D ~

Why?

She never gave me a reason

Your parents were here from California?

Right.

\$ \odot

2 = $\stackrel{\sim}{\bigcirc}$ hours with her? And she wouldn't allow you to spend a few more

I guess not.

<u>a</u> ದು have a routine when she stays with you? routine to minimize some of the anxiety she has. Do you Okay. Your wife testified that Grace needs a

Certainly.

separated? Okay. And you've maintained that ever since you

 $\overline{\infty}$ 17

20 $\overline{\odot}$ 2 bed. At one o'clock in the afternoon, she goes and takes her over at Mary Beth's house. And she goes to bed at 8:30. She up until ten o'clock, like I have been told that she does knows she is supposed to brush her teeth before she goes to She goes to bed at the same time, she doesn't stay

Okay. So your respondent's proposed final

Ŕ

parenting plan and your belief provides for the best needs of your daughter?

7004 WN She needs her father in her life as much as she

does her mother.

Respondent's Exhibit B be admitted MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'd request that

MR. ALBACK: I have no objection, Your Honor THE COURT: B is admitted.

 \odot

(Respondent's Exhibit B was admitted.)

9 G

MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness, Your

4.... 4.... 4.... Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Thank you.

7 $\overline{\omega}$

20 <u>~</u> <u>~~~</u> 7 5 5 certainly willing to stipulate to the entry of these save the time and trouble of the foundational matters, I'm appears that Mr. Rindal is going to be asking that these documents. documents be admitted as his child support calculations. MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, if I might interrupt. It

MR. RINDAL: Thank you, Mr. Alback

Respondent's Exhibit C. MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, here is bench copy. Steve, I'm handing to you what has been marked as

BY MR. RINDAL:

Do you recognize that document, Steve?

Yes, I do.

Okay. What is that?

This is -- it appears to be the child support

calculations.

176 133 117 117 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 12,547 what you make annually? Mr. Alback. We have you down as 12,547 annually; is that dollar amount, but I believe that was information provided by makes approximately \$23,000. I don't remember the exact Q. Okay. Now, I believe we put down that your wife

Approximately, yes.

that many, but 110 -- anything more than 110 will change the visitation is 110 days a year. Certainly you are not getting credit of 2,547. We have requested that the Judge alternate calculations. This is at 110. calculation is based on 110 days visitation, the standard the tax deduction between you and your wife. This Okay. Now, this shows that you have earned income

didn't have that dollar amount until today. I think that is We have allocated \$2,600 for daycare costs. I

even high, so --MR. RINDAL: Am I right?

amounts in these documents as well. We have discussed the MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, I'd also stipulate to the

on, so forth. Rather than belabor that before the Court, I'd be willing to stipulate as to the figures as well income, the child support -- I mean the daycare costs and sc

WN

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, then i --

THE COURT: Respondent's C is admitted

7 5 5 4

(Respondent's Exhibit C was admitted.) MR. RINDAL: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness again, Your

 ω ω Honor?

 $\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\bigcirc}$

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Bench copy, Your Honor.

BY MR. RINDAL:

Do you recognize it? here. This is a document marked as Respondent's Exhibit D Steve, we're going to cut to the chase to save time

Yes.

amount of daycare costs, \$2600. child -- for the income tax deduction, and it has the same income credit. This shows that she claims Grace for the for your wife, 12,547 for yourself, but it shows her earned This shows the same financial amount, 23,000 income

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'll just move to admit

MR. ALBACK: I'm sorry, Judge, no, I thought I THE COURT: Is there any objection?

stipulated

MR. RINDAL: Thank you, Your Honor. And, Your Honor, just a clarification, since we have requested that the child tax return deduction be alternated, I provided both to show you the differences.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. RINDAL;

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

10

4

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

22

23

25

- Q. Steve, Mrs. Clayton testified about the last several years you haven't made much income. Would you agree that that is a fair assessment?
 - A. Well, being a student doesn't usually pay too well.
 - O. How long have you been in college?
- A. On and off, I was in school for about 12 years; full-time, probably about four years.
- O. Well, she was talking at least since 1998, that is when she started discussing your income. Did you start full-time or on a complete college degree in about 1998?
 - A. Actually a year earlier than that --
 - O. Okay.
- A. -- I was attending full-time as an education student at Eastern at the time, and then Grace was born with her laryngomalacia, so I could no longer go through with that degree, so I stayed home.
 - Q. Would you clarify for me, you said Grace was born,

then until -- well, she is still working, right?

A. Rìght.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

O. Okay. And because of her either training or college degree she had better paying jobs than you?

96

- And since 1997 you've been a full-time college student; is that correct?

A. No, I can't say that. I haven't been -- the whole time I haven't gone full time. When I had some part-time positions, I also went to school part-time. But for the majority of the time later in our marriage, I was at school full time.

- O. Okay. During the last several years, let's say since 1997 or '8, have you -- has your father been assisting you and Mary Beth with financials?
 - A. Yes, thankfully.
- Q. Okay. Can you -- do you have any idea about how much your father has given you and Mary Beth?
- A. I have looked at the records, and I would say it's probably between ten and \$15,000 per year. And I don't even think that is including school, because I wasn't taking on student loans.
- 23 O. Okay. And did this money that your father gave to 24 you and Mary Beth, did it benefit both you?
 - A. Yes.

and then you said some big word I didn't hear.

- A. Laryngomalacia, which was a -- it's a -- she had an immature epiglottis, which restricted her breathing. Any sort of cold or flu could become very serious and she could become hospitalized.
- Q. And did you and your wife take care of Grace at that time?
- A. I took care of her for, oh, I'd say two months until the doctor felt that it was okay for her to go into daycare.
- Q. Okay. Your wife has a college degree too, when did she start college, approximately?
- A. I think she graduated in '92 from high school and -- actually, let me see. Okay. She was 17 when she started college. She is 29 now. So 12 years ago.
- Q. Did she graduate from college before you married her?
- 19 O. Okay. And so I believe -- when did you get married 20 again? 21
 - A. December 30th, 1995.
 - Q. Okay. So in 1995 she already had her college degree?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. And is it fair to say that she has worked since

Q. It didn't go into just one of your secret accounts or anything, did it?

97

A. No, I don't have any secret accounts.

Q. What did you use all the money for?

A. Rent, Actually the \$500 deductible when Grace was born. School. Let me see. You know, just living expenses. Mortgage, of course.

MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness, Your

Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, here is a bench copy.

BY MR. RINDAL:

- Q. In fact, did your father help you buy a house?
- A. Yes.
- Q. I have handed you a document that is marked as Respondent's E. Do you recognize that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What is that document?
- A. This is a gift letter that my dad had to present to the Internal Revenue Service showing that it was a gift to me in the amount of \$20,000 so I could purchase a home.
- 22 Q. Okay. And did this money go straight to the 23 purchase of the house that you and Mary Beth currently own?
- 24 A. Yes. Actually the cashier's check was made out to 25 the bank.

Q. So you never actually touched this money, it went --

A. No, I never got to see it.

Q. And it is on your behalf, he listed my son Steven Clayton, that is you, correct?

A. Right.

4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. Okay.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'd move for the admission of Respondent's Exhibit E.

MR. ALBACK: I object, there is no foundation for this document, Your Honor, at all.

THE COURT: It proves your case. Respondent's E is admitted.

(Respondent's Exhibit E was admitted.)

MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Here is a bench copy.

Your Honor, if I may have permission, I am not going to submit this for -- to be accepted under this witness, but just because of the course, I am -- my witness who actually owns this document is waiting in the hall to testify to it. I will, if Mr. Alback would permit me to ask just a few questions, I have got like two questions on it, and then all the foundation and all the actual accuracy will

A. Well, it almost sounds like she had no -- she had no idea that it was being done. And the funny thing is, is we went down together and picked out the options. We talked to the sales manager, and since my dad is a General Motors employee, we had to go through the sales manager. So we sat down there and picked out the options together. We picked out the color, we picked out the fabric, we picked out everything.

And we had had trouble with the vehicle that we owned before, I had an older Taurus and so I wanted to get something more reliable. And also I — I mean, it was — it was us.

Q. And so is it your contention that your wife assisted you in purchasing or picking out the pickup?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

25

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. For your family?

A. And we got the extra cab because she wanted the extra cab, because when we are going to have kids, you know, we wanted to have, you know, room in the back.

Q. Okay. And then you purchased the vehicle; is that correct?

22 A. Yes, Yes,

23 O. Where is that vehicle now?

A. I have seen it drive around town.

Q. No, let me clarify. Do you still own it?

99

be upon Mr. Art Clayton, who is in the hall.

Otherwise, I'll just have to call Mr. Steve Clayton back to the stand after this.

THE COURT: I am not quite sure what you are intending to do with this.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'll just wait.
Mr. Clayton, may I have that back, please. Thank you. May I have those other documents.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

MR. RINDAL: I'll wait Your Honor.

11 BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Steve, I believe you testified that you had got a loan, you got a loan from your father, you and Mary Beth needed a reliable vehicle, is that why you got the loan?

A. We purchased a vehicle through Fichtner Chevrolet, and the money was loaned to us by my father.

Q. Okay. Well, let's talk about that for a minute. You say we purchased a vehicle through Fichtner's, that contradicts what Ms. Clayton said. She said she had nothing to do with that.

A. Mm-hmm.

O. Is that accurate?

23 A. No

Q. Well, what did you do? You went to -- what are you

contending happened?

101

A. No

Q. Okay. What did you and Mary Beth do with it?

A. We sold it.

Q. Why?

A. Because I had lost my position with Express

Trailer, we needed money to live on. I didn't know how long it was going to be until I could find another position.

 $8\,$ $\,$ So we bought a fairly -- fairly new Oldsmobile Achieva, and

9 so we had a reliable piece of transportation, also got cash10 from that downgrading.

Q. Do you recall approximately how much cash that you and Mary Beth received?

A. It was around \$11,000.

Q. And did that \$11,000 go into your joint marital account?

A. Yes. We had no other accounts except for our joint account.

Q. And what did you and Mary Beth do with that \$11,000?

A. Lived off of it, purchased a computer. That is pretty much it, we lived off of it.

Q. Okay. So is it your contention that that is a joint marital debt that you owe your father?

24 A. Yes.

Q. And do you have an approximate idea how much that

A. Yes. MR. RINDAL: Okay. Your Honor, I'd move for

24 A. In -- the last semester, which was from January 25 to May. 105 1

103 1 admission, demonstrative purposes only, Respondent's 2 Exhibit G. 3 THE COURT: Well, all of your exhibits are 4 demonstrative purposes only, I believe. MR. RINDAL: Certainly, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Is there any objection? 6 7 MR. ALBACK: No, I have no objection to these, Your 8 Honor. 9 THE COURT: I'd point out, though, I think they 10 were due about three days ago. 11 MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, Mr. Alback and I had a problem in getting -- hooking up the right time and getting 12

all the information exchanged. (Respondent's Exhibit G was admitted.) MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, that concludes my questions for Mr. Clayton.

> MR. ALBACK: I have a couple. CROSS-EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. ALBACK:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

18

19

21

22

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

O. Mr. Clayton, how old are you?

21 A. Thirty-six.

O. All right. You are 36 years old. You testified

that you have gone to school for 12 years?

24 A. On and off, yes. 25

O. All right. And so I take it that part of that

Q. And were those graduate hours?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

8

14

15

17

19

20

23

O. In history?

A. No, actually in public relations.

5 Q. Okay. So you have almost a marketing, almost a business, you have a history, and now you are taking graduate

7 courses in public relations?

A. Yes.

9 O. Okay. And during that period of time that you have 10 been going to school, your father has been giving you,

11 according to your testimony, anywhere from ten to \$15,000 a

12 per year; is that correct? 13

A. Right. And when --

Q. Okay.

A. -- I wasn't working, yes.

16 Q. Okay. And also it is your testimony that during

that period of time your father gave you \$20,000 to buy a

18 house?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And it's also your testimony that

21 during that period of time your father gave you \$18,000 --

22 \$19,000 -- over \$19,000 to buy a pickup?

MR. RINDAL: Objection, Your Honor, I don't

24 believe he testified that he gave him. I believe my client

testified that it was a loan.

	110	112
1	A. Possibly.	1 A. Yes.
2	Q. And you still had to get money from your dad?	2 Q. I mean to those assets?
3	A. Yes.	3 A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. And isn't the truth of the matter that the	4 Q. All right. And those assets and their values are
5	money that came from the sale of the pickup and the money	5 what is before the Court now as the agreed upon division?
6	that came from your dad just gratis, was basically used to	6 A. Yes.
7	buy all the toys that ended up on your disclosure statement	7 Q. Okay. And so if the assets that are on your side
8	that we have agreed to that you have?	8 of that ledger totals \$10,616, and those that are on Mary
9	A. No. What toys are you referring to?	9 Beth's total \$2,200, would you agree that that is a result
10	Q. Well, as I look at the disclosure statement that	10 of calculations or of values that you have placed on those?
	you have submitted to the Court for your division, there is	A. Certainly I'm not a professional appraiser, but,
	at least five firearms that have been purchased during the	12 yes, I guess I would I would you know, from my
	course of the marriage that are on your side of the ledger	13 abilities, which aren't great, yes.
	that Mary Beth has agreed to.	14 Q. Well, based upon that, would you agree that the
1 4 15	A. Actually I believe my original proposal was her to	15 value of the assets that you're retaining of a personal
	get or she has three firearms, actually, of those five.	16 property nature are five times greater than the value of what
17	Q. Mr I'm not asking you, I'm asking you if there	17 Mary Beth is getting?
	were five firearms that were purchased during the course of	18 A. Well, I certainly think we should also say that a
	the marriage that you're ending up with?	19 lot of those were premarital.
20	A. I believe so.	20 Q. The premarital aren't included in the calculations,
21	Q. Okay. And you end up with the Achieva?	21 sir.
22	A. What is left of it, yes.	22 A. The firearms? There are certainly firearms listed
23	Q. Ah, okay. And let's speak about that.	23 on there that are premarital.
24	A. Okay.	24 MR. ALBACK: What is the hearing number?
25	Q. You say in the documents that you provided the	25 MR, RINDAL: A I think.
	111	113
1 .	Judge today that that Achieva is worth \$1500?	1 MR. ALBACK: Excuse me?
2	A. Yes.	2 MR. RINDAL: I believe it's A.
3	Q. Is that right? But the documents that you have	3 MR. ALBACK: May I approach, Your Honor?
4	furnished for your initial disclosure, or declaration of	4 THE COURT: You may.
5	disclosure had a value of \$3,000?	5 MR. ALBACK: All right.
6	A. Yes.	6 BY MR. ALBACK:
7	Q. And isn't it the truth of the matter that since the	7 Q. I'm showing what has been marked as Exhibit A.
8 +	day you bought the Achieva, it has been your vehicle?	8 Those items that are listed as premarital
9	A. No.	9 A. Yes.
10	Q. Is Mary Beth's name on that title?	10 Q have no value, do they, in
	A. No.	11 A. No.
11	Q. Has it ever been?	1 12 Q. All right.
	V. Has rever uccii:	TI IZ V. Ali Iluik.
12	•	11
12 13	A. No.	13 A. Sorry.
12 13 14	A. No. Q. Okay. Would you agree that the values that	13 A. Sorry. 14 Q. Okay.
12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. Okay. Would you agree that the values that are placed on the property that is included on the	13 A. Sorry. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So many documents.
16	A. No. Q. Okay. Would you agree that the values that are placed on the property that is included on the declaration of I'm sorry, the agreed division of personal	13 A. Sorry. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So many documents. 16 Q. Okay. So little time. The ten to 15,000 that your
12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. Okay. Would you agree that the values that are placed on the property that is included on the declaration of I'm sorry, the agreed division of personal property are values that you established?	13 A. Sorry. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So many documents. 16 Q. Okay. So little time. The ten to 15,000 that your 17 dad gave you per year, did he do a gift statement for those
12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. Okay. Would you agree that the values that are placed on the property that is included on the declaration of I'm sorry, the agreed division of personal property are values that you established? A. Now, which which which are you talking	13 A. Sorry. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So many documents. 16 Q. Okay. So little time. The ten to 15,000 that your 17 dad gave you per year, did he do a gift statement for those 18 for tax purposes too?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. Okay. Would you agree that the values that are placed on the property that is included on the declaration of I'm sorry, the agreed division of personal property are values that you established? A. Now, which which which are you talking about the one I submitted?	13 A. Sorry. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So many documents. 16 Q. Okay. So little time. The ten to 15,000 that your 17 dad gave you per year, did he do a gift statement for those 18 for tax purposes too? 19 A. No.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. Q. Okay. Would you agree that the values that are placed on the property that is included on the declaration of I'm sorry, the agreed division of personal property are values that you established? A. Now, which which are you talking about the one I submitted? Q. Yes. The truth of the matter, isn't it I'll	13 A. Sorry. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So many documents. 16 Q. Okay. So little time. The ten to 15,000 that your 17 dad gave you per year, did he do a gift statement for those 18 for tax purposes too? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Do you know why not?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. Okay. Would you agree that the values that are placed on the property that is included on the declaration of I'm sorry, the agreed division of personal property are values that you established? A. Now, which which which are you talking about the one I submitted? Q. Yes. The truth of the matter, isn't it I'll help you.	13 A. Sorry. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So many documents. 16 Q. Okay. So little time. The ten to 15,000 that your 17 dad gave you per year, did he do a gift statement for those 18 for tax purposes too? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Do you know why not? 21 A. I have no idea. I really don't.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. Okay. Would you agree that the values that are placed on the property that is included on the declaration of I'm sorry, the agreed division of personal property are values that you established? A. Now, which which are you talking about the one I submitted? Q. Yes. The truth of the matter, isn't it I'll help you. A. Okay.	13 A. Sorry. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So many documents. 16 Q. Okay. So little time. The ten to 15,000 that your 17 dad gave you per year, did he do a gift statement for those 18 for tax purposes too? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Do you know why not? 21 A. I have no idea. I really don't. 22 Q. Okay. All right. I just have a few more questions
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. Okay. Would you agree that the values that are placed on the property that is included on the declaration of I'm sorry, the agreed division of personal property are values that you established? A. Now, which which are you talking about the one I submitted? Q. Yes. The truth of the matter, isn't it I'll help you. A. Okay. Q. Isn't it the truth of the matter that you furnished	A. Sorry. Q. Okay. A. So many documents. Q. Okay. So little time. The ten to 15,000 that your dad gave you per year, did he do a gift statement for those for tax purposes too? A. No. Q. Do you know why not? A. I have no idea. I really don't. Q. Okay. All right. I just have a few more questions to ask you. I want to talk to you about the parenting plan
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. Okay. Would you agree that the values that are placed on the property that is included on the declaration of I'm sorry, the agreed division of personal property are values that you established? A. Now, which which are you talking about the one I submitted? Q. Yes. The truth of the matter, isn't it I'll help you. A. Okay.	13 A. Sorry. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. So many documents. 16 Q. Okay. So little time. The ten to 15,000 that your 17 dad gave you per year, did he do a gift statement for those 18 for tax purposes too? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Do you know why not? 21 A. I have no idea. I really don't. 22 Q. Okay. All right. I just have a few more questions

4

5

6

8

9

10

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23 24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

A. Yes.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. But as I understand your testimony, are you backing off that position?

A. Well, I am trying to do what is best for my daughter. And I think -- you know, I'm trying to follow an expert's advice. The expert is contending that if I am able to go to the therapist with my daughter, then I should be granted more time with my daughter. What I'm trying to be is

Q. Okay.

A. I mean, at this period of time, I most likely don't have a chance --

O. So you have backed off?

A. Assume -- yes.

Q. Okay. And as I understand that the reason that you want the additional time with your daughter that Mr. Rindal has been batting around all day about your days off, right, is because you want to spend time with your daughter?

A. Yes.

O. And her time is better spent with you than with Alice the baby-sitter; is that right?

A. Most definitely.

Okay. I just have a question that I can't resolve;

O. Okay. And so that would be some quality time with you and Grace while you were doing your distance schooling on the computer?

116

A. Well, actually I would only -- I would only take it as many classes that I can handle so my daughter is coming first. I mean, my work knows that, I have told them that my daughter is the most important thing in my life.

O. Okay. Fair enough. Fair enough. I just have like two more questions. Mr. Rindal asked you about times when Mary Beth has withheld visitation from you.

A. Yes.

O. Right? And the only example that you could give of that was --

A. Actually he told me the most recent example is what he asked me.

Q. And so your example was, is the day that -- when your --

A. That my daughter --

Q. If you might -- I will ask the questions and then when I'm done, you can answer. All right? Okay?

A. (No response.)

Q. The example you gave was one occasion when, under your own testimony, you picked your daughter up and she had, in your words, a high fever.

A. Yes.

115

and that is, what about the three and a half years when your daughter was going to the baby-sitter everyday when you were home, when you weren't in school? You never went to get here then? You never insisted on having daycare with her in your presence then, did you?

A. Well, actually I think we discussed this in the first trial. The first summer I had to spend out in the front yard doing landscaping. Also I had various hours with my work schedule and school schedules.

Q. Okay.

A. And we're supposed to have consistency in my daughter's life.

Q. Okay. And so I take it that then it was more important that the consistency include Alice, right?

A. At the time, I don't think -- between the ages of three and six are the most developmental important years of a child's life. You know, without her -- I am now, between the ages of three and six, able to be there for her.

Q. You are going to school now, are you, part-time?

A. As I stated before, I can't afford to go to school right now.

Q. But as soon as you can afford it, are you planning

A. It would most likely be a distance program where I would stay home.

117

Q. And your visitation, it was in March?

A. I am trying -- yes, it was in March.

Q. March would be wintertime, I'm quessing? Probably not a warm day.

A. Probably not.

Q. Okay. And are you, seriously, complaining that Mary Beth didn't bring your daughter out in the cold with a high fever the next day so that you could spend your four hours with her?

A. Actually the next day she didn't have a fever. It was the night before that she was ill, and I was able to take care of her that next day.

Q. Okay.

MR. ALBACK: I have nothing further, Judge.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Steve, Mr. Alback was, I guess, trying to show that you, out of all these complaints you had, you could only think of two times. If we had an hour to -- how many times do you think that she has withheld or restricted your visitation with Grace since last September?

A. Actually I have a calendar of such events.

22 23 Q. Can you estimate how many times? Don't go into the 24 details, please.

A. I would say eight times.

 $\label{eq:MR.ALBACK: I object as to leading, Your Honor.} \\$

MR. RINDAL: Sure.

BY MR. RINDAL:

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

17

18

20

22

23

24

25

Q. Is there an incident that you'd like to tell recently about, she was supposed to take her to work?

A. Yes. Well, the incident began with my -- my daughter was again sick, I think it --

THE COURT: I think this is beyond the scope of the cross-examination.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, he was talking about the illness and this is --

THE COURT: You are going beyond the scope of the cross-examination and I'm going to prohibit any further questions.

MR. RINDAL: Well, since you are prohibiting any further questions, I have nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: On this subject.

MR. ALBACK: I have nothing further, Judge.

THE COURT: You may step down. Do you have another

witness?

MR. RINDAL: I do, Your Honor. I would like to call Mr. Art Clayton.

120

have blacked out certain numbers that show account balances.
 You have the original, or you provided a copy of this without
 the blackouts to me; is that correct?

A. Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23 24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Is this the same document that you handed me without the blackouts?

A. Yes, it is.

Q. Okay. I want to direct your attention to, at the bottom, where it says, Check to Fichtner Chevrolet, \$19,543,36?

A. Yes.

Q. Was that a loan to Mary Beth and Steve Clayton?

A. Yes, it was.

O. And why did you give them that loan?

A. Well, I was an employee of General Motors and I get a discount on cars. When my son decided -- and Mary Beth decided that they wanted a new car because her truck was getting rather old, they decided to purchase a new car, and used my discount, as my son he could do that.

They went and applied at the this dealership and when they went to purchase the car, the interest would have been 18 percent, with a cosigner 25 percent. So I told them that I would loan them the money through my bank, because I could get a mortgage -- well, I had an outstanding line of credit with my credit union. So I just had them write a

119

ARTHUR W. CLAYTON,

called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RINDAL:

- Q. Mr. Clayton, would you please state your full name for the record?
 - A. Arthur W. Clayton.
- 9 O. And are you the father of Steve Clayton?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- 11 O. And what is your address?
 - A. 684 Catalina Road, Fullerton, California, 92825.
 - Q. Okay. Okay. That is fine. I want to ask you a few short questions. One regarding --

15 MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness, Your

16 Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: I handed him exhibit Exhibit F, that

19 one there. Toby, F.

MR. ALBACK: Gotch you, thank you.

21 BY MR. RINDAL:

- Q. Mr. Clayton, I handed you a document marked Respondent's Exhibit F. Do you recognize that document?
 - A. Yes, sir, I do.
 - O. Now, there is some blackouts, I have -- I, myself

121

check and -- because I felt that was an outrageous price to add to the cost of the vehicle they were buying.

Q. Okay.

A. With the premise that they would be paying me back.

Q. Okay. And, in fact, have they made payments back to you?

A. They were making payments on a regular basis for a number of months until the job situations changed, their financial costs changed, and then it became difficult for them to do so. And, therefore, in my typical permissive parent supporting fuss style, I let it slide.

O. Okay.

A. But I never forgave it.

Q. Sure. Now, is that an accurate number,

15 \$19,543 --

A. Yes, it is.

Q. Okay. You have to wait for me to finish the guestion. I know --

A. Okay.

Q. -- a lot of people get nervous and answer before the question is done.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'd move for admission of Respondent's Exhibit F.

MR. ALBACK: I have no objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: F is admitted.

- Q. So December 30th of 1995 is their marriage, and within -- well, within two weeks I see you started giving them gifts of \$1,000?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. Why did you keep track of this money that you provided to them?
 - A. Well, I have three children.
- O. Mm-hmm.
- A. And my intention is to try to treat my three children equitably. They require different types of financial support, or I have been in the position to provide them different types of financial support --

MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, I am going to object to this testimony, and also object to this document for the following reasons: The only allegation that is before this Court is that somehow my client might owe Mr. Clayton half of the amount of the car -- the pickup loan. And I take it they're alleging that she owes part of the \$20,000 down payment.

All of this other stuff is extraneous to this 22 23 witness's testimony, and it is not -- it is a waste of time 24 at this point.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection.

- Q. Okay. And has she ever told you to this date that she didn't owe you the money or that there was no loan?

4 5

6

13

14

15

17

18

19

- Q. Did she acknowledge the loan to you?
- A, Yes.
- 8 O. Okay. You also gave a down payment on 5-14-98,
- that letter has been admitted, I don't recall the exhibit.
- But it was the gift letter that you provided to me, that you
- gave to Steve a \$20,000 gift in order for Mary Beth and him
- to purchase a house? 12
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recall that?
- 16 Q. And that gift was given to Steve; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, it says to Steve.
 - Q. Okay. Also I see all within the next couple of
 - days, 5-15 and 5-16, it shows that you had some -- you gave
- 20 them additional fundings, it looks like \$5,000 for escrow
- 21 costs?
 - A. Yeah, I --
- 23 O. Go ahead.
- A. If I may, I had discussions with the lender, and 24
 - determined that we could lower their payment by buying a

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

and to Steve?

A. No.

1 2

3

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

6

9

10

11 12

13

15

16

17 18

22

Q. Okay, How long has -- excuse me. Did you get to see your granddaughter yesterday?

A. For about an hour and a half.

Q. Were you with Steve when Steve requested extra time to spend with his daughter?

A. We came in on Saturday, I don't if I've gone back too far, and the timing of yesterday -- we had her -- no, he had her the day before, which was I guess the day he typically has her. And he took her back that night and then he had to go -- he dropped her off, I think about eight o'clock, I can't recall precisely. Then he had to go back and get her at 8:30 in the morning to take her to the therapy meeting that he had and Grace had scheduled.

A. I know they had the car for a shorter period of time than they had intended, and they decided somewhere along the line to trade down so they could accumulate some cash in order to do some things that they felt they needed to do.

Q. Okay. And did it occur to you at that point in time to seek repayment of this loan that you intended?

A. No. That loan wasn't altered by that. The fact of whatever they did with that car and sold it, did not alter the loan that I gave them or that money.

Q. That is not what I asked you. Did it occur to you -- I mean, you were aware that they were selling the car?

A. They didn't ask --

24 Q. Or pickup?

A. They did not -- no, I was not aware.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

4

12

13

15

17

18

19

24

1

2

9

10 11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

O. Oh, okay. Had you been aware of it, and had you been aware that they were going to receive \$21,000 for it. would you have asked for your money back then?

A. I don't know. I don't recall the circumstances as to what their needs were at the time and why they made a decision to sell that car.

Q. Okay. There is no promissory note?

A. It was a father/son -- it was an on-the-sour phone call when he was sitting in the dealer's office telling --

Q. Was Mary Beth on that phone call too?

A. No.

O. Okay. I'll represent to you that they sold the vehicle in 1999, I believe, the pickup. And I noticed from your -- your Exhibit H that you prepared, that throughout, oh, starting all of '99, through 2000, 2001, 2002, you regularly sent money to your son, apparently in amounts anywhere from \$300 a month to \$1,000 a month regularly, some as high as \$1500, \$1275, \$1500; would you agree?

A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. Did it ever occur to you that out of that money, which I didn't take it as a gift, right, to these 22 folks?

23

Q. Did it ever occur to you to say, Well, guys, you ought to pay my \$300 a month back?

132

reasons is that being so far away, it's hard to recall all the circumstances and all the dates.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My son was working on a job where he could afford -- he and Mary Beth I believe were making comparable incomes in that time, when he was working for Freuhauf. That job disappeared. He didn't finish his education at the university, he went off to a summer vacation -- a work. excuse me, at Yellowstone met, Mary Beth and they subsequently got married. And he did not finish his college degree, which my wife and I had invested some significant amount of money in to educate our son.

When he lost that job, the decision was made. I think by Mary Beth and Steve, for him to go back to school. In order for him to go back to school, it was going to be difficult for them to do so. And we helped them financially with tuition and with books and with some costs of living.

And if he told me he was short, or they needed some money for a certain item, I would often respond, because I could. And I kept diligent notes to that extent, because when my time comes, I want to make sure that my daughter and his brother, receive ample share of the estate.

And because of the circumstances of his education and his marriage and his expenses, he's a little a head of the game right now.

MR. RINDAL: I have nothing further, Your Honor.

131

A. No.

Q. It didn't?

A. Do you want to know why?

Q. No, I don't. But it never occurred to you, did it?

Q. I will guess why, though. My guess is because it would be taking out of your left pocket and putting it into your right pocket, isn't that right?

A. No.

Q. Or into Steve's right pocket?

A. No.

12 O. Isn't that right?

A. No.

MR. ALBACK: I have nothing further of this

15 witness, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Rindal.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RINDAL:

O. Mr. Clayton, why didn't you demand the money or reduce your amount of gifting after you learned that they had sold the pickup?

A. Why did I reduce the amount of gifting?

23 Q. Why didn't you.

A. Why didn't I? I don't know if I could tell you

why. I don't know if I honestly know. One of the main

133

MR. ALBACK: Nothing further, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: I have one question

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: When are you due to leave Billings?

THE WITNESS: Tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, the Respondent rests.

THE COURT: Very well. The Court, of course, will take this matter under advisement, but I am going to order

10 that there be an immediate alteration to the visitation schedule so that Grace can spend the evening with her

12 grandparents.

MR. ALBACK: Very well, Your Honor.

MR. RINDAL: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And with that, Court is in recess.

(Proceedings concluded.)

--00000--

134 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
I, STEPHANIE MICHELS, Registered Professional Reporter,
Registered Merit Reporter, and a Notary Public of the State
of Montana,
Do hereby certify that I reported in machine shorthand
the foregoing proceedings at the time, place and with the
appearances of counsel hereinbefore noted.
I further certify that the transcript transcribed from
my original shorthand notes by means of computer-assisted
transcription, is a full, true, and correct transcript of the
oral testimony adduced therein, to the best of my ability.
I further certify that I am not of counsel for, nor in
any way related to, any of the parties in this matter, nor am
I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
affixed my Notarial Seal this 20th day of September, 2003.
STEPHANIE MICHELS
Registered Professional Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter,
and Notary Public of the State of (Seal) Montana. Residing at Billings,
Montana. My commission expires: September 26, 2006.

GIFT LETTER

Date: APRIL 30, 1998			
TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:			•
We'TH ARTHUR AND NONCY CLAYTON are lovely giving our (r	; ηγ)		
SON, STEPHEN CLAYTON the amount (relationship) (name of recipient)) of		
(relationship) (name of recipient)		į i i	
\$ 20,000,00 as a gift, for which there is no obligation to repay in the	for	m o	(f }
cash or services at any date.			: }
. Rether W Clarton 9/30/98			*
Donor Signature Date, Da) []		•
Donor Signature Date	한 4. 및 1. :		i i
Donor Address	1		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	1		} 1
Donor Phone Number		1	
	1	1 1 1	
Borrower Signature Date		\$2.70mm ax.	
Borrower Signature Date	1		¥ -, t
Borrower Signature	Access of the second		
Gilts from acureas office than teletives, bonower's employer of table union, a climitable square from a poyer	nijî ker Leccii	italied ibuve	elicy:
publicentity that has a program to provide homeownership make tence to low, and moderate in ourse tamica, or his time, use the sale moderate in the tamica, or his time, use the sale moderate in the sale moderate moderate in the sale moderate mode	1		
There must be a paper trail established. Provide the following:	H 5 1		
copy of the donor's withdrawal slip or cancelled check	in the second se		\$ \$!
OR	i i		
copy of cashiers check with donor's name identified		47.25.14	
AND	Ĭ.		
borrower's deposit slip or bank statement showing			3
 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	1 -, i.,		₹ ~ ~
Evide we of wire transfer new the senor's acct, to the borrower's cot, it is at	:ce;):abl	e. J

13. **ENFORCEMENT:** Petitioner/Mother proposes that this parenting plan become effective and adopted as the Interim Parenting Plan upon its approval by the District Court having jurisdiction over this proceeding for dissolution of marriage.

14. DECLARATION FOR PROPOSED PARENTING PLAN:

Petitioner/Mother declares under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Montana that this proposed plan has been proposed in good faith and the statements in this proposed plan are true and correct. That Petitioner/Mother and Respondent/Father had previously discussed the issue of parenting their child from time to time since their separation and Petitioner/Mother had structured her original Proposed Preliminary Parenting Plan filed herein in conformance with the agreements she and Respondent/Father had expressed and agreed to in such conversations. However, by virtue of the pleadings filed herein by Respondent/Father, it is apparent that Respondent does not intend to abide by the agreements previously reached and, therefore, Petitioner is left with no alternative other than to ask the Court to adopt the parenting plan proposed herein.

DATED this 5 day of September, 2002.

MARY BETH CLAYTOR

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was mailed, postage prepaid, to the following opposing counsel of record at the address listed below:

Lee Rindal RINDAL LAW FIRM 926 Main Street Billings, Montana 59105

DATED this 5th day of September, 2002.

By: Susau A. Warling

Toby Alback ALBACK & BOSCHERT, P.C. 208 North 29th Street, Suite 227 Billings, MT 59101

Telephone: (406) 252-4221

Attorney for Petitioner/Wife



MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, YELLOWSTONE COUNTY

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF)
) CAUSE NO.: DR02-0705
MARY BETH CLAYTON,)
) JUDGE: DIANE G. BARZ
Petitioner,)
V.)
)
STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,)
)
Respondent.)
	`

PETITIONER'S RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR SHOW CAUSE HEARING, PETITIONER'S MOTION TO ADOPT PRELIMINARY AMENDED PARENTING PLAN AND FOR CHILD SUPPORT ORDER

COMES NOW, Petitioner, by and through her counsel of record and hereby enters her response and objection to Respondent's Motion For Show Cause Hearing in which Respondent asks the Court to adopt Respondent's Proposed Interim Parenting Plan as the interim parenting plan during the pendency of this action.

In addition, Petitioner moves this Court for its Order adopting Petitioner's Preliminary Amended Parenting Plan filed herewith as the interim parenting plan during the pendency of this action and, further, moves the Court for its Order establishing temporary child support pursuant to Petitioner's child support calculations filed herewith.

1	This Response and Motion is supported by the Affidavit of Petitioner and Petitioner's
2	Brief filed herewith.
3	DATED this day of September, 2002.
4	Las Jan
5	TOBY ALBACK
6	Attorney/før Petitioner
7	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
8	I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was mailed, postage prepaid, to the following opposing counsel of record at the address listed below:
10	Lee Rindal RINDAL LAW FIRM 926 Main Street
1 1	Billings, Montana 59105
12	DATED this day of September, 2002.
13	Saw Cal
14	Ву:
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

RECEIVED SEP 1 1 2002

	4
	MONTANA THIRTERNEY WAR
	MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, YELLOWSTONE COUNTY IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF:
	MARY BETH CLAYTON, Cause No.: <u>DR-02-0705</u> Judge: <u>Diane G. Barz</u>
ě	Petitioner,
ĕ	and ORDER
1.0	STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,) Re: INTERIM PARENTING PLAN AND SETTING HEARING
1.1	
12	Both parties having responded to the Court's Order of August 23, 2002, through their attorneys, THE COURT OPPENS AS TO
1.3	attorneys, THE COURT ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:
14 15	1. The Court will adopt the Petitioner's Amended Proposed Parenting Plan effective immediately, until the hearing.
16	2. A hearing on the Interim Parenting Plan is SET for September 24, 2002, at 9:00 o'clock A.M., Courtroom #518, Yellowstone County Courthouse, Billings, Montana. Each party shall have thirty (3) minutes, including cross-examination. No other witnesses except the parties can testify.
19	The parties need to have their Child Support Calculations completed.
20	DATED this day of September, 2002.
22 23	cc: Lee Rindal, Esq. Toby Alback, Esq. **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** **CERTIFICATE OF S
24	This is to certify that the foregoing was duly served by muil or by hand upon the parties or their autorneys of record at their last known address on this By Court Asst. to HON. DIANE G. BARZ

MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, YELLOWSTONE COUNTY

Carried Annual Control

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF)
MARY BETH CLAYTON,) CAUSE NO.: DR02-0705
) JUDGE: DIANE G. BARZ
Petitioner,	<u>)</u>
v.)
STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON,)
Respondent.))
)

ORDER ADOPTING TEMPORARY PARENTING PLAN

THIS MATTER came on for Show Cause Hearing before the Court on the day of September 2002. Petitioner was present in person along with Toby Alback, her counsel of record. Respondent appeared in person along with his attorney, Lee Rindal. Testimony of Petitioner and Respondent was presented to the Court and, after consideration of such testimony and evidence presented at hearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. The Court hereby orders that the Petitioner's Proposed Amended Parenting Plan filed herein is hereby adopted as the Interim Parenting Plan as to the care, custody and control

of the	parties' minor child during the pende	ency of this ac	ction, provided, however, that
Petitio	ner's Amended Proposed Parenting	Γime shall be	amended to allow Respondent/Father
the fol	lowing visitation schedule with the n	ninor child:	
	Father shall be entitled to two child per week, on days of his choose through 8:00 p.m. In addition, Fath said minor child every other weeken evening at 6:00 p.m.	sing during the er shall be en	titled to visitation/custody of
	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	DATED this /5 day of October,	, 2002.	
		DIANE G. DIANE G. District Cou	BARZ
cc:	Lee Rindal, Esq. Toby Alback, Esq.		
			CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE This is to certify that the foregoing was duly served by mail upon the parties or their attorneys of record at their last known address this

1 MONTANA THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT YELLOWSTONE COUNTY 3 IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF: No. DR 02-0705 MARY BETH CLAYTON, Petitioner/Respondnet, and STEPHEN LLOYD CLAYTON, Respondent/Appellant. 9 10 11 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 12 Courtroom - Dept. No. 1 Yellowstone County Courthouse 13 Billings, Montana June 18, 2003 14 1:30 p.m. 15 HONORABLE DIANE G. BARZ, PRESIDING JUDGE 16 APPEARANCES 17 For the Petitioner: ALBACK & BOSCHERT by TONY ALBACK 1.8 208 North 29th Street, Ste. 227 Billings, MT 59101 19 For the Respondent: RINDAL LAW OFFICE 20 by LEE RINDAL 926 Main Street, Ste. 16 21 Billings, MT 59105 22 CONDENSED STEPHANIE MICHELS, RPR, NO OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER P.O. BOX 20577 BILLINGS, MT 59101 STEPHANIE MICHELS, RPR, RMR 24 TRANSCRIPT Courthouse 406-256-2722 Office 406-656-3355

1.	INDEX	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	MARY BETH CLAYTON DIRECT EXAMINATIONBY MR. ALBACK	4
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK	30
5	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL	51
6	MARY MACKI DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK	53
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL	59
8	STEVE CLAYTON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL	75
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL	
10	ARTHUR W. CLAYTON	
1.1	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK	119 129
12	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL	
13	EXHIBITS	
14	EXHIBITS EXHIBITS	PAGE
14 15	EXHIBITS Respondent's Exhibit A	<u>PAGE</u> 82 91
	EXHIBITS Respondent's Exhibit A Respondent's Exhibit B Respondent's Exhibit C	82
15	EXHIBITS Respondent's Exhibit A Respondent's Exhibit B Respondent's Exhibit C Respondent's Exhibit D Respondent's Exhibit E	82 91 93
15 16	EXHIBITS Respondent's Exhibit A Respondent's Exhibit B Respondent's Exhibit C Respondent's Exhibit D Respondent's Exhibit E Respondent's Exhibit G Respondent's Exhibit F	82 91 93 94 98
15 16 17	EXHIBITS Respondent's Exhibit A Respondent's Exhibit B Respondent's Exhibit C Respondent's Exhibit D Respondent's Exhibit E Respondent's Exhibit G	82 91 93 94 98 103 122
15 16 17 18	EXHIBITS Respondent's Exhibit A Respondent's Exhibit B Respondent's Exhibit C Respondent's Exhibit D Respondent's Exhibit E Respondent's Exhibit G Respondent's Exhibit F	82 91 93 94 98 103 122
15 16 17 18	EXHIBITS Respondent's Exhibit A Respondent's Exhibit B Respondent's Exhibit C Respondent's Exhibit D Respondent's Exhibit E Respondent's Exhibit G Respondent's Exhibit F	82 91 93 94 98 103 122
15 16 17 18 19	EXHIBITS Respondent's Exhibit A Respondent's Exhibit B Respondent's Exhibit C Respondent's Exhibit D Respondent's Exhibit E Respondent's Exhibit G Respondent's Exhibit F	82 91 93 94 98 103 122
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXHIBITS Respondent's Exhibit A Respondent's Exhibit B Respondent's Exhibit C Respondent's Exhibit D Respondent's Exhibit E Respondent's Exhibit G Respondent's Exhibit F	82 91 93 94 98 103 122
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXHIBITS Respondent's Exhibit A Respondent's Exhibit B Respondent's Exhibit C Respondent's Exhibit D Respondent's Exhibit E Respondent's Exhibit G Respondent's Exhibit F	82 91 93 94 98 103 122

ļ			
1	1 N D E X	\$ \$ X 1954.7 195 minors t	4 CLANTON
2	<u>WITNESS</u> <u>PAGE</u>	MARY BETH	·
3	MARY BETH CLAYTON DIRECT EXAMINATIONBY MR. ALBACK 4	called as a witness and having bee	en first duly sworn, was
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 30 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK 47	examined and testified as follows:	\$4751ATTA
. 5	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 51	DIRECT EXA	MINATION
6	MARY MACKI DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK 53	BY MR. ALBACK:	
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 59	Q. All right. Would you state	e your name, please?
. 8	STEVE CLAYTON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 75	A. Mary Elizabeth Clayton.	
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK 103	Q. Ms. Clayton, where do yo	1
10		A. 617 North 25th Street, A	. =
11	ARTHUR W. CLAYTON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 119	Q. And you are the petitione	r in this action; is that
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ALBACK 129 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RINDAL 131	right?	
13		A. Yes.	
1.4	EXHIBITS PAGE	Q. Okay. You read the petit	on that I filed on your
15	Respondent's Exhibit A 82	behalf in this matter prior to my fi	ling it, right?
16	Respondent's Exhibit B 91 Respondent's Exhibit C 93	A. Yes.	
17	Respondent's Exhibit D 94 Respondent's Exhibit E 98	Q. And were the matters cor	tained in that petition
18	Respondent's Exhibit G 103 Respondent's Exhibit F 122	true and accurate?	
19	Respondent's Exhibit H 128	A. Yes.	
20		Q. Okay. You resided in the	State of Montana for 90
21		days immediately prior to the filing	
22		right?	
23		A. Yes.	
24		Q. Okay. Is the marriage	in your opinion, is your
25		marriage to Mr. Clayton irretrieval	
		A. Yes.	,
	3		5
1	PROCEEDINGS	Q. And is there any prospect	~
1 2	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m.	A. No.	of reconciliation?
3	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session.	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have	of reconciliation?
3 4	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated.	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right?	of reconciliation?
3 4 5	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002.	of reconciliation?
3 4 5 6	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002.	of reconciliation? you been separated since
3 4 5 6 7	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you	of reconciliation? you been separated since
3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys.	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you	of reconciliation? you been separated since
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that?	of reconciliation? you been separated since
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed.	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie.	of reconciliation? you been separated since
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor,	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace?	of reconciliation? you been separated since
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed.	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie.	of reconciliation? you been separated since
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor,	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace?	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.) MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p A. No.	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.)	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.) MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p A. No.	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right? at after hearing that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.) MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like to represent to the Court that the parties have agreed to a	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p A. No. Q. Okay. Is it your desire th	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right? at after hearing that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.) MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like to represent to the Court that the parties have agreed to a distribution of the personal assets, personal property items.	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p A. No. Q. Okay. Is it your desire th your name be changed to your for	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right? at after hearing that mer maiden name?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.) MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like to represent to the Court that the parties have agreed to a distribution of the personal assets, personal property items. And in that regard, the assets those assets will	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p A. No. Q. Okay. Is it your desire th your name be changed to your for A. No.	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right? at after hearing that mer maiden name?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.) MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like to represent to the Court that the parties have agreed to a distribution of the personal assets, personal property items. And in that regard, the assets those assets will be distributed between the parties on as per the proposed	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p A. No. Q. Okay. Is it your desire th your name be changed to your for A. No. Q. All right. And is it your desire the	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right? at after hearing that mer maiden name? esire that after the urt enter a decree of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.) MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like to represent to the Court that the parties have agreed to a distribution of the personal assets, personal property items. And in that regard, the assets those assets will be distributed between the parties on as per the proposed asset distribution that Mr. Rindal has presented to the	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p A. No. Q. Okay. Is it your desire th your name be changed to your for A. No. Q. All right. And is it your de hearing of this matter that the Con	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right? at after hearing that mer maiden name? esire that after the urt enter a decree of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.) MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like to represent to the Court that the parties have agreed to a distribution of the personal assets, personal property items. And in that regard, the assets those assets will be distributed between the parties on as per the proposed asset distribution that Mr. Rindal has presented to the Court.	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p A. No. Q. Okay. Is it your desire th your name be changed to your for A. No. Q. All right. And is it your de hearing of this matter that the Col dissolution of your marriage to Mr.	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right? at after hearing that mer maiden name? esire that after the out enter a decree of Clayton?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.) MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like to represent to the Court that the parties have agreed to a distribution of the personal assets, personal property items. And in that regard, the assets those assets will be distributed between the parties on as per the proposed asset distribution that Mr. Rindal has presented to the Court. And so I just wanted to let you know that my client	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p A. No. Q. Okay. Is it your desire th your name be changed to your for A. No. Q. All right. And is it your de hearing of this matter that the Cordissolution of your marriage to Mr. A. Yes.	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right? at after hearing that mer maiden name? esire that after the ort enter a decree of Clayton? about the parenting
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.) MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like to represent to the Court that the parties have agreed to a distribution of the personal assets, personal property items. And in that regard, the assets those assets will be distributed between the parties on as per the proposed asset distribution that Mr. Rindal has presented to the Court. And so I just wanted to let you know that my client is in agreement with that, so we won't be addressing any of	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p A. No. Q. Okay. Is it your desire th your name be changed to your for A. No. Q. All right. And is it your de hearing of this matter that the Cord dissolution of your marriage to Mr. A. Yes. Q. I'd like to talk to you now	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right? at after hearing that mer maiden name? esire that after the out enter a decree of Clayton? about the parenting recall, do you not, that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROCEEDINGS June 18, 2003, 1:30 p.m. THE COURT: Good afternoon. Court is in session. You may be seated. This is the time the Court has set aside for hearing in Cause No. DR 02-075. The record will show that both the petitioner and the respondent are present in the courtroom with their respective attorneys. Mr. Alback, you are the petitioner's attorney and you may proceed. MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the petition calls Mary Beth Clayton to the stand. (Witness was sworn.) MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, before we begin, I'd like to represent to the Court that the parties have agreed to a distribution of the personal assets, personal property items. And in that regard, the assets — those assets will be distributed between the parties on — as per the proposed asset distribution that Mr. Rindal has presented to the Court. And so I just wanted to let you know that my client is in agreement with that, so we won't be addressing any of those issues at this hearing.	A. No. Q. You and Mr. Clayton have June 3rd, 2003; isn't that right? A. Of 2002. Q. Or, I'm sorry, 2002. Okay. And the two of you A. Yes. Q. And who is that? A. Grace Marie. Q. How old is Grace? A. She is four. Q. Okay. You are not now p A. No. Q. Okay. Is it your desire th your name be changed to your for A. No. Q. All right. And is it your de hearing of this matter that the Cord dissolution of your marriage to Mr. A. Yes. Q. I'd like to talk to you now plan issues before the Court. You	of reconciliation? you been separated since have one child? regnant; is that right? at after hearing that mer maiden name? esire that after the out enter a decree of Clayton? about the parenting recall, do you not, that enter was before the Court in

2

3

5

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

25

- Q. And you recall that after that hearing that Judge Barz entered an order that established an interim parenting plan?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that interim parenting plan provided that Mr. Clayton would have every other weekend with your daughter and two evenings per week; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And has that parenting plan been followed since the time of the entry of it by the Court?
- Q. And has that parenting plan worked sufficiently in your opinion since that time?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You also recall -- I assume you recall that Judge Barz also strongly recommended in court that you and Mr. Clayton attend parenting classes. Have you done so?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Where did you attend those classes? 20
 - A. Through Yellowstone Rehabilitation at MSU.
- Q. And when did that occur? 22
- 23 A. In November or December.
 - Q. Okay. And could you tell the Court briefly what
- type of things were addressed by the transparenting classes?

Q. To your knowledge, has Mr. Clayton taken the transparenting classes?

8

A. Not that I'm aware of.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Have you sought any other parenting or counseling assistance in regard to your daughter and this divorce proceeding?
- A. Based on the recommendations of the people at Yellowstone Rehabilitation, I sought counseling with Mary Macki with Custody Consultants for Grace as well as myself.
- Q. Okay. And how long have you been seeing Mary Macki?
- A. We have gone for nine or ten sessions. It started back in February, I believe.
- Q. And have those sessions been with you and Grace jointly with Mary Macki, or Grace alone or you alone or --
 - A. It has been with both of us with her.
- O. Do you perceive that those sessions have been a benefit to your daughter?
 - A. Yes, they have been.
- O. How so?
- A. It has helped her learn how to verbalize her feelings and what has been going on in her life, and she has learned how to talk about what is troubling her and why she can't sleep at night, the things that keep her awake and give her a tummy ache. She has learned to verbalize that.

- A. How to minimize the effects of a conflict, such as divorce on a child, and how to deal with the issues of divorce with the other parent without it negatively affecting the child.
 - Q. Did you learn some things through those classes --
- 7 Q. -- that have been of assistance to you in dealing with Grace? 8
- 10 Q. And how about in assistance to you in dealing with Mr. Clayton? 11
- 12 A. I believe so.
 - Q. Okay. Have you tried to utilize any of those things in your dealings with Mr. Clayton?
 - A. I have.
 - Q. For example?
- 17 A. I have done correspondence via e-mail instead of 18 trying to discuss things in front of Grace that could be disruptive to her mental well-being. 19
 - Q. How has Mr. Clayton responded to those type of entrees from you?
 - A. He was rather unhappy about it, he seemed to think that I wasn't willing to talk to him in person. So from then on he pretty well shut the down in my face or walk away during drop off times.

- Q. And has Mary Macki given you some pointers in regards on how to deal with Grace and her situation?
 - A. She has.
- Q. All right.
- A. Organizational skills and stuff like that that helps Grace better understand where she is at.
- Q. Did you advise Mr. Clayton of the fact that you were going to go to Mary Macki with your daughter?
 - A. I did after about the fifth or sixth visits.
 - Q. Okay. What was his reaction to that?
- A. He thought it was hypocritical of me to seek counseling for her since he thought I was against it.
- Q. Has he taken part in any counseling with your daughter and Mary Macki?
- A. Dr. Macki did request that he meet these last two sessions so that she could get a chance to see him interact with Grace.
- Q. Okay. Have you noticed any behavioral problems that exist in regard to your daughter since the time of the separation and institution of the parenting plan in this case?
- A. She's very angry and aggressive as far as her play routines. Usually the day or two after she has gone back and forth between households. And we have tried hard to minimize the conflict and the stress when she does come

home. But she does have a lot of anger towards me and towards my family.

O. Okay. I'd like to ask you some guestions now in regard to the child support in this case. Judge Barz entered an order of child support of \$115 a month back in late '92.

Mr. Clayton is current with his child support payments, is he not?

A. Yes,

1 2

3

4

8

10

11

13

16

23

24

2

8

11

14

19

25

Q. Okay. Where are you presently employed?

A. Allen's Tool Repair.

O. And how much do you earn there?

A. \$23,192 a year. 12

Q. Okay. Does that break down to an hourly base?

A. Yes, it is \$11.15 an hour.

15 Q. And you work a full 40-hour week?

A. Ido.

Q. Okay. Do you anticipate any dramatic changes in 17

your income in the near future? 18 19

A. I don't.

Q. Okay. Is your income affected by anything like 20 21 profit sharing or performance bonuses, anything like that?

A. No. I do get a bonus at Christmastime, usually

about \$100.

Q. Okay. Your daughter is presently enrolled in

daycare; isn't that right?

of cost for daycare?

A. It is.

2

3

4

6

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

19

21

22

24

25

1

4

9

11

13

14

17

18

19

20

23

O. The Court and probably the rest of my divorce clients would like to know how you get that. Could you explain to the Court why it is that it is such a reasonable amount?

A. Because Alice is a friend of mine and she's doing 8 this to help me.

Q. Okay.

A. And we help each other out, back and forth.

Q. Is she doing it because of her affection for Grace

12 as well?

A. Yes.

O. All right. Do you currently have your daughter

15 enrolled in a health insurance plan?

A. Yes.

Q. And what health insurance plan is that?

A. She is with Blue Chip through the State of Montana. 18

Q. And is there any cost to you for that health

20 insurance?

A. Not other than co-pays.

Q. All right. Are there any significant co-pays that

23 you have had to pay for treatment for your daughter?

Q. You're aware, are you not, that there may come a

11

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And where does she go to daycare?

A. Alice Mills' house. It's a private residence.

O. And who is Alice Mills?

A. She is a friend of mine that I met through friends 6

and through church and --

7 Q. Okay. How long has your daughter been going to Alice Mills for daycare?

9 A. A little over three and a half years, since she was 10 six months old.

Q. All right. Is she acclimated in that daycare

12 setting? 13

A. Yes.

Q. Does she seem to be happy there?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Are there other children that are -- that go to

daycare there as well? 17

18 A. Yes.

O. Do you know how many?

A. About four. 20

O. And has she made friends with those children? 21

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. How much does your daycare cost you?

A. \$50 a week. 24

O. It strikes me that that is a very reasonable amount

time that the Chips program might not exist, or also that you

2 might not no longer qualify for it; is that right? 3

A. Yes.

Q. You are aware, are you not, that in the petition

5 for dissolution we have asked the Court to enter a medical

support order whereby you and Mr. Clayton will be responsible 7 for medical care for your daughter?

8

A. Yes.

O. And are you in agreement with that?

10

Q. And would you like the Court to implement the plan

12 that is suggested in the petition?

Q. Okay. You're aware, are you not, that I prepared

child support calculations that I filed with the Court in 15

16 this matter?

A. Yes.

Q. And you have reviewed those calculations?

A. Mm-hmm, yes.

O. And the matters that are in those calculations as

21 to your income and appropriate expenses are accurate and

22 correct?

A. Yes.

24 Q. All right. Before I get into the matters of

property, I would like to just ask you a couple more

questions about the parenting plan this year.

In regard to the parenting plan, you're aware that Mr. Clayton has filed a parenting plan request that essentially your daughter be -- her time be divided equally one week with you, followed by one week with Mr. Clayton, and vice-versa, back and forth.

Do you believe that that type of an arrangement is in your daughter's best interest?

- A. No, I don't.
- Q. Why not?

- A. It provides too much of an unstable environment. She would have two homes, but not actually have a home.
- Q. Okay. Have you discussed the issues of the parenting plan with Mrs. Macki?
 - A. I have.
- Q. Okay. And has she offered opinion to you as to the current arrangement as to whether it is appropriate or not?
- A. She feels it's appropriate, from what she has told me.
 - Q. Now, from your earlier testimony, I gather, that your daughter is well adjusted to her environment at daycare. Can you tell the Court briefly about your home situation? Does Grace have her own bedroom?
- 25 A. Yes.

attention that she might have those issues?

A. Her baby-sitter, Alice mentioned it to me, and a couple of other friends who have watched her, have mentioned that she tends to exhibit some of the symptoms of ADD. It is hard to know for sure because she is not in school.

- Q. Have you discussed those issues with Dr. Macki?
- A. I have. And she thinks that Grace quite possibly is --
- Q. Okay.

- A. -- ADD.
- Q. Has she given you any guidance in regard to things that you can do to minimize the impact of that potential problem?
 - A. She has.
 - Q. And what are those?
 - A. Dietary issues, as well as environmental issues, keeping her routine as set as possible and minimizing the stress and back and forth in her life.
 - Q. And do you believe that the current parenting plan arrangement minimizes the stress in her life as much as it could be minimized in the midst of a divorce?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If the parenting plan was to be amended so that Grace spent more time with Mr. Clayton, do you believe that the results would be more or less stress to your daughter?

- Q. And in that bedroom does she have her own bed?
- 2 A. Yes
 - Q. Okay. Do you have her toys and things at your location?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And does she seem to be well adjusted to that environment?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Are there any physical issues or mental issues that Grace has that are of concern to you in regard to the parenting plan?
 - A. She does have severe eczema, as well as possibly ADD or ADHD. We're not sure on that yet, but we are keeping an eye on that. And with the eczema she takes antihistamines regularly, as well as a special cream to control her outbreaks and help her sleep through the night, otherwise she has nightmares all night.
 - Q. Is it important that she have a set routine in regard to the eczema in order to minimize the effect of it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you keep her on that routine, as best you can?
- 23 A. I do.
- Q. In regard to the ADD that you mentioned, you say that she may have those issues. How has it come to your

- A. I think it would be more stress.
- Q. And why is that?
- A. Because she would be going back and forth even more than she is now, and she would have one more situation she has to adjust to.
- Q. Okay. All right. Does your daughter seem to be well adjusted to the current parenting plan arrangement?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. All right. I'd like to speak to you a little bit about property now. You have heard me represent to the Court that the personal property issues have been resolved. And you are in agreement with that; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. There is also a marital home that you own with Mr. Clayton; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And it was purchased during the course of the marriage in roughly May of 1998?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And your in agreement, are you not, that the best thing to happen is for that house to be sold and that the proceeds remaining, after the debt obligation is paid and costs of sale, etcetera, be divided between you and Mr. Clayton; is that right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. You are also aware, are you not, that Mr. Clayton contends that the two of you are jointly responsible for an obligation of \$20,000 to his parents, or to his father at least, are you aware of that?
 - A. Yes.

3

4 5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

- Q. Okay. Mr. Clayton, as I understand it, will testify that that was a loan that was given to you folks to get that house. I'd like for you to tell the Court what you know about that matter in terms of the \$20,000 that was used to purchase the house?
- A. I was told that his father was giving us \$20,000 for a down --
 - Q. Who -- I'm sorry,
- A. Steve told me that his father was giving us a \$20,000 down payment so we could buy a house that was at least \$100,000.
- 17 Q. Okay. When did he tell you that?
- A. Shortly before we started looking for a house, probably in March of '98.
- Q. Did he ever -- did you ever have any conversations with his father about that?
 - A. I didn't, no.
- Q. Did anyone ever tell you that that arrangement was a loan?
- 25 A. No.

- purchased in May of 1998. And at the time that it was purchased, both you and Mr. Clayton were employed; i
 - purchased, both you and Mr. Clayton were employed; is that right?
 - A. Yes
 - Q. Shortly after Mr. Clayton lost his job; isn't that
 - right?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

24

25

8

9

11

16

17

20

23

24

- A. Ye:
 - Q. How soon after?
 - A. About three months after.
 - Q. And where was he working at that time?
 - A. Express Trailer Sales.
- 12 Q. And do you know what he was -- what his job was?
 - A. He was a salesman.
 - Q. Okay. Did he replace that job right away?
- 15 A. No
 - Q. Do you know what his income was at that job that he
- 17 lost?
- MR. RINDAL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure about the relevance, about what they made four, five, six years ago.
- 21 THE COURT: It's very relevant. Objection
- 22 overruled.
- 23 BY MR. ALBACK:
 - Q. Do you know how much he was making?
 - A. He was on salary at \$22,000 a year plus commission.

19

- Q. Did anyone ever ask you to execute a promissory note?
- A. No.
- Q. Did anyone ever present you with a repayment schedule in regard to the \$20,000?
 - A. No.
- Q. To your knowledge, has any -- have any payments ever been made on that obligation by anybody?
 - A. No.
- 10 Q. Have you ever made any?
 - A. No.
- 12 Q. When did you first learn that there was an
- allegation that you owed his father a portion of \$20,000?
 A. A few months ago when I got a brief, or whateve
 - A. A few months ago when I got a brief, or whatever -- the paperwork from you.
 - Q. Okay. I'll ask you straight out, what is your -- what is your position in regard to the 20,000? Do you think you should pay it?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Or a portion of it?
- 21 A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
- 23 A. Because it was given as a gift, and I didn't have a
- 24 say in the matter anyway.
 - Q. Okay. In regard to the home loan, the house was

-2

- Q. Okay. Since the time that -- the house was purchased in 1998, up until the taxes -- I'm sorry, up until the time that you folks separated in 2002, how much did you average in income per year for '98, '99, 2000, 2001?
- average in income per year for '98, '99, 2000, 2001?
 A. From about 18,000 a year to about \$22,000 a year.
- Q. Okay. All right. And during that same period of time, how much money did Mr. Clayton earn on average?
 - A. A couple thousand --
 - Q. Okay.
- 10 A. -- for the year -- or for those three years.
 - Q. Were there any times during that period of time
- 12 that Mr. Clayton didn't work at all?
- A. Most of that period of time he was unemployed. I think he worked a total of maybe seven months.
- 15 Q. Okay.
 - A. In that three-year period of time.
 - Q. What did he do to occupy his time?
- 18 A. He went to school.
- 19 Q. And where did he go to school?
 - A. MSU-B.
- Q. And are you aware of what resulted from those
- 22 academic pursuits?
 - A. He earned a history degree.
 - Q. Okay. And do you know when that was obtained?
- 25 A. In May of 2002.

Q. Okay. There is also a contention that you and Mr. Clayton are obligated to Mr. Clayton's father for a loan apparently for a pickup truck or -- and I believe the allegation is that it is roughly \$17,600. Tell the Court what you know about that transaction?

A. Steve put in an order for a full size pickup truck, and we started saving money for it and had about four or \$5,000 in savings when the truck finally made it on the lot. And he went down to get financing for the other portion of it, and GMAC would only give him 21.9 percent.

So he called his dad and his dad overnighted the cashier's check to him for the price of the truck.

- Q. First of all, you said that we saved money, four or \$5,000, who saved that money?
- A. It was primarily taken from one of Steve's paychecks a month.
- Q. Okay. In regard to the money that he got from his dad, did you have anything to do with that?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

25

3

6

8

9

11

17

20

- 20 Q. Did you have anything to do with negotiating the purchase of the vehicle?
 - A. No.
- 23 Q. Did you have anything to do with trying to get a loan with GMAC? 24
 - A. No.

O. Who made that decision? 1

A. Steve did.

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

19

3

6

7

13

14

15

16

21

22

Q. Okay. You said that the pickup was sold,

Mr. Clayton obtained an Achieva automobile. That is the

5 Achieva automobile that is awarded to him by the stipulation 6 that you folks have entered into and that we represented to

7 the Court?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And so, in fact, the fruits of that loan are still in Mr. Clayton's possession?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are there other personal items that are on that property distribution that Mr. Clayton purchased after the sale of the pickup?
- 15 A. I believe there is a computer on there and a few 16 firearms, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And when was the pickup sold?
- 18 A. August of '99.
 - O. Okav.
- 20 A. No, August of '98, excuse me.
- 21 Q. All right. And by that time Mr. Clayton had lost
- 22 his job; isn't that right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And so by your testimony he was averaging an annual
- 25 income of roughly \$2,000 by that point in time?

23

- 1 Q. How did you come to know that this transaction was 2 taking place?
 - A. He called me and told me.
- 4 Q. Okay. At that time did you say, we're going to 5 borrow \$21,000 from dad?
 - A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. He did? And what did you say?
 - A. Okay, really?
 - Q. All right. Was it -- were you ever given a note
- 10 from his father in regard to that obligation?
 - A. No.
- 12 Q. Were you ever on the title to that truck?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. At any point in time?
- 15 A. (Shook head negatively.)
- Q. Is the pickup still owned by you folks? 16
 - A, No.
- Q. Or by Mr. Clayton? 18
- 19 A. No.
 - Q. What happened to it?
- 21 A. It was sold about a year after purchase, and we
- traded it for a '97 Achieva and some cash.
- 23 Q. All right. And at that point in time was
- Mr. Clayton repaid for the loan that he had apparently made? 24
- 25 A. No.

- 2 Q. And you were averaging between eighteen and 22,000?

- 4 Q. Who was paying the bills?
- 5 A. I was.
 - Q. What do you have for a vehicle?
 - A. My personal vehicle is a '90 Dodge Ram.
- 8 Q. And that '90 Dodge Ram is a vehicle that you had
- 9 prior to the marriage to Mr. Clayton; isn't that right?
- 10
- 11 Q. And so, as a matter of fact, you have not ended up
- 12 with a vehicle out of this at all?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Out of the marriage?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Isn't it also true that in regard to the
- 17 distribution of assets that we have agreed to with the
- Court, that the values to those assets are values that were
- apportioned by Mr. Clayton in his discovery -- or I'm sorry,
- in his disclosure documents?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And isn't it true that those assets that he
- allocated to you and that he -- are worth roughly \$2200 and
- 24 those that he allocated to himself are worth roughly \$10,600?
- 25

- Q. All right. I would now just like to talk to you briefly about the obligations of the marriage. Okay? You agree that there is a home mortgage?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

3

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

- Q. And you agree that the house should be sold and that mortgage paid for out of the sale?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. At the time that you and Mr. Clayton separated in June of 2002, what other debt did he have?
 - A. Three credit cards.
- 11 O. And --
 - A. And personal student loans.
 - Q. All right. And the student loans -- pardon me, you had a student loan, right?
 - A. I have three.
 - Q. Okay. And is it your position that you should end up with those student loans?
 - A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And through discovery we learned that Mr. Clayton
- 20 has some student loans as well. Is it your request that he 21 be allocated with that obligation?
- 21 De allocateo with that obligation?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So apart from those and apart from the home
- 24 mortgage, the only other debt was three credit cards, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

A. No.

2

4

5

8

13

16

19

22

24

1

9

11

13

16

22

25

- Q. Did there come a time that you learned that the credit card payments weren't being made?
 - A. Yes
 - Q. And when did that occur?
- A. Shortly after Christmas when I started receiving late notices in the mail.
 - Q. What did you do at that time?
- 9 A. I confronted him with it and he said he was 10 handling it. And two weeks later I got more late notices and 11 a phone call, so I started making payments on the credit 12 cards.
 - Q. Okay. Let's talk about the specific credit cards.
- 14 The first one is a Wal-Mart Mastercard; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes
 - Q. And you have paid that one off entirely; is that
- 17 right?
- 18 A. Yes
 - Q. How much did it take to pay that off?
- 20 A. It was about \$450.
- 21 Q. Okay. Then there is another Mastercard, which is a
 - Chase Mastercard; is that right?
- 23 A. A Chase Visa.
 - Q. Chase Visa, okay. And what is the current balance

29

25 on that one?

- Q. Okay. Those three credit cards were what at the time you got the -- or separated, I'm sorry?
 - A. About three or 4,000 on one of them, 2,000 on one, and a thousand on the other.
 - Q. Okay. And initially when you folks separated, was an agreement reached as to who would take -- be responsible for those debts?
 - A. Steve said he'd pay for them.
 - Q. Okay. In fact, Steve presented you with a document that said that he would pay for them, did he not?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. In his document he makes reference to the Genus bill. Can you, just for clarification, tell us what that is?
 - A. Genus was a debt consolidation program that I had enrolled us in before we bought our house, or about the time we bought the house.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. So that we could consolidate all the credit cards into one low monthly payment.
- Q. Okay. Did you, subsequent to the time of your separation -- first of all, who were the credit cards --
- 23 whose name were the credit cards in?
- 24 A. Mine.
 - Q. Not Mr. Clayton's at ail?

- A. That one is about \$890.
- Q. And how much have you paid to bring it down to that balance?
- 4 A. About 300.
- Q. Okay. And then the last one, you tell me because I'll mess it up.
- A. Is a Bank One Mastercard, it used to be a First Card Mastercard.
 - Q. All right. And what is the balance of that?
- 10 A. It is at \$2,491.
 - Q. Okay. How much have you paid towards that
- 12 obligation?
 - A. About \$250.
- Q. Okay. And that was after you became aware that the payments weren't being made; is that right?
 - A. Yes
- Q. Okay. Is it your position -- what is your position in regard to those credit cards? Who do you think should be
- 19 responsible for them?
- A. I think he should be responsible for the balance on them.
 - Q. Why?
- A. Because he's -- he's keeping most of the property
- 24 that was bought with the credit cards.
 - Q. And what property by and large was purchased with

A. It was gone before February of '99.

25

Q. And you testified that the home mortgage should be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. So within six months, at least? A. Yes.

Q. Okay. I want to talk a few moments about the parenting plan. You're aware that Mr. Clayton has requested additional time with his daughter; is that correct?

34

A. Yes.

Q. Has Mr. Clayton asked you to have his daughter on his days off during the week?

A. About a year ago he did.

O. Okay. And are you letting him have Grace on his days off from work?

A. No.

O. Okay. Why?

A. Because it's an unpredictable day, and when I have to pay a baby-sitter a set rate a week, I can't take her living away from her.

Q. So you -- is it your belief that it is better to keep Grace in daycare than allow her father to have her on his days off?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And one of those reasons is to insure the financial stability of your daycare provider?

A. One of the reasons.

Q. Okay. Do you have other reasons?

A. Well, because she went to that daycare provider for

MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, if I may. I'm going to instruct my client not to answer. Whether they are two people from Mars, it makes no difference, unless -- the relevance of the fact that these children may be children of someone that my client may have a relationship with is improper.

MR. RINDAL: If I may --

THE COURT: The objection is overruled since it has already been mentioned, but I agree with you on overall relevance as far as this matter is concerned.

MR. RINDAL: And I apologize, Your Honor, I'm not going into her relationship with her boyfriend. I'm talking about the necessity of keeping Grace in the daycare. BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Right now I believe that you allow Mr. Clayton to have Grace on every other weekend; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And from what time does -- that Mr. Clayton picks Grace up until when does he return her?

A. Usually about four o'clock on Friday and he picks her up and he brings her home, or I pick her up at six o'clock on Sunday.

O. So approximately two full days?

24 A. Mm-hmm.

Q. And that's -- are you aware that he had to switch

three years while he sat at home unemployed.

O. Okay. Well, I'm not sure how the unemployed has relevance. But regarding the daycare, she goes what days?

A. Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 5:00.

Q. And I believe you said that her name, Alice Mills, she watches four other kids?

A. Let me count.

O. Okay.

A. (Pause.) Yes.

Q. Okay.

A. Sometimes a fifth, but very rarely.

Q. Okay. So Grace and then four other kids that you're aware of?

A. Yes.

Q. Are two of those kids your domestic partner's children?

A. They are my boyfriend's children.

Q. Okay. Do you live with your boyfriend?

A. His children live with me.

MR. ALBACK: Objection as to relevance, Your Honor. MR. RINDAL: I'm just -- it's a choice of terms,

Your Honor. I wasn't trying to say -- I said domestic partner, boyfriend, they live together.

THE WITNESS: His children live with me, he has his own residence.

his schedule around so he could have those weekends off with her?

A. No.

Q. Okay. I would offer to you that testimony he will testify that that is -- that is correct.

On the days that he has -- doesn't have the weekend off, he gets days off during the week, Monday through Friday, are you aware of that?

A. No.

O. Okay. He'll provide testimony to that. Well, I'm confused then, because you said you are not aware that he gets days off during the week?

A. I don't know when his days off are because he doesn't converse with me.

Q. Okay. If he converses with you and sends e-mails his days off, say a week or two in advance, because he works in a place that has different days off, then if you know in advance, are you willing to have Grace during his days off?

A. No, because I'd have to find a different baby-sitter. I can't ask my baby-sitter to hold open a spot for a child who is only there half a week.

Q. What -- you say -- I believe you testified you pay 22 \$50 a week? 23

A. Yes.

O. Is that like -- I'm terrible at math, but is it

1 like \$12.50?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

100

A. \$10 a day.

- Q. Excuse me, \$10 a day. So is it a daily rate or weekly rate?
 - A. It is a weekly rate.
 - Q. So you pay whether she goes or not, correct?

A. Pretty much.

- Q. Okay. Well, then, if Ms. Mills is getting paid whether she is there or not, I don't understand why Mr. Clayton can't have Grace on his days off?
- A. Because financially it is not viable for me to be paying a baby-sitter when she is not watching my child.
- Q. Would it matter to you then -- I'm trying to get this understanding, does it matter to you whether Alice Mills, who is just the baby-sitter, watch Grace during those hours, or Mr. Clayton, the father of Grace gets to watch Grace during those hours? Does it matter to you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Why?
- A. Because when Grace is with Alice she gets to see people, she goes places, she has fun. When she is with her dad she tells me she watches TV, maybe plays in the yard or goes to Wal-Mart.
- Q. Okay. How old is your daughter?
- 25 A. Four.

1 baby-sitter.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

25

- Q. You didn't give him instructions?
- A. I didn't given him any instructions. He never asked for any extra time.
- Q. Okay. So then would it matter to you if he picked up Grace every day at your work at 8:30 on his days off and dropped her back off when you'd get off work?
- A. Yes, because I don't know what days that would be. And when she makes plans with Alice to go places, and she doesn't know that her dad is going to pick her up, it makes her very upset.
- Q. Does Alice transport the children?
 - A. No, I do.
 - Q. Well, I'm confused then. You just --
- A. Alice -- Alice plans things to do with the kids so that they have a fun day. If she tells them, hey, we're going to go to Rose Park tomorrow, and then Steve shows up to pick her up instead, she is gypped out of going to Rose Park and she gets upset because she is not expecting to see her dad there and it messes up her routine.
- Q. Is it your position then that it is more important that she gets to do the little -- the little things with Alice Mills than she spends additional time with her father?
- A. I think it is important that she maintains a schedule so she knows what to expect.

-39

- Q. Okay. Is she very expressive? Does she tell you everyday everything she does?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So she told about the park, recently going to the park and playing?
 - A. She told me they went for a walk.
 - Q. Do you remember what day it was?
 - A. Just sometime this last week.
 - O. What about on the 17th?
- 10 A. That was yesterday.
 - Q. Right. Did she tell you that?
- 12 A. Yeah.
 - Q. Okay. What did she do?
 - A. She eight pizza at daddy Steven's house with papa and grandma, and grandma had a fever.
 - Q. Okay. Now, just yesterday, is it my understanding that Steve's parents -- or the father came up, and he got to see his granddaughter, which he is in California, correct?
 - A, Mm-hmm.
 - Q. So he doesn't see his granddaughter very often?
 - A. Yes
- Q. How many hours did you allow Steve to have Grace yesterday?
 - A. I don't know. Steve picked Grace up at 8:30 at my work, and I'm not sure what time he dropped her off at the

- Q. So is that a yes, I didn't quite understand?

 THE COURT: I think you are being argumentative on this issue. I'm the one that is going to ultimately decide the parenting plan
 - MR. RINDAL: I understand, Your Honor.
- BY MR. RINDAL:
- Q. Since the last meeting, I believe it was
 October -- early October of 2002, did we -- did we discuss -allowing Steve to have the right of first refusal when you
 are not able to take care of your daughter?
- A. Yes, and I signed paperwork saying that when daycare is closed and I have to work, Steve has the right of first refusal.
 - Q. But no other time?
 - A. That was all he asked for. That was the paperwork you sent over and I signed.
 - Q. Have you been refusing to allow Steve to have to spend time with his daughter other than the exact letter of the T that Judge Barz said, every other weekend?
 - A. He has never asked for more.
- Q. And Grace has never asked you to spend more time with him?
 - A. No.
- 24 Q. Okay.
 - A. In fact, when she asks if she can call her dad, I

- give her the phone and she punches the button and she calls
 - Q. I believe you said that it is important for Grace to have her own space, her own bedroom and own bed, she has that at your place?
 - A. She does.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

25

- Q. How big is the house?
- A. It is a small two bedroom. I'm not intending to stay there forever.
 - Q. Okay. How many people live in that house? How many children? I don't need names.
 - A. Grace and I live there consistently, I have two other children that stay there occasionally.
 - Q. Okay. And how old are those children?
 - A. Three and five.
 - Q. Do those children stay with Grace in her bedroom then?
 - A. Yes, in their own beds.
- 19 Q. You have three beds in there?
- A. I do. I built them bunk beds. 20
- 21 Q. Okay. So if -- do you believe that if Steve would
- 22 help you with that \$10 a day, it would -- do you believe that
- that \$10 a day payment from Mr. Clayton to Alice Mills would 23
- relieve that problem and having -- losing that slot? 24
- 25 A. No, because it still affects her routine.

- Frauds, Mr. Rindal? There is nothing in writing showing
- there is any obligation whatsoever. That might give you a
- clue how that is going to turn out.
- BY MR. RINDAL:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

19

23

24

1

6

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

18

- 5 Q. Ms. Clayton, have you ever paid -- made payments to Mr. Clayton for that loan?
 - A. I have.
 - O. Why?
 - A. Because Steve's dad was so generous giving us money every time he lost his job, that when he said pay me \$300 a month and that is fine. I sent him \$300 a month as long as I could afford to pull it out of our finances.
 - Q. But that -- so you admit that there was a loan and you made payments?
- 15 A. I did.
 - O. Okav.
- 17 A. I made payments.
- Q. On that loan. Thank you. 18
 - I don't recall if you answered this question, I'll
- say it and if you have already said it, I apologize. When 20
- 21 you separated with Steve, you had three credit cards or four
- 22 credit cards?
 - A. Three.
 - Q. Okay. And you and Steve made payments on those?
- 25 A. Steve made payments until about Christmas.

- Q. Okay. I want to talk for just a few moments about that loan from Steve's father of 19,000 and some change. I believe you said that you had nothing to do with that?
 - A. Which, are you talking about the truck or the --
- O. Yes, the pickup truck which was \$19,000 and some specific change.
- A. I didn't have a say in the decision making of it. He called his father and asked for money.
- O. Okay. Did you -- but I believe you testified that you also enjoyed the benefit of that pickup?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. And then when you guys sold it a year later, or whatever it was, that you used that money to help living expenses?
- Q. And so do you believe that that debt exists or does not exist?
 - A. I don't believe it exists for me. I mean --
- Q. Well, I want to clarify that then. What do you mean that it doesn't exist for you?
- A. It was money that Steve borrowed from his dad. 21
- 22 Q. Okay. And it went to benefit you also?
- A. For a short period of time, yes. 23
- 24 Q. For how long?
 - THE COURT: Have you heard of the Statute of

- Q. Okay.
- 2 A. When he quit making payments. 3
 - Q. And then you started making payments on those?
- 4 A. I started because he quit making payments. I was 5 getting the late notices.
 - Q. Was there other family obligations, other family debts that Steve continued to pay that you didn't pay?
 - A. He was paying on the house payment, as far as I know.
 - Q. Okay. How much was that house payment?
 - A. When I left it was \$685.31.
- 12 Q. So round it to, say, 685, would be fair?
 - A. (Nodded head.)
- 14 Q. Okay. And Steve made -- has been making those 15 payments as far as you know?
 - A. As far as I know.
 - Q. How much have you been paying each month on those credit cards?
- 19 A. Whatever the minimum balance is and whatever else I 20 can scrape out of my account. Usually around hundred bucks a 21 card.
- 22 Q. Okay. And there was three cards you said?
- 23
- 24 0 So that is about \$300 a month?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Do you think that's fair that you are paying the credit cards payments and Steve was paying the house mortgage?
 - A. No.

- Q. You went at some great length about how much Steve did or did not make in the last five, six years. Are you contending that you are the only bread winner or the main bread winner until you split up?
 - A. Primarily.
- Q. Okay. And so it was your income only, pretty much, that paid all the bills?
 - A. For the most part, yes.
 - O. Do you and Steve have gambling problems?
- 14 A. I don't.
 - Q. Do you think Steve does?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 17 Q. Is there any addiction problems, alcohol, drugs, 18 nothing like that?
- 19 A. No.
 - Q. Okay. Is it -- from your memory, is it your testimony -- or let me ask it a different way. Did
 - Mr. Clayton, Steve's father, also supplement your income for a long time period of time?
- A. Steve's dad sent anywhere from 750 a month to \$1500 a month for every month that Steve wasn't in -- Steve

- Q. And isn't it true that you followed that to the letter?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And that you have never denied him visitation of that nature at all?
 - A. No.
- Q. Isn't it also true that at some point in time you allowed Mr. Clayton to take your daughter to an extended trip to visit his parents in California?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever balk at that when it was suggested that he wanted to do that?
- A. I asked him to wait until after the custody hearing.
- Q. All right. And she went and you're glad about that; isn't that correct?
- 17 A. Yes, she enjoyed herself.
 - Q. Okay. He asked you about the concerns you have, I'm sorry, you testified that you had concerns about what happens at Mr. Clayton's home. One of the criteria in regard to the best interests of the children are mental health issues. Does Mr. Clayton have some mental health issues that
- issues. Does Mr. Clayton have some mental health issues that cause you concern in regard to your daughter?
 - A. He was treated for depression a few years ago, but that was about it.

- wasn't working.
- Q. Okay. Well, you made a big -- like I said, you made a big issue about how much you made compared to he, and that is five, six years ago. How do you think that that's relevant to --

THE COURT: I will tell what is relevant and what isn't. You are invading my province.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I don't intend to do that. I'm trying to -- she made a point of bringing it out, I was curious --

THE COURT: She didn't make a point out of it, you did.

MR. RINDAL: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

MR. ALBACK: Just a couple of questions, if I may, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ALBACK:

- Q. Mary Beth, Mr. Rindal asked you -- the way he asked you was that you have only allowed Mr. Clayton certain visitation. Isn't it true that what you -- the visitation that he has gotten has been the visitation that was established by this Court after the hearing in September of 2002?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Have you evidence -- do you know, has he -- has he been under medication for depression?
 - A. He was trying Paxil for awhile.
- Q. All right. Do you have concerns about how his depression exhibited itself in his daily life?
- A. He keeps pretty much to himself and Grace is a very social person.
- Q. How about in terms of at his home, what type of activities -- when the two of you were together, what type of things would he do to occupy his time?
 - A. Watch TV and play on the computer.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Rindal asked you about payments you had made to Mr. Clayton's father in the amount of \$300 each apparently. Those payments that were made to him, what were the circumstances I'll ask it another way. I apologize.

Who took care of paying the bills, writing the checks to pay the bills when you and Mr. Clayton were together?

- A. I did.
- Q. Okay. And so the checks that -- did the two of you pool your money together at that time as well?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And so was it your intention that payments that you were making were evidencing your belief that you owed
- Mr. Clayton personally for that debt?

- A. No, it was just a check to his dad.
- Q. Okay. Student loan payments, did they come out of that check too -- or out of that account too, yours and his?
- A. I don't believe he had any at the time, but mine came out of our joint account.
- Q. Okay. All right. And that is an obligation that you are taking as your sole and separate in this matter. riaht?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

15

17

18

19

20

....

- Q. One final thing, Mr. Clayton -- or Mr. Rindal asked you about, do you think it is fair that he made the house payment and you paid so much towards the credit cards. Who was living in the house?
 - A. He was.
- Q. All right. And isn't it true that during that period of time that he was living in the house, at least for a portion of the time, he had a tenant?
 - A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Who was paying him rent?
- 20 A. Supposed to be paying him rent.
 - Q. Did he ever tell you how much rent he was getting for that?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Did he ever share any rental income from that property with you?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

41

12

13

14

15

16

19

22

23

25

- Q. Okay. You said during your marriage when you were still together with Mr. Clayton that he spent most of his time watching TV or playing on the computer, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Wasn't in fact that Mr. Clayton was in college during that period of time and he was on the computer working?
 - A. Some of the time.
- O. Okay. So is it your -- is it your belief now, I mean apparently it seems like you're saying that when he has Grace that he doesn't do anything with her?
- A. Grace tells me that they go to Wal-Mart and they play with her dog, and occasionally they will take a walk. But that is usually the extent of what she has done.
- Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. I believe you testified also that you never restricted any contact with between Mr. Clayton and his daughter, no extra time; is that correct?
- A. As far as what the Court ordered, yes, that's correct.
- 21 Q. No, I believe I asked you specifically if you ever -- if he ever -- if Mr. Clayton ever asked you to spend more time with her, and I asked if you ever said no. And I 24 think you said, I don't refuse; is that correct? 25
 - A. I'm trying to figure out what you are asking, but

53

51

A. No.

MR. ALBACK: Nothing further, Judge. RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. RINDAL:

- Q. Ms. Clayton, you said that he never shared with you how much he got. Do you recall the October, I think 9th hearing, when it was on testimony here in front of this judge that he was making -- or he was getting \$300 a month; do you recall that?
 - A. No. We had a hearing in September.
 - Q. I'm sorry. I could be wrong on the date.
- A. And when I asked him personally how much he was 12 getting for rent, he walked, turned around and walked in and 13 shut the door.
 - Q. We had a hearing and he told you then?
- 16 A. Not that I am aware of.
 - Q. It is in the court record, that is what he used to set the child support. This -- Judge Barz took that into account and set it on the child support.
 - A. I don't recall.
- 21 Q. Okay. And do you recall then also your income,
- 22 Mr. Clayton's income went into this joint account that you say now, they -- your were commingling your funds, you put
- your check in, he put a check in, but you are the one that 24
 - did most of the actual check writing?

just a second.

- Q. You testified that you followed the Judge's parenting plan to an T?
- A. Yeah. And when she has been at daycare, she has been at daycare. When it has been his days, she has been with him.
- Q. And it's still your absolute position that he shouldn't be allowed to spend any more time, rather that it is better to keep her in daycare?

A. Yes.

MR. RINDAL: Okay. Nothing further, Your Honor.

MR. ALBACK: I have nothing further, Judge.

THE COURT: You may step down.

MR. ALBACK: The petitioner calls Mary Macki, Your

Honor.

MARY MACKI,

17 called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn, was 18 examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 20 BY MR. ALBACK:
- 21 Q. Would you state your name, please?
 - A. Mary Macki.
 - Q. And where do you reside?
- 24 A. In Billings, Montana.
 - Q. All right. And what do you do for a living?

1 A. I'm a licensed clinical professional counselor.

Q. How long have you been such a licensed clinical professional counselor?

A. For seven years.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

4

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

5.1.1 5.1.13 Q. All right. What is your educational background?

54

A. And I have master's in education -- a master's in counseling, a bachelor's in education, sociology and psychology.

Q. All right. And in your present employment situation, what do you do?

A. I work primarily with children, but in it I also do mediation. I do a lot with parenting plans, custody investigations, evaluations, supervised visitation, mediation with divorced couples.

Q. Okay. Have you had experience in that line of work? Other than your counseling business, do you have a past history of -- that is appropriate to what you do now? Court services or anything like that?

A. No, sir.

Q. All right. Okay. Have you testified as an expert witness in divorce proceedings before?

A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. Okay. How many times? Ballpark.

A. More than 50, closer to 100 probably.

Q. Okay. And how many families would you estimate you

1 anxiety issues.2 She has

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

She has some manic complaints as far as tummy aches, headaches.

Q. All right.

A. Pretty much adjustment issues to the whole situation at hand.

Q. And in your experience is that somewhat typical in these types of situations?

A. Yes.

Q. Has it been your experience or your observation regarding Grace that her symptoms that she is exhibiting are any worse than the average, or is there anything that really stands out that causes you great concern?

A. The biggest thing that stands out that causes me great concern is her boundary issues, is it — it isn't as directly related to the divorce so much as it is to learning appropriate ways for her to identify strangers, not to be so familiar with people, safety needs in that area.

Q. Okay. All right. And in the course of your contact with Grace, have those sessions been with Mary Beth as well?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. Have you had sessions with Grace and

24 Mr. Clayton?

25 A. Yes.

-55

have dealt with in your career as a counseling or in these types of issues?

A. In regards to custody?

O. In regards to custody matters, yes.

A. Once again, easily 50 to 100.

MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, I'd ask that this client be accepted by the Court as an expert witness in this matter.

THE COURT: She is designated as an expert witness by this Court.

MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. ALBACK:

Q. You are familiar with the parties to this matter; isn't that right?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. You know Mrs. Clayton and Mr. Clayton?

A. Yes.

Q. And their daughter Grace?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. Okay. Could you tell the Court briefly how it is that you have become involved in this matter?

A. I was contacted by Mary Beth Clayton in regards to visiting with her daughter Grace. There were concerns about some anxiety, there is also some — the fact that she's just

24 turned four. There is also concerns about -- what I have

seen as concerns, there's boundary issues. There are some

-57

Q. Okay. How many with Mr. Clayton, do you remember?

A. Two.

Q. All right. Are you aware of the current parenting arrangement that is in place in this case?

A. Yes, I am, sir.

Q. And you're aware that this was a -- it's every other weekend plus two evenings a week?

A. Yes

9 Q. In your profession opinion, do you believe that 10 that is a proper parenting arrangement for these people and 11 that little girl in this situation?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Mr. Clayton has filed documents with the Court where he has requested that the visitation be amended to be essentially alternating weeks; that he would have Grace for one week, then she would be with her mother for the next week and so on, so forth down the line.

Do you have an opinion as to whether or not that would be a favorable arrangement?

A. This is the first I had heard about this suggestion. I will say looking at both of these parents and watching this child interact, she is very, very much bonded to both of her parents. She has a very healthy relationship with both parties. I will say that she — what I have seen is she identifies her primary home base, her primary

residence as with Mary Beth. But she certainly has the 2 utmost -- you know, a very healthy relationship with her 3 father.

O. Good.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

A. On a personal level I find, and on a professional level, those children at this early of an age that already begin the alternating weeks, it is fairly disruptive in their life because of trying to establish some type of stability.

O. I take it, then, that it would be your opinion that Grace's identification with her mother's residence as being the primary residence is probably healthier -- I was going to say necessary, but that is probably a little too far. That it is appropriate, do you think?

A. Taking into consideration her age and developmental level, ves.

Q. Okay. In the course of your time with Grace and the Claytons, have you become concerned about perhaps some ADD -- potential ADD problems with Grace?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And what is your opinion in that regard? How has it come up? What is it about her that has caused you to notice that or be concerned about?

A. Her lack of boundaries, her busyness, her impulsivity. They usually do not test for ADHD until once the child is in school because then you can chart how many 1 A. Good afternoon.

Q. I hope you -- I apologize for not calling you Dr. Macki, but they are misidentifying you, you are not

4 Dr. Macki --

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

17

18

19 20

21

A. No, I am not.

Q. Your a master's, just to clarify the court record and Mr. Alback's information.

We have worked before together, haven't we?

A. Yes, sir.

O. And I respect your opinion and I'm glad you are here. When did Ms. Clayton contact you?

A. Our initial visit was February 11th.

Q. Of this year?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. Did she share -- did Ms. Clayton share with you at that time what the parenting plan was?

A. Yes.

18 O. Okay. Have you discussed with Ms. Clayton that Mr. Clayton was requesting additional time with his daughter? 19

20 A. No, I have not.

Q. Okay. Has that ever come up, until today?

A. Correct.

23 Q. Okay. You are not privy to the court documents,

24 but we're not requesting primary residence be changed, and

certainly your testimony sounds like Grace has identified the

out-of-seat behaviors and that type of thing.

But her attention span, she is a bright little girl, but she's very easily distracted. She's very -- her lack of concentration because of the distractibility. Her high risk behavior, no fears as far as boundaries. Comes bounding into my office, snoops in everybody else's -- or snooped in my partner's office prior to our appointment. Those type of things.

Q. All right. Is stability and continuity of care, is that an important factor in dealing with ADD, whether it is diagnosed or potential?

A. Yes.

O. Okay. And I don't want to put words in your mouth, but is it safe to say that the greater the continuity, the greater stability, the greater -- or the less problem that the ADD might evidence; is that correct?

A. Correct.

Q. All right.

MR. ALBACK: I have nothing further of this

witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ALBACK: Thank you, Doctor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Macki.

primary residence, at least her focus of location, is with

2 Mary Beth; is that correct? 3

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Do you believe it's important for children, especially young children, to spend as much time with both parents as possible?

A. If it is in a healthy relationship, yes.

Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you then, I believe you testified that you have observed -- and how many times have you seen Grace?

A. I'll have to count.

12 Q. Ten, 11 times, I think you said.

A. Nine.

14 Q. Nine times. Okay. I believe you testified that you have observed that Grace is much bonded with both 15 16 parents?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And I believe you also testified -- and this is almost a quote, she has a very healthy relationship with both parents?

A. Correct.

22 Q. Okay. So keeping that in mind, that she has bonded 23 with both parents quite well, and she has a very healthy 24 relationship with both parents, is it then beneficial to a child to spend as much time with both parents, in a difficult

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. I would say spend as much time -- and once again I want to qualify that, if it is healthy, good quality time.

- Q. Okay. But you said that they have a very healthy relationship?
 - A. That is what was demonstrated to me, yes.
- Q. Do you have any other indication or observation that would make you believe that they don't have a healthy relationship?

A. Grace had said some things to me, and I addressed this with both parents. Some of the concerns that she had said is that her daddy Steven has called her step -- I don't know what to call him, but has called mother's significant other, trash, garbage, trash bags, that type of thing, which does make this child -- I think is difficult for this child.

So if that were to cease, then I think it is much health -- would be much healthier.

Q. And you've discussed that with Mr. Clayton?

A. I brought it up to Mr. Clayton that she had said that. At that point he seemed very surprised that she would say that. And it was my indication that he wasn't sure where she would have gotten that information.

Q. Okay. Have you -- since you discussed that with him, and I don't know, I think you saw him twice, has there

In front of her mother she feels much more comfortable talking about both parties. And right there, it led me to feel that this child feels real torn. When with mom it is okay to feel this; with dad, she can't feel this; with mom, she says negative things about dad; but with dad, she doesn't want to address anything.

I would bring the things out, and the first time Steven came, everything we tried to do she says, I don't like this, I don't like this game.

Q. Now, I believe you have seen Grace nine times total, you said?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

19

20

21

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

13 Q. Two times with Mr. Clayton, so that, I'm guessing, seven times then with Mrs. Clayton?

A. In the room, yes.

16 Q. Sure. Would it be beneficial to continue the 17 counseling with Mr. Clayton in there so that possibly Grace 18 would start to feel more comfortable communicating?

A. And I'm comfortable with that, yes.

Q. Sure. And you think that would be beneficial then?

A. Yes.

22 O. I believe you said, you testified that you have 23 counseled 50 to 100 clients, and I know you said, you testified you thought as than expert over 50, but possibly as 25 high as 100.

63

been any other reports of allegedly improper communication?

A. No, because I just saw Grace one other time, and that once again was with her father. And she didn't say -when her father is there, she doesn't state anything about this Ronnie.

When her father is not there, one time we were playing the Barbies, she took the men -- we have two men dolls, and we named one as Ronnie, one as Steven. She took the Steven doll, went over, slammed the Steven doll against the Ronnie doll and said, her daddy doesn't like Ronnie, and she didn't want Ronnie there, so that the Steven doll was very aggressive towards the Ronnie doll.

O. And I believe you said -- you indicated that the counseling that you have been doing with Grace, is not related so much to divorce, but more about anxiety, boundary issues and manic complaints; is that correct?

A. That is what she came to see me as, those were the initial issues. As we have worked, I have worked with her as far as the loyalty, the fact that she feels -- just -- that was one of the first sessions, just to get an idea of where this child was at. We did the dolls, trying to help this child relieve some of the anxiety.

I feel that she feels torn between her loyalties. I know that she -- when her father, in front of her father she did not, as I said before, talk about this Ronnie at all.

A. Yes.

2 Q. In those -- let's just round it to 100, just in 3 case. In those hundred cases, is this the first case of a 4 four-year-old girl in a divorce case where she expresses anxiety and misunderstanding and communication problems like she is doing now, like Grace is specifically?

A. No, sir.

Q. Is it a very normal thing?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. So nothing really -- this is not like a huge warning sign, but it is definitely something the parents need to work on, their communications?

13 A. Correct, and the fact that she is having some manic 14 complaints.

Q. Sure. Sure. When you had Mr. Clayton in the counseling sessions those two times, when did they first start?

A. Just recently.

Q. Mm-hmm.

A. The first one was June 3rd.

Q. Okav. And whose scheduled those?

A. Steven called, I asked Mary Beth if I would be able

23 to see and meet with Steven and Grace as well.

24 0. Mm-hmm.

25 A. And she notified Steven and he called me and

scheduled.

- Q. Okay. Is it -- is it common in your -- the counseling profession to counsel with one -- during a divorce case, shall we say, to counsel with just the child and one parent only, or do you try to incorporate both parents in?
 - A. I try to incorporate both parents.
- Q. Okay. Is it your -- using your many years of experience with client counseling, do you believe that if you have continued counseling sessions between -- with Grace and Mr. Clayton, that she will become less anxiety-ridden and lose maybe some of the manic complaints that she has now?
 - A. That is our goal.
- Q. Okay. All right. And do you believe, then, that if if Mr. Clayton is allowed to spend more time with her and be it in your office and outside your office, that that might help facilitate better communication skills between them, the daughter and the father?
 - A. Yes, if it is appropriate.
- Q. Okay. Well, it is a very nebulous term, appropriate. Do you have some guidelines or suggestions that what you would consider or feel safe suggesting that would allow Mr. Clayton to spend more time with his daughter, that you would consider safe or appropriate?
- A. And I have talked to Mr. Clayton about this, we talked about Grace's developmental level, that at her age she

of sentences or communications and extrapolate them into some concrete, this is happening?

A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. So is it possible, then, that what Grace said about -- that her daddy hates Ronnie or whatever, she could have picked up just saying that they are going through a divorce, that he is upset that, you know, he is no longer in her life everyday; is that possible?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Regarding the moving and the moving to California, are you aware that the parties have to sell their house?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So that is what he means by moving, and his parents did come from California. So, I mean, do you have a grasp are you able to discuss that with her now?
 - A. We talked about it on Tuesday, yes.
- Q. Great. Great. Is there any other suggestions or guidelines that can be provided today from you to the Court and Mr. Clayton that you would be comfortable with that would allow Mr. Clayton to spend more time, that would be beneficial for Mr. Clayton to spend more time with his daughter?
- A. One other time she had said that -- let me find, she said, just out of the blue, we were playing a game and she says her mommy breaks her daddy Steven's heart because

is not able to abstract. Some of the things that he just says in passing like, he is going to move, and then he talked about his parents coming. Somehow Grace surmised that her dad was moving to California to live with his parents.

So we just kind of talked about developmental type of things. My suggestion would be not to even address her mother's living situation, to just make the relationship that he has with Grace as positive as can be. She does have a good relationship with him, she enjoys going to movies with him.

We talked about -- she mentioned she was afraid in her bedroom at his house and he decided it was probably because of real dark curtains. He has got night lights, just simple little things like that, to make it less of an adjustment for her.

- Q. So is it your belief that Mr. Clayton is responding appropriately to some of her fears or problem areas in communication?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And with this idea of her developmental and I understand that, you know, she is four years old and they grow quickly, but she has a lower level of abstract thinking, or actually she hasn't even attained that level, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So isn't it true that she may be picking up pieces

she won't live with her daddy Steven. And she said that her daddy told her that her mommy has an owy in her head and it it's inside so that she can't see it, but it breaks daddy's heart.

And I can understand him trying to explain, and regardless of him -- his explanation, her comprehension. I think many times children begin -- they see parents display feelings of sadness, some depression, and children feel that they are responsible for that.

- Q. Certainly.
- A. So I'm concerned that Grace may feel that she is responsible for her daddy's emotional being. So rather than -- whether you said it or not, I don't know, but the fact that this is what she shared with me, it might be easier just to not even discuss.

THE COURT: Dr. Macki, I have a question having heard you and read your reports on many occasions, wouldn't it be a more practical solution in this particular case to require further counseling between Steve and this child and yourself, more counseling before we go into spending more time with this child.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: That would be your bottom line recommendation, wouldn't it?

THE WITNESS: You're right, Your Honor.

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Do you have a suggestion, then, to the Court and to myself and Mr. Clayton what you would think would be appropriate? What type of counseling, how much counseling between Mr. Clayton and Grace and yourself before he is allowed to spend more time?

I'd like to kind of pin this down so when we leave today, we can -- that is a goal that we need to start working towards.

A. Mm-hmm. And, truthfully, I haven't talked with either party to know what their future plans are. I don't know if she has extended time according to the interim parenting plan to have any vacation time with her father. I'm not aware of the current, other than the alternating weekends and twice during the week. I'm not aware of the rest of the interim parenting plan.

To answer your question, I would say to meet one, two more times, maybe more often than alternating weeks. And some of that we'll have to discuss as far as the logistics with insurance, etcetera.

And then I would like to meet with Grace without either parent.

Q. Certainly.

A. Just to see where — I know that she and I have a good enough relationship, she certainly is very free-flowing

more hours might -- is that going to cause a problem?

MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, I'm not objecting so much as clarifying. The witness, if she is going to answer a hypothetical question, it should be based upon the facts. And the facts are that Mr. Clayton is entitled to two four-hour periods per week — during the week days of every week, not the two hours that Mr. Rindal is talking about.

And if he is talking about two hours and would two or three more hours be helpful when he is already getting four hours, it makes a big difference as to what we're really talking about here.

So the record speaks for itself in terms of what the order is of this Court and what is in force at this point in time, and that is that that child spends four hours two nights per week with Mr. Clayton as it is.

Thank you.

MR. RINDAL: And that is correct. I didn't have the document in front of me, and I apologize, it wasn't -- it was a misspoken statement. I just knew he got two times, and I apologize. It is four hours. And Mr. Clayton just confirmed that.

22 BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. And my question still remains: If we get one or two more times of counseling, then would you be willing to submit to the Court posttrial suggestions of giving --

7'

on what she has to say, that I could surmise if in fact it's -- she has less anxiety, it will also show in her lack of tummy aches, her lack of scary dreams, running to mom and dad in the middle of the night because of her night -- her scary dreams.

Q. Sure. And I apologize, I was listening to the whole long sentence, and I missed the outlying thing. Did you say you thought possibly one or two more times of counseling before any more extended time or --

A. When you are saying --

THE COURT: I think it is a matter of quality time and what she can accomplish.

MR, RINDAL: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you are once again invading my province, too.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, she is an expert witness. I want to get suggestions from her so we can organize this. BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Ms. Macki, you had mentioned that Steve was allowed to see his daughter for a couple times a week, I believe. I don't recall the days, maybe Wednesday, something like that, for a couple hours. If that happens to coincide with one of his days off, would it be okay if he spent a few more hours, instead of two hours, he is allowed two hours, I believe, on his nonweekend days, weekend cycles, perhaps two or three

allowing Mr. Clayton additional time rather than us having to wait for a report and then do it -- request a show cause to amend the parenting plan again. My goal and Mr. Clayton's goal is to see his daughter as much as possible, and -- because of the Court's calendar, it is very full, they are very -- they are definitely not underworked.

MR. ALBACK: I don't see a question here, Your Honor. I see a --

THE COURT: Just please rephrase your question. MR. RINDAL: Certainly.

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Ms. Macki --

THE COURT: What is her recommendation. Bottom line, what is your recommendation.

THE WITNESS: Do I feel that it would be difficult -- or be difficult for Grace to spend more time with her father on his days off other than four hours. My recommendation would be at this point that it would not be, but I think that he needs -- my suggestion would be that he contact the mother, making sure that it will not interfere with any other plans that Grace already has.

Children at this age, if she has a birthday party, that is a major thing for a child. Any of the -- to accommodate any of her plans, plans that the family may already have.

If it all coincides, then I think that it would benefit her to spend time with her father.

MR. RINDAL: Great. Okay. Does that clarify, Mr. Alback the question?

MR. ALBACK: There was a question in there, I appreciate that very much. Thank you.

MR. RINDAL: Thank you.

BY MR. RINDAL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

Q. And my other question that I'd asked you is, upon counseling, additional counseling between yourself, Grace and Mr. Clayton, would you be willing to provide an additional report to both attorneys so that we can attempt to modify, if you so deem it acceptable, to add additional time rather than forcing -- making us have to go back in front of the Court?

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Rindal, I believe that the bottom line is that your client hasn't been paying for this kind of counseling in the past. And you'll have to have some plan in effect before your question can be answered, I believe.

MR. RINDAL: You are right, Your Honor, I don't think either party pays. I don't believe either party pays at all.

I have nothing further. Thank you, Ms. Macki.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ALBACK: I have no further questions, Your

Q. Okay. Is there any chance of reconciliation?

A. I wouldn't think so, no.

Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. RINDAL: Getting right into this, Your Honor -- oh, Your Honor, for the matter, Mr. Alback and I both agree that Mr. Frigaletti can -- Dr. Frigaletti (phonetic) can come, sit and listen. I've taken him off the witness list, he'd just like to sit in and listen for awhile.

May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Here is a bench copy, Your Honor. BY MR. RINDAL:

- Q. Steve, I have just handed you a document that has been marked Respondent's Exhibit A. Do you recognize that document?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Okay. What is that exhibit?
 - A. This is our proposed division of assets and debts.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to skip over the assets because prior to the trial -- commencement of the trial, we have stipulated with opposing counsel that we're going to use our asset distribution. If there is any clerical error,
- 23 Mr. Alback and I will correct it, but this is the same
- 24 document that Mr. Alback and I presented with the pretrial 25

order.

Honor.

THE COURT: You are excused.

MR. ALBACK Petitioner rests as well.

THE COURT: We will take a ten-minute break. We'll be in recess for ten minutes.

(Recess.)

THE COURT: Court is in session. You may be seated. Mr. Rindal, you may proceed.

MR. RINDAL: Thank you, Your Honor. I would like to call Mr. Steve Clayton to the stand. Steve, would you please go up and be sworn in by Carol.

STEVE CLAYTON,

called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RINDAL:

- Q. Steve, would you please state your name for the record?
 - A. Steven Lloyd Clayton.
 - Q. And you are the respondent in this matter?
- 21
- 22 Q. You heard the jurisdictional testimony from your 23 wife, do you agree that this marriage is irretrievably 24 broken?
 - A. Yes.

The last page is page 3. Would you please turn to that page.

77

- A. Yes, I am there.
- Q. Okay. I want to go down those one by one. Is it your belief that the home mortgage owed against the house is approximately \$72,000?
 - A. Yeah, approximately.
- Q. Okay. And it is you and Mary Beth's intention to -- excuse me, Ms. Clayton's intention to sell the house?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And I have listed two Chase credit cards, one for approximately 255 and one approximately 1,091. You have proposed that that debt be split between you and your wife; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And why do you believe that that splitting the debt is equitable, 50/50?
- A. Well, we both used the couch, we both ate the food. Her revolver that she carries now is -- was bought with that. I mean, we both, you know, accumulated this debt. I mean, I couldn't go dollar for dollar what she spent and what I spent, but...
 - Q. Could you please speak up.
- 24 A. Oh, sorry. It was -- I mean, it was close to 50/50 25 as I can -- I mean, we both ate the food and, I mean, that is

what we lived on for some of the time.

- Q. Okay. She testified that she thought only approximately 20 percent of the credit card debt was due to food; is that your recollection?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10 11

12

13

15

16

18

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

11

14

22

25

- Q. What is your recollection?
- A. I would say about 50 percent.
- Q. Okay. And some of -- some of the items -- she testified that the items from this debt, these two credit cards, the Chase ones, are property that you are retaining; is that accurate?
- A. Well, there is some property that I'm retaining, and I had mentioned the revolver, she is keeping that. And also I had been paying on the credit card most of the time that she had been separated from me, until around December.
 - Q. Okay. How much was the revolver, as an example?
- 17 A. I believe it was 327.
 - Q. Okay. We listed a First U.S. card, but I think
 - Ms. Clayton said that is called something else now, Bank One.
- We didn't know the dollar amount, do you have any idea how much that is?
 - A. I have no idea. The bank card companies won't let me access any information. The only information I could get was through Genus Credit, where I had changed the account over to my name in June, late June after she had left. And

- Q. Okay. We have a vehicle loan to Steve and Mary Beth from your parents. It's listed at approximately \$17,000. You heard Ms. Clayton's testimony that that is your loan; is that your recollection?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

13

17

21

25

- Q. Why do you have this separated, half and half?
- A. Well, the reason is because we both benefited from this.
 - Q. And how did you benefit from that loan?
- A. Well, we after I had lost my position with Express Trailer, I had to, you know, find another position, wasn't finding one because of summer employment problems. And then we lived off it until, I think she said, around February or so, which we paid for the mortgage, food, you know, gas, you know, just all living expenses. Purchased a computer with that.

Because I was going to be attending school, I needed a computer that was capable of connecting to the Internet. And we purchased that. She used it for her grad school work when she was going to educational grad school. So...

- Q. So she used some of the proceeds also --
- 23 A. Certainly.
 - Q. -- when she went to college?
 - A. Certainly.

79

- they couldn't -- they wouldn't release the information to Genus.
- Q. Ms. Clayton testified that she thought it was something approximately \$2,491 on that credit card, First U.S. card that we have listed. Do you have any reason to believe that that is not correct?
- A. No.
 - Q. So you believe that it is probably approximate?
- 9 A. Probably.
- 10 Q. Okay. And that is her credit card?
 - A. Right.
- 12 Q. Do you remember what the balance was on that credit
- 13 card while you were still together?
 - A. Probably about 3900, I think.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. Close to 4,000.
- 17 Q. And you've paid down an amount before?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 O. Until December?
- 20 A. Right, \$213 a month.
- 21 Q. You paid \$213 a month since -- until December?
 - A. Right.
- 23 O. And that is -- I think it was your wife's testimony
- 24 that she thought she was paying \$100 a month since December.
 - A. Right.

-81

- Q. Okay. Does she have a degree?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What is her degree?
- 4 A. A degree in fine arts, bachelor's.
- 5 Q. Bachelor's degree. And you have a degree in
 - history?
 - A. History.
 - Q. Okay. Is that a bachelor's of science or bachelor
- 9 of arts?
 - A. Arts
- 11 Q. Okay. So you both have the same educational degree 12 right now?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And she -- you heard her testify she'll take her student loan and you'll take your student loan; do you have any objection to that?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. She testified that she never made any payments to your father on that what was originally a \$19,000 loan; is that accurate?
 - A. No
- Q. Do you recall how many -- how much money that she paid?
- 24 A. It was a total of \$2100.
 - Q. Okay. Have you still been making the payments?

A. No, I haven't been able to.

Q. Okay. How much do you make right now?

A. I make \$7.54 an hour. I work on an average of 32 hours a week. Best Buy, as a full-time employee, is 32 hours minimum. Since we are having kind of a company wide budgetary problem, that is all I'm limited to right now.

Q. Okay. Do you believe that the Court should adopt your proposed assets and debt distribution?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Do you think it is fair and equitable?

A. Yes.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'd move for admission of Respondent's Exhibit A.

MR. ALBACK: I have no objection to this. I thought it had already been filed with the Court. I have no objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Respondent's A is admitted. (Respondent's Exhibit A was admitted.)

MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness, Your

20 Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Here is a bench copy, Your Honor.

BY MR. RINDAL:

24 Q. Steve, I have just handed you a document. Do you 25 recognize that?

you'll probably be allowed to spend more time with your 2 daughter. It appears that the Judge is not inclined and -to grant half and half, and maybe at four years old that might be too young.

In the alternative, would you at least like the Judge to allow you the right of first refusal and to allow you to have your days off in the middle of the week with your daughter?

A. I mean, that's -- I see no problem with my daughter spending time with me. But -- and I will -- I will accept it. I mean, certainly I want more, but I will accept it. And I'll go to counseling or whatever I have to do to make sure my daughter is, you know, mentally fit.

Q. Right now is it -- is it approximately four full days that you get to spend with your daughter per month?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Okay. And then to darify, because I misspoke earlier, you see her two times a week during the middle of the week sometimes?

A. Yes.

O. And what is that from?

A. While I was going to school, I had to change the nights from Monday and Wednesday to Monday and Thursday because I had a night course. So it's pretty much stuck

25 Monday and Wednesday. So I pick her up, I generally pick her

A. Yes.

Q. It is marked Respondent's Exhibit B. What is that document?

A. This is the proposed parenting plan of mine.

Q. Okay. In that parenting plan you have requested additional time, specifically you would like to have a week on, a week off, correct?

A. Right.

Q. Do you believe that Grace is substantially mature enough to benefit from having a week on, a week off with you?

A. Certainly.

O. Okay. And you have proposed that you alternate the tax exemption between you and your wife?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you think that is fair?

A. Certainly.

Q. Okay. Are you and your wife now currently handling transportation? I mean, is there any transportation issues that have arisen?

A. I think only once my car broke down and she had to come and pick up my daughter.

O. But other than that?

A. Other than that, no.

24 Q. Okay. Now, you heard Ms. Macki testify that if

you apparently have one or two more counseling sessions.

up about 3:15 on Mondays and also Thursdays. I get off of 2 work at about 2:30, so I go home and shower and go and pick 3 up my daughter. 4

O. Okay. Now, you heard your wife testify that she believes that you shouldn't spend extra time with your daughter because it might be disruptive, and she did discuss about your -- your work schedule. How far in advance does your employer schedule your work?

A. The alternating weekends that is already set in, they have a computer program that sets up the days off I need. Also we have the ability to say, I need Tuesdays off for the rest of my work career with you.

I can -- I am -- I am to the point where I am so valuable on the company that they'd pretty much do anything for me. The pay, you know, that is a different matter. But with scheduling, they know what I'm going through, and you know, they are worried about my daughter, so...

Q. Well, would -- I guess the guestion I was asking you, how far in advance do they make the schedule?

A. My supervisor looks at it, it's usually about five or six days.

Q. Okay. So nearly a week, five to six days?

A. Right.

Q. Do you believe you'll have the ability to request a specific day such as a Tuesday or a Wednesday or Thursday?

- A. I have never had a problem.
- Q. Okay. And if that would assist in giving stabilized time with your daughter, and at the request of both your wife and Ms. Macki, are you willing to do that?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. So if you are allowed to spend additional time with your daughter, you're testifying here today you wil make a specific date schedule and that will stay in stone, absent some emergency?
 - A. Oh, yeah, that wouldn't be a problem.
- Q. Okay. Ms. Macki has indicated that you and your wife both have a very healthy relationship with your daughter, do you believe that that is correct?
 - A. For the most part.
- Q. And she said -- Ms. Macki said that she believed that you and your wife both have bonded -- or excuse me, your daughter has bonded with both you and your wife; do you believe that?
 - A. Certainly.
- Q. Okay. Do you believe that it is in your daughter's best interest to spend more time with you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You have four full days a month. What do you think -- I believe you said you want half time, one week on and one week off?

to know the difference between an igneous and a sedimentary rock, but, you know, I try to take her and have her get actually interested in science and everything around her.

- Q. Okay. Do you believe those are -- those are important in educational --
 - A. Yes.

- Q. -- visits with her -- please wait for me to finish the question.
- A. Sorry.
- Q. I guess I have a question about a discussion with your daughter. You heard Ms. Macki talk about she is a very ab ~ or excuse me, concrete thinker right now. Did you tell your daughter you had to move?
 - A. No. Well, I told her -- she wanted to know what all the boxes were for. And I said, well, I have to get a new house here in town. And she goes, where Montana? I said, yeah, Montana. And she thinks that my wife lives in Montana and I live somewhere else. It's kind of -- and we're only two miles apart.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So her whole ability to judge geographical location, is skewed.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I had mentioned that my parents were going to be coming up from California and she, I guess, somehow tied in

- A. Right.
- Q. If that is not able to be done, what would you request?
- A. I mean, the bear minimum would be -- you know, if I am -- if I have my day off and she is at work, there is no reason that I wouldn't be able to see my daughter. I mean, Alice seems to be a great person, you know, her sitter. But I'm sorry to say, but the value of her relationship with Alice and the relationship with me, I think I'm quite a bit a head in the -- in the pecking order. I mean --
- Q. And I believe your wife testified that it is her belief that you only watch TV with your daughter, maybe occasionally go for a walk or go to Wal-Mart; is that accurate?
 - A. No
- Q. What do you do with your daughter when you spend time with her?
- A. Well, we -- it's kind of dangerous, but -- dangerous in the fact that it is white colored carpet, I usually throw down a drop cloth in the family room and she can finger paint, and I have given her a brush so she can brush, we go picking flowers, we go for walks with the dog. We will go to my work and visit my friends there.
- I drive around, I'll go up north and I'll show her different -- you know -- you know, obviously she is not going

- my parents and moving.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's talk about your parents coming to visit. You heard your wife testify that she has never, and does not, restrict your visits with your daughter. Is that accurate?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Can you give a very recent example?
- A. Well, my daughter had a really high fever in March, it was to the point where she had -- I mean, when I picked her up from her sitter's, Alice Mills, she was ill, and I took her to the hospital right away and they gave her Tylenol and reduced the fever.

The next night was supposed to be my night that I was supposed to have her. That night also was going to be my scheduled -- scheduled night, and she said, well, because of Gracie's health, I'm only allowing you one day this week.

- Q. Have you taken care of her -- Grace when she is sick?
- A. Yes. Actually I exposed myself to chicken pox to take care of her.
- Q. Can you think of any good reason why your wife only allowed you one weekend because of her being ill?
- A. You know, I all I can surmise is spite.
- Q. Okay. Was there something recent, such as on the 17th? Were you allowed to see your daughter on the 17th of

June?

1

2

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

A. The 17th of June was when I was scheduled to take her to Dr. -- or Mary Macki. And she had requested -- Mary Beth told me that I was to take her back to Alice's before lunch time.

Q. Why?

A. She never gave me a reason.

Q. Your parents were here from California?

A. Right.

Q. And she wouldn't allow you to spend a few more hours with her?

A. I guess not.

Q. Okay. Your wife testified that Grace needs a routine to minimize some of the anxiety she has. Do you have a routine when she stays with you?

A. Certainly.

Q. Okay. And you've maintained that ever since you separated?

A. She goes to bed at the same time, she doesn't stay up until ten o'clock, like I have been told that she does over at Mary Beth's house. And she goes to bed at 8:30. She knows she is supposed to brush her teeth before she goes to bed. At one o'clock in the afternoon, she goes and takes her nap.

Q. Okay. So your respondent's proposed final

BY MR. RINDAL:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Do you recognize that document, Steve?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. Okay. What is that?

A. This is — it appears to be the child support calculations.

Q. Okay. Now, I believe we put down that your wife makes approximately \$23,000. I don't remember the exact dollar amount, but I believe that was information provided by Mr. Alback. We have you down as 12,547 annually; is that 12,547 what you make annually?

A. Approximately, yes.

Q. Okay. Now, this shows that you have earned income credit of 2,547. We have requested that the Judge alternate the tax deduction between you and your wife. This calculation is based on 110 days visitation, the standard visitation is 110 days a year. Certainly you are not getting that many, but 110 -- anything more than 110 will change the calculations. This is at 110.

We have allocated \$2,600 for daycare costs. I didn't have that dollar amount until today. I think that is even high, so --

23 MR, RINDAL: Am I right?

MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, I'd also stipulate to the amounts in these documents as well. We have discussed the

91

parenting plan and your belief provides for the best needs of your daughter?

A. She needs her father in her life as much as she does her mother.

Q. Okay.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'd request that Respondent's Exhibit B be admitted.

MR. ALBACK: I have no objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: B is admitted.

(Respondent's Exhibit B was admitted.)

MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness, Your

12 Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Thank you,

MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, if I might interrupt. It appears that Mr. Rindal is going to be asking that these documents be admitted as his child support calculations. To save the time and trouble of the foundational matters, I'm certainly willing to stipulate to the entry of these

20 documents.

MR. RINDAL: Thank you, Mr. Alback.

BY MR, RINDAL:

Q. Steve, I'm handing to you what has been marked as Respondent's Exhibit C.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, here is bench copy.

93

income, the child support -- I mean the daycare costs and so on, so forth. Rather than belabor that before the Court, I'd be willing to stipulate as to the figures as well.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, then i --

THE COURT: Respondent's C is admitted.

MR. RINDAL: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Respondent's Exhibit C was admitted.)

MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness again, Your

Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Bench copy, Your Honor.

12 BY MR, RINDAL:

Q. Steve, we're going to cut to the chase to save time here. This is a document marked as Respondent's Exhibit D. Do you recognize it?

A. Yes.

Q. This shows the same financial amount, 23,000 income for your wife, 12,547 for yourself, but it shows her earned income credit. This shows that she claims Grace for the child -- for the income tax deduction, and it has the same amount of daycare costs, \$2600.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'll just move to admit.

THE COURT: Is there any objection?

MR. ALBACK: I'm sorry, Judge, no, I thought I

stipulated.

THE COURT: Okay. D is admitted. (Respondent's Exhibit D was admitted.)

MR. RINDAL: Thank you, Your Honor. And, Your Honor, just a clarification, since we have requested that the child tax return deduction be alternated, I provided both to show you the differences.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. RINDAL;

Q. Steve, Mrs. Clayton testified about the last several years you haven't made much income. Would you agree that that is a fair assessment?

A. Well, being a student doesn't usually pay too well.

Q. How long have you been in college?

A. On and off, I was in school for about 12 years; full-time, probably about four years.

Q. Well, she was talking at least since 1998, that is when she started discussing your income. Did you start full-time or on a complete college degree in about 1998?

A. Actually a year earlier than that --

O. Okay.

A. — I was attending full-time as an education student at Eastern at the time, and then Grace was born with her laryngomalacia, so I could no longer go through with that degree, so I stayed home.

Q. Would you clarify for me, you said Grace was born,

then until -- well, she is still working, right?

A. Right.

Acres 1

Q. Okay. And because of her either training or college degree she had better paying jobs than you?

A. Yes

Q. And since 1997 you've been a full-time college student; is that correct?

A. No, I can't say that. I haven't been -- the whole time I haven't gone full time. When I had some part-time positions, I also went to school part-time. But for the majority of the time later in our marriage, I was at school full time.

Q. Okay. During the last several years, let's say since 1997 or '8, have you -- has your father been assisting you and Mary Beth with financials?

A. Yes, thankfully.

Q. Okay. Can you -- do you have any idea about how much your father has given you and Mary Beth?

A. I have looked at the records, and I would say it's probably between ten and \$15,000 per year. And I don't even think that is including school, because I wasn't taking on student loans.

Q. Okay. And did this money that your father gave to you and Mary Beth, did it benefit both you?

A. Yes.

and then you said some big word I didn't hear.

A. Laryngomalacia, which was a -- it's a -- she had an immature epiglottis, which restricted her breathing. Any sort of cold or flu could become very serious and she could become hospitalized.

Q. And did you and your wife take care of Grace at that time?

A. I took care of her for, oh, I'd say two months until the doctor felt that it was okay for her to go into daycare.

Q. Okay. Your wife has a college degree too, when did she start college, approximately?

A. I think she graduated in '92 from high school and -- actually, let me see. Okay. She was 17 when she started college. She is 29 now. So 12 years ago.

Q. Did she graduate from college before you married her?

18 A. Yes

Q. Okay. And so I believe -- when did you get married again?

21 A. December 30th, 1995.

Q. Okay. So in 1995 she already had her college

23 degree?

A. Yes.

Q. And is it fair to say that she has worked since

Q. It didn't go into just one of your secret accounts or anything, did it?

A. No, I don't have any secret accounts.

Q. What did you use all the money for?

A. Rent. Actually the \$500 deductible when Grace was born. School. Let me see. You know, just living expenses. Mortgage, of course.

MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness, Your

Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, here is a bench copy.

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. In fact, did your father help you buy a house?

A. Yes.

Q. I have handed you a document that is marked as Respondent's E. Do you recognize that?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. What is that document?

A. This is a gift letter that my dad had to present to the Internal Revenue Service showing that it was a gift to me in the amount of \$20,000 so I could purchase a home.

Q. Okay. And did this money go straight to the purchase of the house that you and Mary Beth currently own?

A. Yes. Actually the cashier's check was made out to the bank.

Q. So you never actually touched this money, it went --

A. No, I never got to see it.

Q. And it is on your behalf, he listed my son Steven Clayton, that is you, correct?

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

Q. Okay.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'd move for the admission of Respondent's Exhibit E.

MR. ALBACK: I object, there is no foundation for this document, Your Honor, at all.

THE COURT: It proves your case. Respondent's E is admitted.

(Respondent's Exhibit E was admitted.)

MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: Here is a bench copy.

Your Honor, if I may have permission, I am not going to submit this for -- to be accepted under this witness, but just because of the course, I am -- my witness who actually owns this document is waiting in the hall to testify to it. I will, if Mr. Alback would permit me to ask just a few questions, I have got like two questions on it, and then all the foundation and all the actual accuracy will

A. Well, it almost sounds like she had no -- she had no idea that it was being done. And the funny thing is, is we went down together and picked out the options. We talked to the sales manager, and since my dad is a General Motors employee, we had to go through the sales manager. So we sat down there and picked out the options together. We picked out the color, we picked out the fabric, we picked out everything.

And we had had trouble with the vehicle that we owned before, I had an older Taurus and so I wanted to get something more reliable. And also I -- I mean, it was -- it was us.

Q. And so is it your contention that your wife assisted you in purchasing or picking out the pickup?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

23

25

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

Q. For your family?

17 A. And we got the extra cab because she wanted the 18 extra cab, because when we are going to have kids, you know. 19 we wanted to have, you know, room in the back. 20

Q. Okay. And then you purchased the vehicle; is that correct?

22 A. Yes. Yes.

Q. Where is that vehicle now?

24 A. I have seen it drive around town.

Q. No, let me darify. Do you still own it?

be upon Mr. Art Clayton, who is in the hall.

Otherwise, I'll just have to call Mr. Steve Clayton back to the stand after this.

THE COURT: I am not quite sure what you are intending to do with this.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'll just wait.

Mr. Clayton, may I have that back, please. Thank you. May I have those other documents.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

MR. RINDAL: I'll wait Your Honor.

BY MR. RINDAL;

Q. Steve, I believe you testified that you had got a loan, you got a loan from your father, you and Mary Beth needed a reliable vehicle, is that why you got the loan?

A. We purchased a vehicle through Fichtner Chevrolet, and the money was loaned to us by my father.

Q. Okay. Well, let's talk about that for a minute. You say we purchased a vehicle through Fichtner's, that contradicts what Ms. Clayton said. She said she had nothing to do with that.

A. Mm-hmm.

O. Is that accurate?

23

24 Q. Well, what did you do? You went to -- what are you contending happened?

101

A. No.

Q. Okay. What did you and Mary Beth do with it?

A. We sold it.

Q. Why?

5 A. Because I had lost my position with Express 6 Trailer, we needed money to live on. I didn't know how 7

long it was going to be until I could find another position.

8 So we bought a fairly -- fairly new Oldsmobile Achieva, and 9 so we had a reliable piece of transportation, also got cash

10 from that downgrading.

> Q. Do you recall approximately how much cash that you and Mary Beth received?

A. It was around \$11,000.

Q. And did that \$11,000 go into your joint marital account?

A. Yes. We had no other accounts except for our joint account.

18 Q. And what did you and Mary Beth do with that 19 \$11,000?

20 A. Lived off of it, purchased a computer. That is 21 pretty much it, we lived off of it.

22 Q. Okay. So is it your contention that that is a 23 joint marital debt that you owe your father?

25 Q. And do you have an approximate idea how much that

THE COURT: I'd point out, though, I think they were due about three days ago.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, Mr. Alback and I had a problem in getting -- hooking up the right time and getting all the information exchanged.

(Respondent's Exhibit G was admitted.)

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, that concludes my questions for Mr. Clayton.

MR. ALBACK: I have a couple.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. ALBACK:

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

25

- Q. Mr. Clayton, how old are you?
- 21 A. Thirty-six.
 - Q. All right. You are 36 years old. You testified
- that you have gone to school for 12 years?
- A. On and off, yes. 24
 - Q. All right. And so I take it that part of that

- Q. Okay. And during that period of time that you have 10 been going to school, your father has been giving you.
- 11 according to your testimony, anywhere from ten to \$15,000 a
- 12 per year; is that correct?
- 13 A. Right. And when --
 - O. Okay.
 - A. -- I wasn't working, yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And also it is your testimony that during
 - that period of time your father gave you \$20,000 to buy a
- 18 house?

14

15

17

19

20

23

- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And it's also your testimony that
- 21 during that period of time your father gave you \$18,000 --
- 22 \$19,000 -- over \$19,000 to buy a pickup?
 - MR. RINDAL: Objection, Your Honor. I don't
- 24 believe he testified that he gave him. I believe my client
- testified that it was a loan.

21

22

23

24

A. Yes.

A. Something like that, on average.

were living on roughly \$50,000 a year?

Q. Okay. And during that period of time Mary Beth was

making eighteen to \$22,000 a year; would you agree with that?

Q. Okay. So as I add it all up, you and Mary Beth

4

5

6

9

13

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

that you contend has to be paid back to your dad, you are

A. No. The money that I am -- that I'm to be paying

going to end up with it anyway?

A. No, certainly not.

Q. Yeah.

back my dad, I'm going to get it anyway?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. But as I understand your testimony, are you backing off that position?

A. Well, I am trying to do what is best for my daughter. And I think -- you know, I'm trying to follow an expert's advice. The expert is contending that if I am able to go to the therapist with my daughter, then I should be granted more time with my daughter. What I'm trying to be is realistic.

O. Okay.

A. I mean, at this period of time, I most likely don't have a chance --

Q. So you have backed off?

A. Assume -- yes.

Q. Okay. And as I understand that the reason that you want the additional time with your daughter that Mr. Rindal has been batting around all day about your days off, right, is because you want to spend time with your daughter?

Q. And her time is better spent with you than with Alice the baby-sitter; is that right?

A. Most definitely.

Q. Okay. I just have a question that I can't resolve;

116

Q. Okay. And so that would be some quality time with you and Grace while you were doing your distance schooling on the computer?

A. Well, actually I would only -- I would only take it as many classes that I can handle so my daughter is coming first. I mean, my work knows that, I have told them that my daughter is the most important thing in my life.

Q. Okay. Fair enough. Fair enough, I just have like two more questions. Mr. Rindal asked you about times when Mary Beth has withheld visitation from you.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Right? And the only example that you could give of 12 13 that was --

A. Actually he told me the most recent example is what he asked me.

Q. And so your example was, is the day that -- when **YOUR --**

A. That my daughter --

19 Q. If you might -- I will ask the questions and then when I'm done, you can answer. All right? Okay?

A. (No response.)

22 O. The example you gave was one occasion when, under your own testimony, you picked your daughter up and she had, 23 24 in your words, a high fever.

A. Yes.

115

and that is, what about the three and a half years when your daughter was going to the baby-sitter everyday when you were home, when you weren't in school? You never went to get here then? You never insisted on having daycare with her in your presence then, did you?

A. Well, actually I think we discussed this in the first trial. The first summer I had to spend out in the front yard doing landscaping. Also I had various hours with my work schedule and school schedules.

Q. Okay.

A. And we're supposed to have consistency in my daughter's life.

Q. Okay. And so I take it that then it was more important that the consistency include Alice, right?

A. At the time, I don't think -- between the ages of three and six are the most developmental important years of a child's life. You know, without her -- I am now, between the ages of three and six, able to be there for her.

Q. You are going to school now, are you, part-time?

A. As I stated before, I can't afford to go to school right now.

Q. But as soon as you can afford it, are you planning

A. It would most likely be a distance program where I would stay home.

117

Q. And your visitation, it was in March?

A. I am trying -- yes, it was in March.

Q. March would be wintertime, I'm guessing? Probably not a warm day.

A. Probably not.

Q. Okay. And are you, seriously, complaining that Mary Beth didn't bring your daughter out in the cold with a high fever the next day so that you could spend your four hours with her?

A. Actually the next day she didn't have a fever. It was the night before that she was ill, and I was able to take care of her that next day.

Q. Okay.

MR. ALBACK: I have nothing further, Judge. REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Steve, Mr. Alback was, I guess, trying to show that you, out of all these complaints you had, you could only think of two times. If we had an hour to -- how many times do you think that she has withheld or restricted your visitation with Grace since last September?

A. Actually I have a calendar of such events.

Q. Can you estimate how many times? Don't go into the details, please.

A. I would say eight times.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And, in fact, hasn't there been times when you were able to take care of her, and was there an incident where --

> MR. ALBACK: I object as to leading, Your Honor. MR. RINDAL: Sure.

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Is there an incident that you'd like to tell recently about, she was supposed to take her to work?

A. Yes. Well, the incident began with my -- my daughter was again sick, I think it --

THE COURT: I think this is beyond the scope of the cross-examination.

MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, he was talking about the illness and this is --

THE COURT: You are going beyond the scope of the cross-examination and I'm going to prohibit any further questions.

MR. RINDAL: Well, since you are prohibiting any further questions, I have nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: On this subject.

MR. ALBACK: I have nothing further, Judge.

THE COURT: You may step down. Do you have another witness?

24 MR. RINDAL: I do, Your Honor. I would like to 25 call Mr. Art Clayton.

120

have blacked out certain numbers that show account balances. 2 You have the original, or you provided a copy of this without

3 the blackouts to me; is that correct?

A. Yes, sir.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

13

14

16

17

18

19

24

25

Q. Is this the same document that you handed me without the blackouts?

A. Yes, it is.

Q. Okay. I want to direct your attention to, at the bottom, where it says, Check to Fichtner Chevrolet, \$19,543,36?

A. Yes.

Q. Was that a loan to Mary Beth and Steve Clayton?

A. Yes, it was.

Q. And why did you give them that loan?

A. Well, I was an employee of General Motors and I get 15 a discount on cars. When my son decided -- and Mary Beth 16 17 decided that they wanted a new car because her truck was getting rather old, they decided to purchase a new car, and 18 used my discount, as my son he could do that.

They went and applied at the this dealership and when they went to purchase the car, the interest would have been 18 percent, with a cosigner 25 percent. So I told them that I would loan them the money through my bank, because I could get a mortgage -- well, I had an outstanding line of

credit with my credit union. So I just had them write a

119

ARTHUR W. CLAYTON,

called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RINDAL:

Q. Mr. Clayton, would you please state your full name for the record?

A. Arthur W. Clayton.

Q. And are you the father of Steve Clayton?

A. Yes, I am.

Q. And what is your address?

A. 684 Catalina Road, Fullerton, California, 92825.

Q. Okay. Okay. That is fine. I want to ask you a

few short questions. One regarding --

MR. RINDAL: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

16

THE COURT: You may.

MR. RINDAL: I handed him exhibit Exhibit F, that one there. Toby, F.

20 MR. ALBACK: Gotch you, thank you.

21 BY MR. RINDAL:

> Q. Mr. Clayton, I handed you a document marked Respondent's Exhibit F. Do you recognize that document?

A. Yes, sir, I do.

Q. Now, there is some blackouts, I have -- I, myself

121

check and -- because I felt that was an outrageous price to 2 add to the cost of the vehicle they were buying.

O. Okav.

A. With the premise that they would be paying me back.

Q. Okay. And, in fact, have they made payments back to you?

7 A. They were making payments on a regular basis for a 8 number of months until the job situations changed, their financial costs changed, and then it became difficult for them to do so. And, therefore, in my typical permissive 10 11 parent supporting fuss style, I let it slide.

12 Q. Okay.

A. But I never forgave it.

Q. Sure. Now, is that an accurate number.

15 \$19,543 --

A. Yes, it is.

Q. Okay. You have to wait for me to finish the question. I know --

A. Okav.

Q. -- a lot of people get nervous and answer before 20 21 the question is done.

22 MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, I'd move for admission of 23 Respondent's Exhibit F.

MR. ALBACK: I have no objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: F is admitted.

that is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes,

Q. So December 30th of 1995 is their marriage, and within -- well, within two weeks I see you started giving them gifts of \$1,000?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Why did you keep track of this money that you provided to them?

A. Well, I have three children.

Q. Mm-hmm.

A. And my intention is to try to treat my three children equitably. They require different types of financial support, or I have been in the position to provide them different types of financial support --

MR. ALBACK: Your Honor, I am going to object to this testimony, and also object to this document for the following reasons: The only allegation that is before this Court is that somehow my client might owe Mr. Clayton half of the amount of the car -- the pickup loan. And I take it they're alleging that she owes part of the \$20,000 down payment.

All of this other stuff is extraneous to this witness's testimony, and it is not \cdots it is a waste of time at this point.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection.

125

1 actually made payments also to you, not just Steve?

2 A. I believe she wrote the checks.

Q. Okay. And has she ever told you to this date that she didn't owe you the money or that there was no loan?

A. No

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

23

Q. Did she acknowledge the loan to you?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. You also gave a down payment on 5-14-98.

that letter has been admitted, I don't recall the exhibit.

10 But it was the gift letter that you provided to me, that you

gave to Steve a \$20,000 gift in order for Mary Beth and him

12 to purchase a house?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

Q. And that gift was given to Steve; is that correct?

A. Yes, it says to Steve.

Q. Okay. Also I see all within the next couple of

19 days, 5-15 and 5-16, it shows that you had some -- you gave

20 them additional fundings, it looks like \$5,000 for escrow

21 costs?

22 A. Yeah, I--

Q. Go ahead.

A. If I may, I had discussions with the lender, and determined that we could lower their payment by buying a

24

25

A. They didn't ask --

A. They did not -- no, I was not aware.

Q. Or pickup?

23

o'clock, I can't recall precisely. Then he had to go back

meeting that he had and Grace had scheduled.

and get her at 8:30 in the morning to take her to the therapy

130 Q. Oh, okay. Had you been aware of it, and had you been aware that they were going to receive \$21,000 for it, would you have asked for your money back then? A. I don't know. I don't recall the circumstances as to what their needs were at the time and why they made a decision to sell that car. Q. Okay. There is no promissory note? A. It was a father/son -- it was an on-the-spur phone call when he was sitting in the dealer's office telling --Q. Was Mary Beth on that phone call too? A. No. Q. Okay. I'll represent to you that they sold the vehicle in 1999, I believe, the pickup. And I noticed from your -- your Exhibit H that you prepared, that throughout, oh, starting all of '99, through 2000, 2001, 2002, you regularly sent money to your son, apparently in amounts anywhere from \$300 a month to \$1,000 a month regularly, some as high as \$1500, \$1275, \$1500; would you agree? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did it ever occur to you that out of that money, which I didn't take it as a gift, right, to these folks? A. Yes. Q. Did it ever occur to you to say, Well, guys, you ought to pay my \$300 a month back? 131 A. No. O. It didn't? A. Do you want to know why? Q. No, I don't. But it never occurred to you, did it? A. No. Q. I will guess why, though. My guess is because it would be taking out of your left pocket and putting it into

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

reasons is that being so far away, it's hard to recall all the circumstances and all the dates. My son was working on a job where he could afford -- he and Mary Beth I believe were making comparable incomes in that time, when he was working for Freuhauf. That job disappeared. He didn't finish his education at the university, he went off to a summer vacation -- a work. excuse me, at Yellowstone met, Mary Beth and they subsequently got married. And he did not finish his college degree, which my wife and I had invested some significant amount of money in to educate our son. When he lost that job, the decision was made. I think by Mary Beth and Steve, for him to go back to school. In order for him to go back to school, it was going to be difficult for them to do so. And we helped them financially with tuition and with books and with some costs of living.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

41

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

132

And if he told me he was short, or they needed some money for a certain item, I would often respond, because I could. And I kept diligent notes to that extent, because when my time comes, I want to make sure that my daughter and his brother, receive ample share of the estate.

And because of the circumstances of his education and his marriage and his expenses, he's a little a head of the game right now.

MR. RINDAL: I have nothing further, Your Honor.

your right pocket, isn't that right? A. No. 10 Q. Or into Steve's right pocket? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Isn't that right? 13 A. No. 14 MR. ALBACK: I have nothing further of this 15 witness, Judge. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Rindal. 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. RINDAL: O. Mr. Clayton, why didn't you demand the money or 19 20 reduce your amount of gifting after you learned that they had 21 sold the pickup? 22 A. Why did I reduce the amount of gifting? 23 O. Why didn't you.

A. Why didn't I? I don't know if I could tell you

why. I don't know if I honestly know. One of the main

133 MR. ALBACK: Nothing further, Judge. Thank you. THE COURT: I have one question THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: When are you due to leave Billings? THE WITNESS: Tomorrow morning. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. RINDAL: Your Honor, the Respondent rests. THE COURT: Very well. The Court, of course, will take this matter under advisement, but I am going to order that there be an immediate alteration to the visitation schedule so that Grace can spend the evening with her grandparents. MR. ALBACK: Very well, Your Honor. MR. RINDAL: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: And with that, Court is in recess. (Proceedings concluded.) --00000--

1	134 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, STEPHANIE MICHELS, Registered Professional Reporter,
4	Registered Merit Reporter, and a Notary Public of the State
5	of Montana,
6	Do hereby certify that I reported in machine shorthand
7	the foregoing proceedings at the time, place and with the
8	appearances of counsel hereinbefore noted.
9	I further certify that the transcript transcribed from
10	my original shorthand notes by means of computer-assisted
11	transcription, is a full, true, and correct transcript of the
12	oral testimony adduced therein, to the best of my ability.
13	I further certify that I am not of counsel for, nor in
14	any way related to, any of the parties in this matter, nor am
15	I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
17	affixed my Notarial Seal this 20th day of September, 2003.
18	_
19	
20	
21	
22	STEPHANIE MICHELS
23	Registered Professional Reporter,
24	Registered Merit Reporter, and Notary Public of the State of
25	(Seal) Montana. Residing at Billings, Montana. My commission expires: September 26, 2006.

GIFT LETTER

Date: APRIL 30, 1998				,
TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:	. 1	, ;	Ĭ	
We TH ARTHUR AND MANCY CLAYTON are lamit giving our	(tū) }	/)		
Sou CTEPHEN CLAYTON the amou				
SON, STEPHEN CLAYTON the amou (relationship) (name of recipient)	**************************************			
\$ 20,000,00 as a gift, for which there is no obligation to repay in the	ne fo	orm !	of	
cash or services at any date.				
. Bether W Clarton 4/30/98		, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		
Donor Signature Date 4/30/98				
Donor Signature Date				: .
Donor Address		The agreement of the second		1
Donor, Phone Number			474 - 147	
Dunoi, Front State of the Control of	4		Andrew Sta	
	1			:
Borrower Signature Date		1		
Borrower Signature Date	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		4 4 4	
TO THE STATE OF TH	jovern Jovern	i Overt	al evo	ικόν αι
Gite from sources attentions than relatives borrowers supplyer or labor unions a chimitable or want-stion; a public entity that has a program to provide harmownership seat ence to low, and moderate in one tendes or his to do send that has a program to provide harmownership seat ence to low, and moderate in one tendes or his together to the seas processor to do seldent with a clearly deliced interesting the borrower, recourse a reduction to the seas process.	time l	i (NO YOU	or a
There must be a paper trail established. Provide the following	أخا			
copy of the donor's withdrawal slip or cancelled ch	^	6		2
OB	4	K .		i. L
copy of cashiers check with donor's name identifie	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
borrower's deposit slip or bank statement showing				
the deposit of the de	***			
Evidence of wire transfer near the languages acct, to the borrower's coct, it	so a	ссер	i able	i e
Evidence of wire transfer new the actions of the	(1)			
- 中濃製料 建油作名 製造 変形内機 自治 レイ・プレージン	小物的* 运输		こう 計	1